

**UNITED
NATIONS**

IT-95-14-A
A 18160- A 18159
09 January 2003

18160
PK



International Tribunal for the
Prosecution of Persons
Responsible for Serious Violations of
International Humanitarian Law
Committed in the Territory of the
Former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No.: IT-95-14-A

Date: 9 January 2003

Original: English

BEFORE THE PRE-APPEAL JUDGE

Before: Judge Fausto Pocar, Pre-Appeal Judge

Registrar: Mr. Hans Holthuis

Decision of: 9 January 2003

PROSECUTOR

v.

TIHOMIR BLAŠKIĆ

**DECISION ON PROSECUTION'S REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FILING
ITS REBUTTAL EVIDENCE AND VARIATION OF PAGE LIMITS**

Counsel for the Prosecutor:

Mr. Norman Farrell

Counsel for the Appellant:

Mr. Anto Nobile

Mr. Russell Hayman

Mr. Andrew Payley

I, Fausto Pocar, Judge of the Appeals Chamber of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“International Tribunal”),

BEING SEISED of the “Prosecution’s Request for Extension of Time for Filing its Rebuttal Evidence and Arguments in Response to Additional Evidence Admitted on Appeal and Variation of Page Limits” filed on 7 January 2003 (“Prosecution’s Request”), where the Prosecution seeks an extension of time for the filing of its evidence in rebuttal and accompanying arguments until 7 January 2003, and authorisation to exceed the page limit set out in the Practice Direction on the Length of Briefs and Motions IT/184 Rev.1 (“Practice Direction IT/184 Rev.1”);

NOTING that Counsel for the Appellant received an electronic copy of the Prosecution’s arguments in rebuttal (without annexes) on 6 January 2003, and that the annexes were sent by mail the following day;

CONSIDERING that an extension of time should be sought before the expiration of a deadline;

CONSIDERING however, that the explanation provided by the Prosecution constitutes good cause that justifies the late filing and that the evidence dealt with in the present case requires the oversized filing;

PURSUANT to Rule 127 (B) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the International Tribunal and provision (C) 7 of Practice Direction IT/184 Rev.1;

HEREBY recognize the filing of the “Prosecution’s Rebuttal Evidence and Arguments in Response to Additional Evidence Admitted on Appeal” as validly done.

Done in both English and French, the English text being authoritative.



Fausto Pocar
Pre-Appeal Judge

Dated this ninth day of January 2003
At The Hague,
The Netherlands.