



ICTR-99-50-T
17-08-2005
(22167-22166)

International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda
Tribunal pénal international pour le Rwanda

22167
R

UNITED NATIONS
NATIONS UNIES

TRIAL CHAMBER II

Or: ENG

Before: Judge Emile Francis Short

Registrar: Mr. Adama Dieng

Date: 19 August 2005

The PROSECUTOR
v.
Casimir BIZIMUNGU
Justin MUGENZI
Jérôme-Clément BICAMUMPAKA
Prosper MUGIRANEZA

Case No. ICTR-99-50-T

2005 AUG 19 P 12:56

**DECISION ON PROSPER MUGIRANEZA'S MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION
OF TIME TO FILE A REPLY TO THE PROSECUTION'S RESPONSE TO HIS
MOTION FOR JUDGEMENT OF ACQUITTAL PUSUANT TO RULE 98 BIS**

Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr. Paul Ng'arua
Mr. Ibukunolu Babajide
Mr. Elvis Bazawule
Mr. Justus Bwonwonga
Mr. Shyamlal Rajapaksa

Counsel for the Defence:

Mr. Pierre Gaudreau and Mr. Michel Croteau, for *Jérôme Bicomumpaka*
Mr. Tom Moran and Ms. Marie-Pierre Pouline, for *Prosper Mugiraneza*
Ms. Michelyne St. Laurent and Ms. Alexandra Marcil, for *Casimir Bizimungu*
Mr. Ben Gumpert, for *Justin Mugenzi*

22/66

THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNAL FOR RWANDA (the "Tribunal"),

SITTING as Judge Emile Francis Short, designated by Trial Chamber II, in accordance with Rule 73 (A) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence (the "Chamber");

SEISED of "Prosper Mugiraneza's Motion for Extension of Time to file Reply to Prosecutor's Response to His Motion for Judgement of Acquittal Pursuant to Rule 98 *bis*", filed on 16 August 2005 (the "Motion");

CONSIDERING the "Prosecutor's Consolidated Response to Dr. Bizimungu's, Mr. Bicomupaka's and Mr. Mugiraneza's Motions for Extension of Time Within Which to File Their Replies and Request for Urgent Translation of the Prosecutor's Response Pursuant to Rule 98 *Bis*", filed on 17 August (the "Response");

HEREBY DECIDES as follows:

INTRODUCTION


1. On 16 August 2005, the Mugiraneza Defence filed a Motion requesting an extension of time until 31 August 2005 in which to file a Reply to the Prosecution's Response to the Accused's Motion for acquittal pursuant to Rule 98 *bis* of the Rules. The Defence has requested this extension of time, in light of the significant length of the Prosecutor's Response, which consists of a 97-page text and an annex of 202 pages, and the voluminous evidentiary references cited in the Response. The Prosecution does not object to the Defence Motion's for an extension of time to file its Reply.

DELIBERATIONS

2. On the basis of the Defence submissions, and considering that the Prosecution does not object to the Defence Motion, the Trial Chamber

GRANTS the Defence Motion for an extension of time until 31 August 2005 to file its Reply to the Prosecution's Reponse.

Arusha, 19 August 2005



Emile Francis Short

Judge

[Seal of the Tribunal]