

International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda Tribunal pénal international pour le Rwanda

1CTR-01-77-T

OR: ENG

TRIAL CHAMBER II

Before:

Judge Arlette Ramaroson, Presiding

Judge William H. Sekule

Judge Solomy Balungi Bossa

Registrar:

Mr Adama Dieng

Date:

16 January 2007

The PROSECUTOR v. Joseph NZABIRINDA

JAN 16 · A 10: 43

Case No. ICTR-2001-77-T

DECISION ON THE DEFENCE'S EXTREMELY URGENT CONFIDENTIAL MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE MEASURES FOR WITNESS LBZ2

Office of the Prosecutor Mr Hassan B. Jallow Mr Stephen Rapp Mr William Egbe

Defence Counsel Mr François Roux Mr Jean Haguma Mr Celestin Buhuru Ms Charlotte Moreau



THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNAL FOR RWANDA (the "Tribunal"),

SITTING as Trial Chamber II, composed of Judge Arlette Ramaroson, Presiding, Judge William H. Sekule, and Judge Solomy Balungi Bossa (the "Chamber");

BEING SEIZED of the Confidential "Requête additionnelle en extrême urgence de la Défense aux fins de prescription de mesures de protection du témoin LZB2", filed on 12 January 2007 (the "Motion");

NOTING that the Prosecution does not oppose the Motion:1

RECALLING its "Decision on Nzabirinda's Under Seal – Extremely Urgent Motion for Protective Measures for Character Witnesses" of 13 December 2006:

CONSIDERING the Statute of the Tribunal (the "Statute"), in particular Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Statute, and the Rules of Procedure and Evidence (the "Rules"), specifically Rules 69 and 75;

NOW DECIDES the Motion pursuant to Rule 73 (A) of the Rules on the basis of the written submissions of the Defence.

The Defence

- 1. The Defence relies upon Articles. 14, 19(1) and 21 of the Statute and Rules 69 and 75 of the Rules to request protective measures for Witness LZB2. It submits that it intends to request the Chamber to admit the proposed witness' written statement pursuant to Rule 92 bis (A)² and that it is unlikely that the witness will come to testify. The Defence argues that protective measures for LBZ2 are necessary for two reasons: LBZ2 has only accepted to submit a statement after having expressly asked for and been assured that his security and anonymity would be guaranteed; and the Defence fears for this witness' safety.
- 2. The Defence recalls the threats leveled against Defence witnesses, particularly if they reside in Rwanda, as is the case for LBZ2, and that these persons are afraid both for their own and their family's sake. The insecurity, the threats, the harassments before the *Gacaca* courts, and the risk of being killed are real reasons which frighten witnesses and victims alike and prevent them from testifying. Further, persons having testified have subsequently been prosecuted, which, according to the Defence, they regard as a sanction for having testified.
- 3. The Defence submits that the fears of LBZ2 are of a similar nature but are even more justified because LBZ2 is a survivor and lives on the same *colline* on which the Accused resided; he regularly meets with survivors' associations which have



¹ On 13 January 2007, Counsel for the Prosecution indicated that the Prosecution does not oppose the Motion in an electronic mail addressed to the Trial Chamber Coordinator.

The Motion, para. 21.

³ The Motion, para, 17.

^{*} The Motion, para. 22.

 $^{^{\}circ}$ The Motion, paras, 23-24.

officially forbidden him to participate in anybody's Defence, and particularly in the Accused's: he has already been threatened, and his life would be in danger if it became known that he testified for the Defence, even if it were only by making a written statement.⁶

The Defence therefore requests a number of protective measures for LBZ2.

