

IC IR, -98-44-I 29-03-2007 34656-34664) International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda Tribunal pénal international pour le Rwanda

34666

S.Mau

TRIAL CHAMBER I

Before: Judge Erik Møse, presiding Judge Jai Ram Reddy Judge Sergei Alekseevich Egorov

Registrar: Adama Dieng

Date: 29 March 2007

THE PROSECUTOR v. Théoneste BAGOSORA

Gratien KABILIGI Aleys NTABAKUZE

Anatole NSENGIYUMVA

Case No. ICTR-98-41-T



DECISION ON DEFENCE MOTIONS TO STRIKE EXCLUDED EVIDENCE FROM THE PROSECUTION CLOSING BRIEF

The Prosecution

Barbara Mulvaney Drew White Christine Graham Rashid Rashid Gregory Townsend Kartik Murukutla

The Defence

Raphaël Constant Allison Turner Paul Skolnik Frédéric Hivon Peter Erlinder Marc Nerenberg Kennedy Ogetto Gershom Otachi Bw⁺Omanwa

2h

THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNAL FOR RWANDA

SITTING as Trial Chamber I, composed of Judge Erik Møse, presiding, Judge Jai Ram Reddy, and Judge Sergei Alekseevich Egorov;

BEING SEIZED OF the Kabiligi "Motion to Order the Prosecutor to Amend and Refile the 'Prosecutor's Final Trial Brief", etc., filed on 15 March 2007;

BEING FURTHER SEIZED OF the Ntabakuze "Motion to Oblige the Prosecutor to Strike All References to Evidence that Has Already Been Excluded", etc., filed on 16 March 2007;

HEREBY DECIDES the motions.

INTRODUCTION

1. The Prosecution filed its Closing Brief on 1 March 2007. It consists of 953 pages. The Chamber thereafter granted leave for the Bagosora Defence to file its Closing Brief by 10 May 2007 and for the three other Defence teams to file their respective briefs by 23 April 2007. Closing arguments are scheduled to take place from 28 May to 1 June 2007.¹ The Kabiligi and Niabakuze Defence teams now scek to have the Prosecution strike from its Closing Brief all references to evidence that the Chamber has allegedly excluded.²

2. The Kabiligi Defence identifies incriminating testimony by Witnesses Kambanda, XAI, DCH, and XXH. Consequently, a number of paragraphs in the Prosecution Closing Brief have to "be deleted, and withdrawn for all purposes of law".³ The Ntabakuze Defence provides a non-exhaustive list of three portions of testimony that, in its view, were excluded by the Trial Chamber. It asserts that the Defence is now improperly tasked with "doing a massive verification that the Prosecutor should have done in the first place".⁴ The Prosecution filed no response to the Defence motions.

DELIBERATIONS

3. It is obvious that the Chamber will not consider in its judgement evidence which has been excluded. To the extent that the Prosecution Closing Brief contains such material, it will be ignored. The Defence teams may wish to identify purportedly excluded evidence in their respective Closing Briefs. The Chamber's recent decisions granting them an extension of time to file their briefs provides them with additional time to do so.

bh,

¹ Bagosora et al., Decision on Bagosora Defence Motion Concerning Schrduling of its Closing Brief (TC), 13 March 2007; Bagosora et al., Decision on Defence Motions for Extension of Time 10 File Their Closing Briefs (TC), 26 March 2007.

² See, e.g., Bagosora et al., Decision on Admissibility of Proposed Testimony of Witness DBY (TC), 18 September 2003; Decision on Exclusion of Evidence Outside the Scope of the Indictment (TC), 27 September 2005; Decision on Ntabakuze Motion for Exclusion of Evidence (TC), 29 June 2006; Decision on Kabiligi Motion for Exclusion of Evidence (TC), 4 September 2006; Decision on Severance or Exclusion of Evidence Based on Prejudice Arising from Testimony of Jean Kambanda (TC), 11 September 2006.

³ Kabiligi Motion, paras. 5-7, 10-22.

⁴ Ntabakuze Motion, paras. 3-4, 7.

The Prosecutor v. Bayosora, Kabiligi, Ntabakuze and Nsengiyumva, Case No. 1CTR-98-41-T

FOR THE ABOVE REASONS, THE CHAMBER

34664

DENIES the motions.

- -

Arusha, 29 March 2007

Erik Møse Presiding Judge

6. hurse

Jai Ram Reddy

Sergei Alekseevi

Sergei Alekseevich Egorov Judge

[Seal of the Tribunal]





TRANSMISSION SHEET FOR FILING OF DOCUMENTS WITH CMS

COURT MANAGEMENT SECTION (Art, 27 of the Directive for the Registry)

.

I - GENERAL INFORMATION (To be completed by the Chambers / Filing Party)					
To:	Trial Chamber I N. M. Diallo	R. N. Kouambo	, ∐l frial Chamber ∰ C. K. Hometowu	LAppeals Chamber / Arusha	
	Chief, CMS JP. Fomété	Deputy Chief, CMS M Diop	/ Dichief, JPU, CMS	Appeals Chamber / The Hague R. Muzige-Morrison → K. K. A. Afande	
From:	Chamber i Judge Mose Judge Reddy Judge Egorov	Defence (names)	(names)	(names)	
Case Name:	The Prosecutor vs. BAGOSORA ET AL.		Case Number: ICTR-98-41-T		
Dates:	Transmitted: 29 MARCH 2007		Document's date: 29 MARCH 2007		
No. of Pages:	3	Original Language:	English [French EKinyarwanda	
Title of Document:					
Classification Level: Strictly Confidential / Under Seal Confidential Public		TRIM Document Type: Indictment UVar Opecision Inflict Disclosure Opro- Judgement Indict	avit The Notice of Appe er The Appeal Book	al [4] Bubmission from parties [4] Accused particulars	
II - TRANSLATION STATUS ON THE FILING DATE (To be completed by the Chambers / Filing Party)					
CMS SHALL take necessary action regarding translation. Filing Party hereby submits only the original, and will not submit any translated version. Reference material is provided in annex to facilitate translation. Target Language(s): English X French CMS SHALL take necessary action regarding translation. CMS SHALL take ne					
CMS SHALL NOT take any action regarding translation.					
Filing Party hereby submits BOTH the original and the translated version for filing, as follows:					
Original	in 🗍 English	<u>`</u>]French	D Kinyarwanda	
Translation	in 🛄 English		🗌 French	Kinyarwanda	
CMS SHALL NOT take any action regarding translation. Filing Party will be submitting the translated version(s) in due course in the following language(s): English Kinparwanda Kinpary Fill IN THE BOXES BELOW					
The OTP is overseeing translation. DEFENCE is overseeing translation.					
The document is submitted for translation to: The Language Services Section of the ICTR / Arusha. The Language Services Section of the ICTR / The Hague. An accredited service for translation; see details below: Name of contact person:			The document is submitted to an accredited service for translation (fees will be submitted to DCDMS): Name of contact person: Name of service: Address: E-mail / Tel. / Fax:		
Name of service: E- Address: E-mail / Tel. / Fax:			E-man/ 160.7 Fax.	States	
III - TRANSLATION PRIORITISATION (For Official use ONLY)					
Top priority		COMMENTS	E	Required date:	
Urgent	1		Tre (Tre	Hearing date:	
	1				

NB: This form is available on: http://www.ictr.org/ENGLISH/cms/cms1.doc CMS1 (Updated on 21 February 2005)