

OR: ENG

TRIAL CHAMBER III

Before Judges: Dennis C. M. Byron, Presiding

Emile Francis Short Gberdao Gustave Kam

Registrar: Adama Dieng

Date: 9 September 2005

THE PROSECUTOR

Edouard KAREMERA Mathieu NGIRUMPATSE Joseph NZIRORERA

Case No. ICTR-98-44-T

ORAL DECISION ON KAREMERA MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FILED ON 7 SEPTEMBER 2005

Office of the Prosecutor: Defence Counsel for Édouard Karemera

Don Webster

Gregory Lombardi

Iain Morley

Gilles Lahaye

Sunkarie Ballah-Conteh

Takeh Sendze

Chantal Hounkpatin and Frédéric Weyl

Defence Counsel for Mathieu Ngirumpatse

Defence Counsel for Joseph Nzirorera

Dior Diagne Mbaye and Félix Sow

Peter Robinson

See transcripts E: p. 2-3 (original language) – F p. 2-4

MR. PRESIDENT:

[p. 2; l. 1-3]

This is a continuation of the pre-trial conference that we started last week. Perhaps I can start it off by delivering three oral decisions which we thought might be important to address at this time.

[...]

[p. 3; l. 1-17]

The third ruling is on the Karemera motion for extension of time, filed on 7th September 2005. Now, in a motion filed on 7th September 2005, the Defence for Karemera requests the Chamber to allow it to make its observations on the amended indictment only when it will be served with a French version on the Chamber's decision of 5th August 2005 on the defects in the form of the indictment. Now, the Chamber notes that on the 31st of August 2005, the Defence was served with a French version of the amended indictment following the Chamber's decision of 5th August 2005. Therefore, both the Defence and the Accused were in a position to examine the charges against the Accused. The Chamber is aware of the fact that the Defence team is currently assisted by a bilingual assistant whose task is mainly to provide translation of documents. Now, I would like to comment that it is unusual for a Chamber to hear observations and motions from the Defence after the filing of the amended indictment, pursuant to the Trial Chamber's decision. What we have allowed here is an exceptional opportunity for the parties to do that. We, therefore, reject the oral motion for extension of time filed by Karemera.