

Tribunal Pénal International pour le Rwanda International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda

> ICTR-00-56-A 30 November 2011 528/H – 526/H

IN THE APPEALS CHAMBER

Before:

UNITED NATIONS

NATIONS UNIES

Judge Theodor Meron, Pre-Appeal Judge

Mr. Adama Dieng

Decision of:

Registrar:

30 November 2011

Augustin NDINDILIYIMANA Augustin BIZIMUNGU François-Xavier NZUWONEMEYE Innocent SAGAHUTU

Action: K.K.A.A. Copied To: Concernent Jud Parties

ICTR Appeals Chamber

Date: 30 A/e vende 20 11

v.

THE PROSECUTOR

Case No. ICTR-00-56-A

DECISION ON NZUWONEMEYE'S MOTION TO DISMISS THE PROSECUTION'S SENTENCING APPEAL

Defence Counsel:

Mr. Christopher Black for Augustin Ndindiliyimana Mr. Gilles St-Laurent for Augustin Bizimungu Mr. Charles A. Taku for François-Xavier Nzuwonemeye Mr. Fabien Segatwa for Innocent Sagahutu

The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr. Hassan Bubacar Jallow Mr. James J. Arguin Mr. William Egbe Mr. Abubacarr Tambadou

	International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda Tribunal pénat international pour le Rwanda
CEF COE	NTIFIED TRUE COPY OF THE ORIGINAL SEEN BY ME THE CERFIFIEE CONFORME A L'ORIGINAL PAR NOUS
NAME	NOM: KEEFI BUMELIC A AFANDE
SIGNA	TURE: 2. 7. 4270 DATE: 30 Mar. 2011

I, THEODOR MERON, Presiding Judge of the Appeals Chamber of the International Criminal Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Genocide and Other Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of Rwanda and Rwandan Citizens Responsible for Genocide and Other Such Violations Committed in the Territory of Neighbouring States, between 1 January and 31 December 1994 ("Tribunal"), and Pre-Appeal Judge in this case;¹

RECALLING that Trial Chamber II of the Tribunal entered convictions against the four accused in *The Prosecutor v. Augustin Ndindiliyimana et al.* on 17 May 2011, and that the written Trial Judgement was filed in English on 17 June 2011;²

NOTING the Notice of Appeal and Consolidated Appellant's Brief, filed by the Office of the Prosecutor ("Prosecution") on 20 July 2011³ and 3 October 2011,⁴ respectively, which challenge the convictions and sentences of Augustin Ndindiliyimana and Augustin Bizimungu, and the sentences of François-Xavier Nzuwonemeye ("Nzuwonemeye") and Innocent Sagahutu;

BEING SEISED of Nzuwonemeye's request to dismiss the appeal against him,⁵ in which he argues, *inter alia*, that: (i) the Prosecution filed its Brief outside of the 30-day time period prescribed in the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the Tribunal ("Rules") for appeals limited to sentencing; and (ii) he has suffered prejudice as a result of this delay;⁶

NOTING the Prosecution's response, which contends that the Brief was filed within the time-limit provided for in the relevant Practice Direction and that it therefore causes no prejudice to Nzuwonemeye;⁷

CONSIDERING that Rule 111(A) of the Rules provides that an appellant's brief shall be filed within 75 days of the filing of the notice of appeal, or 30 days of the filing of the notice of appeal where an appeal is limited to sentencing;

30 November 2011

¹ Order Assigning a Pre-Appeal Judge, 30 November 2011.

² T. 17 May 2011 pp. 23-25. See also The Prosecutor v. Augustin Ndindiliyimana et al., Case No. ICTR-00-56-T, Judgement and Sentence, dated 17 May 2011 and filed on 17 June 2011 ("Trial Judgement"), paras. 71, 73, 75, 77, 2085, 2106-2108, 2119, 2128, 2152-2157, 2162, 2163.

³ Prosecutor's Notice of Appeal, 20 July 2011 ("Notice of Appeal").

⁴ Prosecution's Consolidated Appellant's Brief, 3 October 2011 ("Brief").

⁵ Nzuwonemeye's Motion Pursuant to Rule 54, 82 and 111 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence to Dismiss or Strike Out the Sentencing Brief Filed Against François-Xavier Nzuwonemeye on 3 October 2011, 5 October 2011 ("Motion"). ⁶ See Motion, paras. 11-12, 16-21.

⁷ The Prosecution's Response to Nzuwonemeye's Motion Pursuant to Rule 54, 82 and 111 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence to Dismiss or Strike Out the Sentencing Brief Filed Against François-Xavier Nzuwonemeye on 3 October 2011, 10 October 2011, paras. 4-10. See also Practice Direction on the Length of Briefs and Motions on Appeal, 8 December 2006 ("Practice Direction").

CONSIDERING, however, that the Practice Direction allows for the filing of a consolidated appellant's brief by the Prosecution in multi-accused cases and that doing so may alter the Prosecution's filing deadlines in relation to some of the convicted persons;⁸

CONSIDERING that, since the Prosecution's appeal in the case of two convicted persons is not limited to sentencing, its Brief was timely filed within 75 days of the filing of its Notice of Appeal in accordance with the Rules and Practice Direction;

FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS,

DISMISS Nzuwonemeye's Motion.

Done in English and French, the English version being authoritative.

Done this 30th day of November 2011, At The Hague, The Netherlands.



~~ Mr

Judge Theodor Meron Pre-Appeal Judge

⁸ Practice Direction, para. (C)(1)(a). See also Théoneste Bagosora et al. v. The Prosecutor, Case No. ICTR-98-41-A, Decision on Aloys Ntabakuze's Motion for Severance, Retention of the Briefing Schedule and Judicial Bar to the Untimely Filing of the Prosecution's Response Brief, 24 July 2009, para. 42.