UNITED NATIONS

1T-00-41-PT D2045-D2039 27 NOVEMBER 2002 ·

2045AT



International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of

Former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No. IT-00-41-PT

Date: 27 November 2002

Original: English

## IN THE TRIAL CHAMBER

**Before:** Judge Liu Dagun, Presiding

Judge Amin El Mahdi Judge Alphons Orie

Registrar: Mr. Hans Holthuis

Decision of: 27 November 2002

THE PROSECUTOR

v.

## PAŠKO LJUBIČIĆ

DECISION ON PASKO LJUBIČIĆ'S MOTION FOR ACCESS TO CONFIDENTIAL SUPPORTING MATERIAL, TRANSCRIPTS AND EXHIBITS IN *PROSECUTOR V.*ANTO FURUNDŽIJA

The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr. Upawansa Yapa Mr Christopher Staker

Mr. Norman Farrell

The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr. Mark Harmon

Counsel for Anto Furundžija:

Mr. Luka S. Misetić

Mr. Sheldon Davidson

Counsel for Paško Ljubičić:

Mr. Tomislav Jonjić

Case No: IT-00-41-PT

27 November 2002

2044

TRIAL CHAMBER I (the "Chamber") of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons

Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory

of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 (the "Tribunal");

NOTING the "Paško Ljubičić's Motion for Access to Confidential Supporting Material,

Transcripts and Exhibits", filed by the Accused Paško Ljubičić (the "Accused") on 26 June 2002

before the President of the Tribunal ("the Motion");

NOTING the "Prosecution's Response to Paško Ljubičić's Motion for Access to Confidential

Supporting Material, Transcripts and Exhibits", filed by the Prosecution on 10 July 2002 ("the

Response");

NOTING further the "Prosecution Motion to Suspend Consideration of Paško Ljubičić's Motion

for Access to Confidential Supporting Material, Transcripts and Exhibits" filed on 30 July 2002

("the Prosecution's Motion"), in which it requests that, in the interests of consistency, the President

defer the consideration of the Motion pending the outcome of similar requests before the Appeals

Chamber in the cases of Prosecutor v. Tihomir Blaškić and Prosecutor v. Dario Kordić and Mario

Čerkez:

NOTING the "Order on Paško Ljubičić's Motion for Access to Confidential Supporting Material,

Transcripts and Exhibits in the Kordić and Čerkez case" rendered by the Appeals Chamber on 19

July 2002, and the "Decision on Prosecution's Request for Reconsideration" rendered by the

Appeals Chamber on 31 October 2002;

NOTING the "Order of the President on Paško Ljubičić's Motion for Access to Confidential

Supporting Material, Hearing Transcripts and Exhibits in the case The Prosecutor v. Anto

Furundžija, dated 1 November 2002, that referred the consideration of the Motion to this Trial

Chamber, in accordance to Rule 75 F (ii) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence ("the Rules");<sup>1</sup>

CONSIDERING that, in view of the President's Order, the Trial Chamber finds that this matter

should be dealt with without any further delay; that it therefore denies the Prosecution's Motion;

<sup>1</sup> Rule 75 (F) states that: "A party to the second proceedings seeking to rescind, vary or augment protective measures ordered in the first proceedings must apply: (ii) if no Chamber remains seized of the first proceedings, to the Chamber

seized of the second proceedings."

**CONSIDERING** that the Accused requests access to all confidential supporting material, transcripts and exhibits, including post-trial materials, in the *Prosecutor v. Anto Furundžija* on the grounds, *inter alia*, that: the Amended Indictment and the Judgement against the accused Aleksovski deal with events and facts closely related to the Accused's case;<sup>2</sup> the disclosure of the material sought is justifiable and necessary for the preparation of his defence, in that it will assist in providing him with exculpatory material;<sup>3</sup> the principle of equality of arms implies that such access should be granted and lack of access would seriously jeopardise his right to a fair trial;<sup>4</sup> the Trial Chamber determine appropriate, necessary and reasonable protective measures to be imposed;<sup>5</sup>

**CONSIDERING** that a party may not engage in a fishing expedition, but that, provided it does not do so, it is entitled to seek material from any source to assist in the preparation of his or her case on certain conditions being satisfied, namely: (1) if material sought has been identified or described by its general nature as clearly as possible; and (2) if a legitimate forensic purpose for such access has been shown; <sup>6</sup>

