UNITED NATIONS

17-02-60-PT D7729-D7728 19NOVEMBER 2002

7729143



International Tribunal for the

Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of

International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of

Former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No. IT-02-60-PT

Date:

19 November 2002

Original:

English

## IN TRIAL CHAMBER II

Before:

Judge Wolfgang Schomburg, Presiding

Judge Florence Ndepele Mwachande Mumba

Judge Carmel Agius

Registrar:

Mr. Hans Holthuis

Decision of:

19 November 2002

PROSECUTOR

v.

VIDOJE BLAGOJEVIĆ DRAGAN OBRENOVIĆ DRAGAN JOKIĆ MOMIR NIKOLIĆ

## DECISION ON PROSECUTION'S MOTION TO FILE LIST OF PSEUDONMYS ASSIGNED TO PROTECTED WITNESSES

## The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr. Peter McCloskey

## Counsel for the Accused:

Case No.: 1T-02-60-PT

Mr. Michael Karnavas, Ms. Suzana Tomanović for Vidoje Blagojević

Mr. David Wilson, Mr. Dušan Slijepčević for Dragan Obrenović

Mr. Miodrag Stojanović, Ms. Cynthia Sinatra for Dragan Jokić

Mr. Veselin Londrović, Mr. Stefan Kirsch for Momir Nikolić

19 November 2002

TRIAL CHAMBER II ("the Chamber") of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("International Tribunal"),

BEING SEISED OF the "Prosecution Motion to File List of Pseudonyms Assigned to Protected Witnesses" ("Motion"), filed under seal on 8 November 2002, in which the Office of the Prosecutor ("Prosecution") seeks leave to assign numerical pseudonyms to thirty-three witnesses,

NOTING that the assigned pseudonyms have been used in the Prosecution's Pre-Trial Brief,

NOTING that the Prosecution and Defence have entered into confidentiality agreements,

CONSIDERING that seventeen of the particular witnesses have previously been granted protective measures in the case Prosecutor v. Radislav Krstić (Case No. IT-98-33-T) and that, pursuant to Rule 75(E) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the International Tribunal ("Rules"), those protective measures apply mutatis mutandis in these proceedings, pending an application by any party to these proceedings to rescind, vary or augment those protective measures pursuant to Rule 75(F),

CONSIDERING that the Prosecution has not sought protective measures for any victims or witnesses not covered by Rule 75(e) in relation to this case pursuant to Rules 69 or 75,

CONSIDERING however, that until the Prosecution seeks such measures in relation to specific victims or witnesses not currently enjoying such protective measures, it is in the interest of justice that the identity of those persons who may require protective measures not be revealed to the public,

GRANTS the Prosecution Motion,

Done in English and French, the English version being authoritative.

Judge Wolfgang Schomburg Presiding

Dated this nineteenth day of November 2002, At The Hague The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]

Case No.: IT-02-60-PT 2. 19 November 2002