1cTR-98-41-1 03-04-2007

International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda Tribunal pénal International pour le Rwanda

678--34676)

## TRIAL CHAMBER I

Before: Judge Erik Møse, presiding Judge Jai Ram Reddy Judge Sergei Alekseevich Egorov

Registrar: Adama Dieng

Date: 3 April 2007

THE PROSECUTOR

٧,

Théoneste BAGOSORA Gratien KABILIGI Aloys NTABAKUZE Anatole NSENGIYUMVA



34610 Ivah

\_\_\_\_\_

Case No. ICTR-98-41-T

## DECISION ON BAGOSORA MOTION TO TENDER STATEMENT OF WITNESS B-06

The Prosecution Barbara Mulvaney Drew White Christine Graham Rashid Rashid Gregory Townsend Kartik Murukutla

#### The Defence

Raphaël Constant Allison Turner Paul Skolnik Frédéric Hivon Peter Erlinder Marc Nerenborg Kennedy Ogetto Gershom Otachi Bw'Omanwa

10 hr

## THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNAL FOR RWANDA

SITTING as Trial Chamber I, composed of Judge Erik Mose, presiding, Judge Jai Ram. Reddy, and Judge Sergei Alekseevich Egorov;

**BEING SEIZED OF** the Bagosora Defence "Strictly Confidential Motion to Tender Witness Statement Pursuant to Rule 92 *bis*", filed on 6 March 2007:

CONSIDERING the Prosecution Response, filed on 8 March 2007;

HEREBY DECIDES the motion.

### INTRODUCTION

1. The Defence asks the Chamber to admit a written statement of Witness B-06 pursuant to Rule 92 *bis* of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence. It was obtained in February 2007 in connection with a Defence mission to Kigali to gather the statement of another witness.

2. According to the Defence, B-06's statement is important as it describes the Accused's efforts to save Tutsi in April 1994.<sup>1</sup> The Prosecution objects to its admission at this late stage. It argues that the statement cannot be tested through cross-examination and is inadmissible under Rule 92 bis because it contains information that relates to the acts and conduct of the Accused.<sup>2</sup>

#### DELIBERATIONS

3. Pursuant to Rule 92 *bis*, a statement of a witness on certain conditions may be admitted in lieu of oral testimony. The present statement has been taken in accordance with the formal requirements of Rule 92 *bis*. The parties disagree whether it concerns a matter other than the "acts and conduct of the accused as charged in the indictment". The Chamber need not decide this issue.

4. The Bagosora Defence was expected to call its last witness by 13 October 2006. Since then, the Chamber has denied two Defence requests to call Witness B-06, finding that his testimony was not of sufficient importance to justify his appearance at that late stage of the proceedings.<sup>3</sup> The Defence now seeks to tender Witness B-06's written statement, over two months after the last day of the hearings on 18 January 2007, and even after the submission of the Prosecution Closing Brief. Admission of evidence at this stage of the trial can only be allowed in exceptional circumstances. The Defence has not offered any explanation for its late request. The Chamber observes that it is not sufficient that the Defence managed to persuade Witness B-06 to give a statement in the same period as a presiding officer was available to take the statement of another witness under Rule 92 bis.<sup>4</sup>

Ch.

34677

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Motion, para. 10. The motion was diled on 2 and 6 March 2007, with a reducted and unreducted version of Witness B-06's statement, respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Response, paras. 19-22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Bagasora et al., Decision on Bagosora Motion to Present Additional Witnesses and Vary Its Witness List (TC), 17 November 2006, para. 13; Bagosora et al., Decision on Bagosora Motion to Vary its Witness List and Tender a Witness Statement Under Rule 92 bis (TC), 12 December 2006, para. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Bagosora et al., Decision on Bagosora Defence Request for Court to Direct ICTR Registrar to Attend Kigwli on Mission to Witness Signing of Defence Witness Statement(s) (TC). 20 February 2007. In that decision, which concerned Witness G-10, the Chamber denied a request to take the statement of Witness AZ (para, 7),

The Prosecutor v. Bagosora, Kahiligi, Ntabakuze and Nsengiyumva, Case No. ICTR-98-41-T

# 34676

. .. . .

÷..

## FOR THE ABOVE REASONS, THE CHAMBER

#### **DENIES** the motion

Arusha, 3 April 2007

Mich more

Erik Møse Presiding Judge

Jai Barn Reddy

Judge

Beach

Sergei Alekseevich Egorov Judge

[Seal of the Tribunal]



whereas the motion did not mention Witness H-06. See also Bagosora et al., Decision on Bagosora Motion to Tender Statement of Witness G-10 (TC), 3 April 2007.



# TRANSMISSION SHEET FOR FILING OF DOCUMENTS WITH CMS

COURT MANAGEMENT SECTION (Art. 27 of the Directive for the Registry)

1 - GENERAL	INFORMATION (T	o be completed by	the Chambers / Fil	ing Party)
To:	N. M. Dralio	Trial Chamber II R. N. Kouambo	Trial Chamber III C. K. Hometowu	Appeals Chamber / Arusha F. A. Ta'on
10.		• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	JP Fomété	Deputy Chief, CMS M. Diop	Chief, JPU, CMS M. Diop	Appeals Chamber / The Hague R. Muzigo-Morrison K. K. A. Afange
From:	Chamber I Judge Mose		Prosecutor's Office	
	j Judge Reddy Judge Egorov	(Names)	(names)	(na <b>me</b> s)
Case Name:	(names) The Proseculor vs. BAGOSORA ET AL.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ase Number: ICTR-98-41-T
Dates:	Transmitted: 3 April 2007		Document's date: 3 April 2007	
No. of Pages:	3	Original Language	: 🛛 English	French Kinyarwanda
Title of Document:	DECISION ON BAGOSORA MOTION TO TENDER STATEMENT OF WITNESS B-08			
Confidential / Under Seal			irrant Corresponder	
		Disclosure Ora	ter Appeal Book tion Book of Autho	Accused particulars
II - TRANSLATION STATUS ON THE FILING DATE (To be completed by the Chambers / Filing Party				
CMS SHALL take necessary action regarding translation.				
⊠ Filing Party hereby submits only the original, and will not submit any translated versions				
Reference material is provided in annex to facilitate translation. $\Xi \iint \overline{\Xi}$				
Target Languag	e(s):	🛛 French		Kinya wanga
CMS SHALL NOT take any action regarding translation.				
Filling Party hereby submits BOTH the original and the translated version for filing, as the filling of the second				
Original Translation	in English		French	
CMS SHALL N	T take any action re-	narding translation		<u> </u>
CMS SHALL NOT take any action regarding translation.				
English			Kinyarwanda	
KINDLY FILL IN THE BOXES BELOW				
The OTP is overseeing translation. The document is submitted for translation to:			DEFENCE is overseeing translation. The document is submitted to an accredited service for	
The Language Services Section of the ICTR / Arusha.			translation (fees will be submitted to OCDMS):	
The Language Services Section of the ICTR / The Hague.			Name of contact person:	
An accredited service for translation; see details below:			Name of service: Address:	
Name of contact person: Name of service:			E-mail / Tel. / Fax:	
Address:				
(II - TRANSLATION PRIORITISATION (For Official use ONLY)				
Top priority		COMMENTS		Required date:
Urgent				Hearing date:

\* NB: This torm is available on: http://www.ictr.org/ENGLISH/cms/cms1.doc

Normal

CMS1 (Updated on 21 February 2005)

Other deadlines: