



29293 Рм

OR: ENG

TRIAL CHAMBER II

Before Judges: Asoka de Silva, Presiding Taghrid Hikmet Seon Ki Park

7 May 2009

Registrar: Adama Dieng

Date:

UNITED NATIONS

The PROSECUTOR v. Augustin NDINDILIYIMANA Augustin BIZIMUNGU François-Xavier NZUWONEMEYE Innocent SAGAHUTU



Case No. ICTR-00-56-T

DECISION ON NZUWONEMEYE'S REQUEST TO FILE A CORRIGENDUM TO HIS CLOSING BRIEF.

Office of the Prosecution:

Mr Alphonse Van Mr Moussa Sefon Mr Lloyd Strickland Mr Abubacarr Tambadou Ms Faria Rekkas

Counsel for the Defence:

Mr Gilles St-Laurent and Mr Benoît Henry for Augustin Bizimungu Mr Christopher Black and Mr Vincent Lurquin for Augustin Ndindiliyimana Mr Charles Taku and Ms Beth Lyons for François-Xavier Nzuwonemeye Mr Fabien Segatwa and Mr Seydou Doumbia for Innocent Sagahutu



INTRODUCTION



1. (in 4 December 2008, the Chamber issued a scheduling order in which it required the pa ties to file their closing briefs by 31 March 2009.¹ On 31 March 2009, the Defence for Nz Iwonemeye filed its Closing Brief as required by the Chamber's scheduling order.² On 31 April 2009, the Defence for Nzuwonemeye filed a motion requesting the Cham er's permission to file a corrigendum to its Closing Brief.³ The Defence annexed to the aforesaid motion a copy of the proposed corrigendum. The Prosecution did not file a response to the motion.

DELIBERATIONS

'he Defence for Nzuwonemeye submits that the proposed corrigendum will not 2. impede the ongoing translation process since the suggested changes can easily be translited separately. The Defence further submits that the suggested changes will not prejucice other Parties to the trial since the changes will not affect the substance of Nzuw memeye's Closing Brief.

3. The Chamber has carefully reviewed the proposed corrigendum to Nzuwonemeye's Closii g Brief and finds that the amendments underlined therein are designed to correct typog aphical errors in the original text and do not alter the substance of the Closing Brief. The Chamber is of the view that the proposed changes will significantly enhance the cl rity of the Closing Brief. The Chamber therefore finds that granting the request to file the proposed corrigendum will not prejudice any of the Parties to the trial since the proposed changes do not affect the substance of the Closing Briss filed on 31 March 2009.

FOR THE ABOVE REASONS, THE CHAMBER

GRA VTS Nzuwonemeye's request to file the proposed corrigendum to his Closing Brief

ORD CRS the Registry to file the proposed corrigendum annexed to the motion dated 30 April 2009.

Arusł a, 7 May 2009, done in English.

. .

D 1

.

Asok: de Silva	Taghrid Hikmet	Seon Ki Park
Presiding Judge Absent at the time of Signa ure Soon Park	Judge Absent at the time of Signature [Seal of the Tribunal]	Judge Stondipark
¹ Sche uling Order, dated 4 December ² See 1 zuwonemeye's Closing Brief	er 2008.	

wwonemeye's Closing Brief, dated 31 March 2009.

³ Nzuv onemeye's Defence Motion for Corrigendum to Closing Brief-Confidential Version (Under Seal), filed 31 Ma ch 2009.

Prosec stor v. Augustin Ndindiliyimana, Augustin Bizimungu, François-Xavier N: uwonemeye, Innocent 2/2Sagah tu. Case No. ICTR-2000-56-T