DELIBERATIONS

- 5. The Chamber recalls that Article 21 of the Statute together with Rules 69 and 75 of the Rules provide that any Party may move the Chamber, in exceptional circumstances, to grant appropriate protective measures for victims or witnesses.
- 6. The case law of both ICTR and ICTY provide that witnesses for whom protective measures are sought must incur a real threat for their own safety or for their family and that their fear must be objectively grounded.8
- 7. The Chamber has reviewed the Defence arguments with respect to the need to safeguard the privacy and security of proposed Witness LZB2. The Chamber is of the view that there are exceptional circumstances in the present case, and that there is an objective basis for the fears expressed by the proposed witness. The Chamber will therefore consider if the measures sought are in conformity with the provisions and case law governing the matter.
- 8. The Chamber observes that the measure referred to in Paragraph 29 of the Motion requesting that the identity of Witness LBZ2, his address, whereabouts, or any other document which might reveal his identity, be placed under seal and not appear on any document of the Tribunal, is consonant with the current practice of both the Tribunal and this Chamber. Accordingly, the Chamber grants that measure with respect to Witness LBZ2.
- 9. The Chamber further observes that the scaling of identifying information sought in Paragraph 35 of the Motion is apparently similar to the measure referred to above. The Chamber further observes that once identifying information is put under seal, any document containing such information is inaccessible to the media and the public, rendering the measures sought in Paragraphs 31 and 35 of the Motion moot.
- 10. The Chamber notes the measure indicated in Paragraph 30 of the Motion requiring the Registry to only communicate the identity of the concerned witness or any information that might reveal his identity to the Witnesses and Victims Support

^{**} Prosecutor v. Renzaho, Decision on the Prosecutor's Motion for Protective Measures for Victims and Witnesses to Crimes alleged in the Indictment, 17 August 2005, para, 33.



[&]quot; The Motion, para. 27.

⁷ The Motion, paras, 29-25

² The Prosecutor v. Nzabirinda, « Decision on Prosecutor's Motion for Protective Measures for Victims and Witnesses », 4 May 2004, para.5.

⁸ See for example, The Prosecutor v. Karemera (TC), Order on Protective Measures for Prosecution Witnesses, 10 December 2004, p. 2.

Section. The Chamber observes that this is consonant with the Tribunal's practice. However, the Chamber also notes that the measure does not provide disclosure timeframes of the witness' identifying information to the Prosecution. The Chamber recalls that Rule 69 (C) provides that, "[s]ubject to Rule 75, the identity of the victim and witness shall be disclosed within such time as determined by the Trial Chamber to allow adequate time for the preparation of the Prosecution and the Defence." In light of the scheduling of the pre-sentencing hearing on 17 January 2007, the Chamber thus grants that measure with respect to Witness LBZ2 and orders that the name, address, whereabouts and other relevant identifying information regarding him or her be immediately and confidentially communicated to the Chamber and to the Prosecution.

- 11. As for the measure indicated in Paragraph 32 of the Motion requesting that the Prosecution shall not communicate the identity of the concerned witness, as well as his address, whereabouts, or any other information likely to reveal his identity to anyone cise, the Chamber understands that the communication of identifying information is limited to staff of the Office of the Prosecutor. The Chamber finds that this measure is consonant with the Tribunal's practice and therefore grants it. 12
- 12. The Chamber considers that the measure referred to in Paragraph 33 of the Motion, which requests that the Prosecution inform the Defence in writing of any request for authorisation to contact the witnesses, is consonant with the Tribunal's practice¹³ and thus grants that measure.
- 13. As to the measure indicated in Paragraph 34 of the Motion allowing the Defence to designate Witness LBZ2 with a pseudonym to be used in proceedings before the Tribunal, the communications and consultations between the Parties or with the public, until the Chamber decides otherwise, the Chamber is of the opinion that this measure corresponds to the Tribunal's practice ¹⁴ and is necessary for the protection of this witness. It therefore grants that measure.

FOR THE ABOVE REASONS,

THE TRIAL CHAMBER

GRANTS the measures requested in Paragraphs 29, 30, 32, 33, and 34 of the Motion:

¹² The Prosecutor v. Karemera (1C), Order on Protective Measures for Prosecution Witnesses, 10 December 2004, p. 3; Prosecutor v. Renzaho, Decision on the Prosecutor's Motion for Protective Measures for Victims and Witnesses to Crimes alloged in the Indictment, 17 August 2005, para, 13.



