

International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 Case:

IT-99-36-PT

Date:

9 December 1999

Original:

English

IN TRIAL CHAMBER II

Before:

Judge David Hunt, Pre-Trial Judge

Registrar:

Dorothee de Sampayo Garrido-Nijgh

Decision of:

9 December 1999

PROSECUTOR

V

Radoslav BRĐANIN

FURTHER DECISION ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS ON BEHALF OF RADOSLAV BRÐANIN

The Office of the Prosecutor:

Ms Joanna Korner Mr Michael Keegan Ms Ann Sutherland

Counsel for the Accused:

Mr John Ackerman

Case IT-99-36-PT

9 December 1999

1. On 8 December 1999, the Registry of the Tribunal received from Counsel for the accused Radoslav Brdanin a document entitled "Reply to Prosecution's Response to Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus on Behalf of Radoslav Brdanin". The Decision of the Trial Chamber upon that petition had already been signed and lodged with the Registry for filing

when it was notified that the document had been received. The Decision was nevertheless

filed, and it necessarily makes no reference to that document.

2. No leave had been sought by Counsel for the accused to file such a document, as required by the Order for Filing Motions. Counsel is aware of that requirement, having been obliged to seek such leave when the prosecution objected to a reply filed by him without leave

in relation to an earlier motion filed by him, the Motion to Dismiss Indictment.²

3. The purpose of requiring leave to file a reply is to prevent the waste of time involved when documents are filed in the guise of being a reply but which merely repeat (and sometimes elaborate) the submissions made in the motion. A reply is permitted only to permit the moving party to answer issues raised by the respondent to the motion which go

beyond the issues raised by the motion itself.

4. The document in question here does no more than repeat and elaborate the submissions made in the original motion. It adds absolutely nothing to the matters raised for determination by the Trial Chamber. Had leave been sought to file the document, it would

have been refused.

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.

Dated this 9th day of December 1999, At The Hague,

The Netherlands.

Judge David Hunt Pre-Trial Judge

[Seal of the Tribunal]

¹ 12 July 1999, p 2.

Reply to Prosecution's Opposition to Filing of Pleading Entitled "Reply of Radoslav Brdanin to Prosecution's Response to Motion to Dismiss Indictment", 17 Sep 1999.