CONSIDERING that the relevance of the material sought by a party may be determined by showing the existence of a nexus between the applicant's case and the case from which such material is sought, i.e., if the cases stem from events alleged to have occurred in the same geographic area and at the same time;<sup>7</sup> that it is sufficient if the material sought is likely to be of assistance to the Accused's case or, at least, that there is a good chance that it may assist the defence;<sup>8</sup>

<sup>-</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Motions, paras 5-7. The Accused submits that both cases refer to events that occurred at approximately the same period in the Lašva Valley, Central Bosnia, during the armed conflict between the Army of Bosnia Herzegovina (ABiH) and the Croatian Defence Council (HVO). It further argues that the Furundžija case refers to the participation of the HVO in crimes that occurred in the village of Nadioci, in the municipality of Vitez, for which the Accused is also charged, and the Amended Indictment against the Accused contains direct references to the accused Furundžija, as a member of the unit under his command.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Motion, para.8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Motion, para.10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The Motion, para.15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Prosecutor v. Enver Hadžihasanović et al., Case No. IT-01-47-AR73, Decision on Appeal from Refusal to Grant Access to Confidential Material in Another Case, 23 April 2002, p. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Prosecutor v. Tihomir Blaškić, Case No. IT-95-14-A, Decision on Appelants Dario Kordić and Mario Čerkez's Request for Assistance of the Appeals Chamber in Gaining Access to Appelate Briefs and Non-Public Post Appeal Pleadings and Hearing Transcripts Filed in the Prosecutor v. Blaškić, 16 May 2002, para. 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>See *Prosecutor v. Enver Hadžihasanović et al.*, Case No. IT-01-47-AR73, Decision on Appeal from Refusal to Grant Access to Confidential Material in Another Case, 23 April 2002, p. 3.

2042

CONSIDERING that, in the Response, the Prosecution concedes that there is a geographical,

temporal and substantive overlap between in the Furundžija case and the Ljubičić case9 and does

not oppose the Motion, subject to the imposition of protective measures and to compliance with

Rule 70 (C), insofar as the Accused seeks access to confidential material relevant to the his case; 10

CONSIDERING that the Prosecution objects to access to confidential material not relevant to the

present case and to "post-trial materials"; 11 that it further requests leave to file within a reasonable

time a notice in order to identify: (1) confidential material not relevant to the Accused's case; (2)

any other non-public material which, for other valid reasons, the Defence should not be given

access or should only be given access subject to redactions; and (3) any non-public material which

falls under Rule 70;<sup>12</sup>

**CONSIDERING** that the Trial Chamber finds it unnecessary for the Prosecution to file such notice

at this stage;

**CONSIDERING** that, in relation to the Accused's argument regarding the principle of the equality

of arms, the Chamber notes that the purpose of this principle is to give each party equal access to

the processes of the Tribunal, or an equal opportunity to seek procedural relief where relief is

needed; 13 that, however, this principle does not guarantee equality in relief and cannot be

understood to imply that, if the Prosecution has access to confidential material from another case,

the Accused is entitled to the same;

CONSIDERING that, in relation to access to "post-trial materials", the Trial Chamber is not

satisfied that that Accused has described the material it seeks by its general nature as clearly as

possible, and does therefore not consider that access should be granted;

**CONSIDERING** that, in view of the geographical, temporal and substantive overlap between the

present case and the Furundžija case, the Trial Chamber finds that access to confidential supporting

material, transcripts and exhibits is likely to be of material assistance to the Accused or at least, that

there is a good chance that it may give his defence such assistance;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The Response, para. 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The Response, para.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The Response, paras 2,3, 5 and 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The Response, para. 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Prosecutor v. Duško Tadić, Case No. IT-94-1-A, Judgement, 15 July 1999, paras 48,50 and 51.