¹¹ The Prosecutor v. Karemera (TC), Order on Protective Measures for Prosecution Witnesses, 10 December 2004, p. 2: Prosecutor v. Renzaha, Decision on the Prosecutor's Motion for Protective Measures for Victims and Witnesses to Crimes alleged in the Indictment, 17 August 2005, para, 13.

¹⁷ The Prosecutor v. Bisengimana, Decision on Bisengimana's Extremely Urgent Motion for Protective Measures for Character Witnesses, 20 December 2005, para, 12.

¹³ The Prosecutor v. Karomera (TC), Order on Protective Measures for Prosecution Witnesses, 10 December 2004, p. 3; Prosecutor v. Renzaho, Decision on the Prosecutor's Motion for Protective Measures for Victims and Witnesses to Crimes alleged in the Indictment, 17 August 2005, para 13.

634

DIRECTS the Defence to immediately and confidentially disclose Witness LBZ2's name, address, whereabouts and other relevant identifying information regarding him or her to the Chamber and to the Prosecution:

DECLARES MOOT the measures requested in Paragraphs 31 and 35 of the Motion.

Arusha, 16 January 2007

Arlette Ramaroson Presiding Judge William H. Sekule Judge Solomy B. Bossa Judge

[Seal of the Tribunal]



TRANSMISSION SHEET FOR FILING OF DOCUMENTS WITH CMS

COURT MANAGEMENT SECTION

(Art. 27 of the Directive for the Registry)

3 - GENERAL INFORMATION (To be completed by the Chambers / Filing Party) Trial Chamber I X Trial Chamber II Trial Chamber III Appeals Chamber / Arusha N. M. Diallo R N Kouambo C. K. Hometown To: F A Talon Chief, JPU, CMS Chief, CMS Deputy Chief, CMS Appeals Chamber / The Hague J.-P. Fomété M. Dipp M. Diop R. Muzigo-Morrison K. K. A. Afande From: Chamber Defence Prosecutor's Office Other. Kathrin Grave (nemes) (names) (names) (names) Case Number: ICTR-2001-77-T The Prosecutor vs. Nzabirinda Case Name: Transmitted: 16 January 2007 Document's date: 16 January 2007 Dates: No. of Pages: ; 5 French Original Language: ☐ Kinyarwanda. DECISION ON THE DEFENCE'S EXTREMELY URGENT CONFIDENTIAL MOTION FOR Title of Document: PROTECTIVE MEASURES FOR WITNESS LBZ2 TRIM Document Type: Classification Level: ☐ Warrant ☐ Affidavit ☐ Indictment ☐ Correspondence ☐ Submission from non-parties Strictly Confidential / Under Seal Decision Notice of Appeal Submission from parties Confidential Appeal Book Disclosure Order Accused particulars ⊠ Public ☐ Judgement ■ Book of Authorities ☐ Motion il - TRANSLATION STATUS ON THE FILING DATE (To be completed by the Chambers / Filing Party) CMS SHALL take necessary action regarding translation. Filling Party hereby submits only the original, and will not submit any translated version Reference material is provided in annex to facilitate translation. 5 Target Language(s): English ☐ French CMS SHALL NOT take any action regarding translation. ☐ Filing Party hereby submits BOTH the original and the translated version for filing, a∰ollow& ☐ English in Original French ☐ Kinyarwanda Translation iπ ☐ English French Kinyarwanda CMS SHALL NOT take any action regarding translation.] Filing Party will be submitting the translated version(s) in due course in the following language(s): ■ English French Kinyarwanda KINDLY FILL IN THE BOXES BELOW The OTP is overseeing translation. DEFENCE is overseeing translation. The document is submitted for translation to: The document is submitted to an accredited service for The Language Services Section of the ICTR / Arusha. translation (fees will be submitted to DCDMS): The Language Services Section of the ICTR / The Hague. Name of contact person: An accredited service for translation; see details below: Name of service: Address: Name of confact person: E-mail / Tel. / Fax: Name of service: Address E-mail / Tel. / Fax: III - TRANSLATION PRIORITISATION (For Official use ONLY) COMMENTS □Top priority Required date: Urgent Hearing date: Normal
 No Other deadlines:



