

15012 -smbgs

Or: ENG

### TRIAL CHAMBER II

Before:

Judge Khalida Rachid Khan, Presiding

Judge Lee Gacuiga Muthoga Judge Emile Francis Short

Registrar:

Mr. Adama Dieng

Date:

17 June 2004

The PROSECUTOR

Casimir BIZIMUNGU

Justin MUGENZI Jérôme-Clément BICAMUMPAKA Prosper MUGIRANEZA

Case No. ICTR-99-50-T

# DECISION ON JUSTIN MUGENZI AND JÉRÔME BICAMUMPAKA'S MOTION TO HAVE THE CHAMBER INQUIRING INTO THE MATTER OF THE TESTIMONY OF JEAN KAMBANDA

Rules 73 and 89(B) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence

## Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr. Paul Ng'arua

Mr. Ibukunolu Babajide

Mr. Elvis Bazawule

Mr. Justus Bwonwonga

Mr. Shyamlal Rajapaksa

## Counsel for the Defence:

Ms. Michelyne C. St. Laurent and Ms. Alexandra Marcil for Casimir Bizimungu

Mr. Howard Morrison, Q.C. and Mr. Ben Gumpert for Justin Mugenzi

Mr. Pierre Gaudreau and Mr. Michel Croteau for Jérôme-Clément Bicamumpaka

Mr. Tom Moran for Prosper Mugiraneza

Dol-



#### THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNAL FOR RWANDA (the "Tribunal"),

SITTING as Trial Chamber II, composed of Judge Khalida Rachid Khan, Presiding, Judge Lee Gacuiga Muthoga and Judge Emile Francis Short, (the "Trial Chamber");

BEING SEIZED of "Justin Mugenzi and Jérôme Bicamumpaka's Motion to Have the Trial Chamber Inquiring into the Matter of the Testimony of Jean Kambanda" filed on 21 May 2004, (the "Motion");

NOTING the "Prosecutor's Response to Justin Mugenzi and Jérôme Bicamumpaka's Motion to Have the Trial Chamber Inquiring into the Matter of the Testimony of Jean Kambanda" filed on 26 May 2004, (the "Response");

CONSIDERING the "Order for the Prosecutor to Indicate to the Trial Chamber Whether Jean Kambanda is a Prosecution Witness of Not" filed on 6 May 2004 AND the "Prosecutor's Response to the Trial Chamber's Instruction to the Prosecutor to Contact Jean Kambanda and Inform the Trial Chamber Whether or Not He Is Still a Prosecution Witness" filed on 14 May 2004;

CONSIDERING that the Trial Chamber is of the opinion that the Prosecutor's indication that Jean Kambanda is a Prosecution Witness is sufficient and self-explanatory, the Trial Chamber does not see any reason to strike this witness from the Prosecutor's Witness List. Additionally the Trial Chamber is of the view that the Motion should be considered as frivolous pursuant to Rule 73(F). Consequently, the Trial Chamber is of the view that the Motion should be denied and that there is no reason for the Trial Chamber to investigate the matter further.

#### FOR THE ABOVE REASONS, THE TRIAL CHAMBER

**DENIES** the Motion in its entirety.

**DIRECTS** the Registrar to deny the fees associated to the Motion pursuant to Rule 73(F).

Arusha, 17 June 2004

Khalida Rachid Khan

Presiding Judge

Lee Gacuiga Muthoga

Judge

**Emile Francis Short** 

Judge