15-01-47-PT D 5231-D5229 17 JUNE 2003

5231

MB

# UNITED NATIONS



International Tribunal for the

Prosecution of Persons

Responsible for Serious Violations of

International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of

Former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No.

IT-01-47-PT

Date:

17 June 2003

Original:

**ENGLISH** 

## IN TRIAL CHAMBER II

Before:

Judge Wolfgang Schomburg, Presiding

Judge Florence Ndepele Mwachande Mumba

**Judge Carmel Agius** 

Registrar:

Mr. Hans Holthuis

**Decision of:** 

17 June 2003

## **PROSECUTOR**

v.

## ENVER HADŽIHASANOVIĆ AMIR KUBURA

DECISION ON URGENT MOTION FOR EXPARTE ORAL HEARING ON ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO THE DEFENCE AND CONSEQUENCES THEREOF FOR THE RIGHTS OF THE ACCUSED TO A FAIR TRIAL

#### The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr. Ekkehard Withopf

Mr. David Re

## Counsel for the Accused Hadžihasanović and Kubura:

Ms. Edina Rešidović and Mr. Stéphane Bourgon for Enver Hadžihasanović

Mr. Fahrudin Ibrišimović and Mr. Rodney Dixon for Amir Kubura

Downloaded from worldcourts.com. Use is subject to terms and conditions. See worldcourts.com/terms.htm

THIS TRIAL CHAMBER of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("International Tribunal"),

**BEING SEIZED** of the "Urgent Defence Motion for *ex parte* Oral Hearing on Allocation of Resources to the Defence and Consequences Thereof for the Rights of the Accused to a Fair Trial", filed on 10 April 2003, in which the Defence requests to be heard by the Trial Chamber on the issue of allocation of resources to the Defence during the pre-trial phase ("Motion"),

**NOTING** that this Chamber, upon a request of the Registrar of 14 March 2003, in a response of 27 March 2003, already indicated that it "does not see any reason to disagree with the ranking of the present case as decided by the Registrar and the consequent allocation of a maximum allotment of payments to the Defence counsel for the pre-trial stage",

**NOTING** that, upon the request of the Trial Chamber, the Defence and the Registry filed further observations relating to the Legal Aid Payment System, as has been implemented by the Registry since 1 January 2001, on 6 May 2003 and 12 May 2003 respectively,

**NOTING** that, for purposes of the legal aid payment system, the present case is considered a Level 3 case, which is the highest level within this system,

**NOTING** that the Defence in its submission of 6 May 2003 emphasizes that "Level 3 is simply not sufficient to properly prepare this case for trial",

**CONSIDERING** that the implementation of the legal aid payment system is a primary responsibility for the Registrar and that the Trial Chamber would only be called upon to act if the facts of the case would show that no reasonable Registrar could have acted in the way as was done in the present case,

**CONSIDERING** that the submissions of the Defence and the Registry do not lead to the conclusion that such a situation applies to the present case,

**CONSIDERING** that the Motion does not aim at discussing the application of the legal aid payment system as such to the present case, but rather at challenging the entire system in itself,

2

CONSIDERING that it is not for a Trial Chamber, in the context of a particular case, to take decisions leading to an alteration in the legal aid payment system, applicable to all cases pending

before this Tribunal,

CONSIDERING THEREFORE that the Motion, both in relation to the application of the legal

aid payment system to the present case and to the challenge of the system as such, should be

considered inadmissible as it seeks a ruling by this Chamber which this Chamber can not give,

CONSIDERING that the Defence in its Motion requests the Trial Chamber to certify its decision

for interlocutory appeal in case it would deny the Motion,

**HEREBY DISMISSES** the request for an *ex parte* oral hearing, **DENIES** the Motion as being

inadmissible and **DENIES** therefore the request for certification.

Done in both English and French, the English version being authoritative.

Judge Wolfgang Schomburg
Presiding

Dated this seventeenth day of June 2003, At The Hague

The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]

3