UNITED NATIONS		1T-02-54-T D5694-05690 24 May 2002	•	5694 _ Р _V К
	International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons	Case No.:	IT-02-54-T	
	Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law	Date:	24 May 2002	
	Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991	Original:	English	

IN THE TRIAL CHAMBER

Before:	Judge Richard May, Presiding
	Judge Patrick Robinson
	Judge O-Gon Kwon

Registrar: Mr. Hans Holthuis

Order of: 24 May 2002

PROSECUTOR

v.

SLOBODAN MILOŠEVIĆ

SCHEDULING ORDER

The Office of the Prosecutor

Mr. Geoffrey Nice Mr. Dirk Ryneveld

The Accused

Slobodan Milošević

Amicus Curiae

Mr. Steven Kay Mr. Branislav Tapušković Mr. Michaïl Wladimiroff

Case No. IT-02-54-T

THIS TRIAL CHAMBER of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("International Tribunal"),

BEING SEISED of a "Prosecutor's Application for Admission of Witness Statements under Rule 92 *bis* and for Variation of the Order of 11 January 2002" filed by the Office of the Prosecutor ("Prosecution") on 2 May 2002 ("the Motion"), in which the Prosecution seeks an Order from the Trial Chamber varying the Order of 11 January 2002 so as to enable the Prosecution to call a further 95 witnesses, in addition to the 44 already called, giving a total of 139 witnesses and seeking to admit the evidence of most of the witnesses in whole or in part by way of written statement pursuant to Rule 92 *bis* of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the International Tribunal ("Rules"),

NOTING that no response to the Motion has been received from the accused or from the amici curiae,

NOTING that the Order of the Trial Chamber of 11 January 2002 provided, *inter alia*, (a) for the Prosecution to limit the number of witnesses to be called in person to 90, with leave to apply to the Trial Chamber for permission to present additional witnesses; (b) for written statements of all witnesses to be called to be disclosed to the accused in a language he understands prior to the commencement of trial and requiring leave of the Trial Chamber to call any witness whose statement has not been so disclosed; and (c) no witness to testify until at least 30 days after disclosure of the statement,

NOTING that the Prosecution has on two prior occasions sought to substitute or vary the list of witnesses, once by Motion filed on 8 February 2002, which variation was permitted by the Trial Chamber in an oral ruling on 12 February 2002, and again in a Application for Leave to Call Witnesses filed on 19 February 2002, of which the Trial Chamber remains seised,

NOTING the further changes to the proposed witness list as evidenced by the latest list dated 23 May 2002 which, together with the Motion, identifies a number of new witnesses and a total of 142 witnesses, plus an unidentified number of "additional insiders",

NOTING that the Prosecution has failed to identify clearly to the Trial Chamber the dates on which the relevant witness statements were disclosed to the accused, so that the Trial Chamber is

Case No. IT-02-54-T

CONSIDERING that the Trial Chamber wishes to hear oral argument from all parties on the Motion in the week commencing 27 May 2002, at a time and date to be fixed, and that it is essential for that hearing for all parties and the Trial Chamber to be fully aware of the extent of the requested variation to its Order,

PURSUANT TO Rule 54 of the Rules,

HEREBY ORDERS as follows :

- (1) no later than Wednesday, 29 May 2002, the Prosecution shall file
 - a detailed list setting out the dates of disclosure in a language the accused understands of the statements of witnesses listed in Attachment A and, where appropriate, details of the disclosure to the *amici curiae* and to the accused of the unredacted statements or details of protected witnesses; and
 - (ii) applications for protective measures for witnesses K33, K34, K35 and K37; and
- (2) at the same time the Prosecution shall provide to the Trial Chamber :
 - a summary of the evidence of those witnesses unless such summary is already included in the documents before the Trial Chamber, in which case the Prosecution shall clearly indicate where such information is to be found;
 - (ii) the statements of all witnesses whose evidence is sought to be admitted by way of written statement pursuant to Rule 92 *bis*, irrespective of whether the attestation procedure has been completed or not;
 - (iii) the identity and statements of all expert witnesses to be called in this case.

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.

Renny

Richard May Presiding

Dated this twenty-fourth day of May 2002 At The Hague The Netherlands Case No. IT-02-54-T

[Seal of the Tribunal] 24 May 2002

ATTACHMENT A

New witnesses (not identified in filings prior to commencement of trial) – Disclosure information and summaries required

K33 K34 K35 K37 MEHMETI Agron VLLASI Azem XHEMAJLI Sadik

Witnesses referred to in Rule 65 ter submission - disclosure information only required

BACAJ Gani **BEQAJ Bege BERISHA Halit BERISHA Hysni** C39 **CEKAJ Miliam** DASHI. Muharrem **DRAGA Mustafa GERGURI** Mehdi HAXHIAVDIJA Ismet **HENDRIE** Ian HOXHA Hani **IMERAJ** Fetije **IMERAJ Sofije** K2 K6 **K7** K10 K13 K17 K24 **KARAKUSHI** Nushe **KICKERT** Jan **KRASNIQI** Izet **KRASNIQI** Musa LOSHI Liri **PNISHI** Martin **ROWLAND Jacky** SELMANI, Merfidete SYLA Xhevahire **VISHI Ali**

Witnesses referred to in submission of 8 February 2002 - disclosure information only required

JEMINI Agim K30

Case No. IT-02-54-T

24 May 2002

Witnesses referred to in submission of 19 February and 2 May 2002 – disclosure information only required

AVDYLI Mehmet CROSLAND John ELSHANI Selami K1 K31 (second statement only) K32 MITCHEL Sandra NAUMANN Klaus VOLLEBAEK Knut

Witnesses covered by Order 26 April 2002 (delayed disclosure) - disclosure information only required

K12 K25