**CONSIDERING** that it falls within the Trial Chamber's discretion to strike a balance between the right of a party to have access to material to prepare his case and guaranteeing the protection and the integrity of confidential information;<sup>14</sup> that it thus considers that the protective measures granted in this decision are appropriate measures for the protection of the victims and witnesses and would not impact on the ability of the Accused to prepare his case;

PURSUANT TO Articles 20, 21 and 22 of the Statute and Rules 54 and 75 of the Rules;

**HEREBY GRANTS** the Motion and **ORDERS** that the Registry grant access to the Accused to the confidential supporting material, transcripts and exhibits in the *Furundžija* case, subject to the following orders and protective measures:

- 1. For the purposes of this disposition:
  - (a) the "Prosecution" means the Prosecutor of the Tribunal and her staff;
  - (b) the "Accused" means and includes only the accused Paško Ljubičić's, his defence counsel and his immediate legal assistants and staff, and others specifically assigned by the Tribunal to the accused Paško Ljubičić's trial defence team and specifically identified in a list to be maintained by the lead counsel and filed with the Trial Chamber ex parte and under seal within ten days of the entry of this order. Any and all additions and deletions to the initial list in respect of any of the above categories of persons who are necessarily identified and properly involved in the preparation of the defence shall be notified to the Trial Chamber in similar fashion within seven days of such additions or deletions;
  - (c) the "public" means all persons, governments, organisations, entities, clients, associations and groups, other than the judges of the Tribunal and the staff of the Registry (assigned to either Chambers or the Registry), the Prosecution and the Accused, as defined above. The "public" specifically includes, without limitation, family, friends and associates of the Accused, the accused in other cases or proceedings before the Tribunal and defence counsel in other cases or proceedings before the Tribunal;
  - (d) the "media" means all video, audio and print media personnel, including journalists, authors, television and radio personnel, their agents and representatives;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Prosecution v. Tihomir Blaškić, Case No. IT-95-14-A, Decision on Appelants Dario Kordić and Mario Čerkez's Request for Assistance of the Appeals Chamber in Gaining Access to Appelate Briefs and Non-Public Post Appeal Pleadings and Hearing Transcripts Filed in the Prosecutor v. Blaškić, 16 May 2002, para.29.

- 2. Rule 70 material, if any, shall not be disclosed unless prior authorization is obtained by the Prosecution from the relevant providers; the Prosecution shall be responsible for informing the Registry as appropriate;
- 3. The Accused shall not disclose to the media any confidential or non-public materials provided by the Prosecution;
- 4. Save as is directly and specifically necessary for the preparation and presentation of his case and only on leave being first granted by the Trial Chamber, the Accused shall not disclose to the public, to the media or to the family members and associates:
  - (a) the names, identifying information or whereabouts of any witness or potential witness identified by the Prosecution, the transcripts of witness testimonies, the contents thereof, or any other information which would enable them to be identified and would breach the confidentiality of the protective measures already in place, unless absolutely necessary for the preparation of the Accused's case and always with the leave of the Trial Chamber; or
  - (b) any evidence (including documentary, audio-visual, physical or other evidence) or any written statement of a witness or the contents, in whole or in part, of any such non-public evidence, statement or prior testimony disclosed to the Accused;
- 5. If the Accused finds it directly and specifically necessary to disclose such information for the preparation and presentation of his case and having obtained leave from the Trial Chamber to do so, he shall inform each person among the public to whom non-public material or information (such as witness statements, transcripts of testimonies, exhibits, prior testimony, videos, or the contents thereof), is shown or disclosed, that such a person is not to copy, reproduce or publicise such statement or evidence, and is not to show or disclose it to any other person. If provided with the original or any copy or duplicate of such material, such person shall return it to the Accused when such material is no longer necessary for the preparation and presentation of his case;
- 6. If a member of the defence teams concerned withdraws from the case, all material in his or her possession shall be returned to the lead defence counsel of the Accused;

2039

7. The Accused shall have no contact with the witnesses concerned with the material to be

disclosed, unless otherwise decided by this Chamber under the conditions set by the latter;

8. New pseudonyms shall be given to those protected witnesses that gave evidence as protected

witnesses in the Furundžija case whenever reference is made to those witnesses in the

Ljubičić case, or any other proceedings or in discussions between the parties. No reference

shall be made in a public hearing or in any public filing to the fact that the protected witness

gave evidence in the Furundžija case.

9. Subject to the protective measures and orders prescribed above, the protective measures that

are already in place in relation to the material disclosed should remain in place.

Done in both English and French, the English text being authoritative.

Judge Liu Daqun

Presiding Judge

Dated this 27th day of November 2002 At The Hague,

The Netherlands.

[Seal of the Tribunal]

Case No: IT-00-41-PT