International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda Tribunal Pénal International pour le Rwanda

Arusha International Conference Centra P.O.Box 6016, Arusha, Tanzania - B.P. 6016, Arusha, Tanzania Tel. 255 57 504207-11 504367-72 or 1 217 983 2850 Fax. 255 57 504000/504373 or 1 212 963 2848/49

PROOF OF SERVICE - ARUSHA
PREUVE DE NOTIFICATION - ARUSHA

| Г— | | Case Name / Affaire | The Prosecutor vs. | The Prosecutor vs Joseph NZABIRINDA | |
|--------------------|--|--|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Date: | 16/01/07 | Case No /Affaire No. | ICTR-01-77-T | | ······ |
| : - I | · | | received by I recuipar. | ÄLO: | received by I regu par |
| To: | └ ☐ TC1 ☐ Judge E. Møse. Pr □ Judge J. R. Reddy ☐ Judge S. A. Egoro | | | | |
| | ☐ Judge D. C. M. By ☐ E. Nahamya. Co-o ☐ TC2 | linator | | | |
| | ☑ Judge W. H. Sekule(Nzabirinda) ☑ Judge A. Ramaroson(Nzabirinda) ☐ Judge K. R. Khan ☐ Judge A. J. N. de Silva ☑ Judge S. B. Bossa (Nzabirinda) ☐ Judge L. G. Muthoga | | | | |
| | ☐ Judge E. F. Short☐ Judge T. Hikmet☐ Judge S. K. Park☐ A. Leroy, Co-ordin | | | | |
| | Judge A. Vaz Judge K. R. Khan Judge D. C. M. Byton Judge F Lattanzi (Ka. Judge E. G. Muthoga Judge F. R. Arrey (Ka. Judge E. F. Short (Ma. Judge K. Hokborg (Sa. Judge G. G. Kam (Sa. L. C) Donnell, SLO R. Adjovi, Co-ordinato | remera et al) (Muhimana) iremera et al) ihimana) eromba) romba) | | 00000000 | |
| | □ R. Diarra. Co-ordinator □ C. Denis (Karemera et al.) □ H. Gogo (Seromba) □ E Nahamya, Co-ordinator (Muhimana) □ OTP / BUREAU DU PROCUREUR □ Trial Attorney in charge of case □ DEFENSE | | William Egbe | received | complete / rempler ** CMS# FORM** |
| | □ Lead Counsel François Roux □ In / à Arusha Arusha □ by fax complete (rempter CMS3bin FOR □ Co-Gounsel / Conseil Adjoint: Jean Haguma | | | | by fax complete (/emplir - CMS3bis FORM* |
| | ☐ In / à Arusha Arush All Decisions: All Decisions & Imp | | nber Unit, The Hague | |] by fax complete i remply *CMS30cs FORM*] S. Chenault, Jurist Linguist Affairs ☐ Legal Library |
| From: | | | \ \\ | C Homelow | |
| Cc: | A. Dieng A. | | - T | M. Niang | S. van Oriessche |
| Subject: Objet: | Kindly find attached the following document(s) / Veuillez trouver en annexe le(s) document(s) suivant(s): | | | | |

Documents name / fitre du document

Date Filed / Date enregistrée 16.01.2007

Pages 6

NZABIRINDA-DECISION ON THE DEFENCE'S EXTREMELY URGENT CONFIDENTIAL MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE MEASURES FOR WITNESS LBZ2