19/05 '04 17:10 FAX 0031705128932 ICTR REGISTRY 2002/008 1CTR-97-20-A 1192/14 19 May 2000 Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda Intei national Tribunal Pénal International pour le Rwanda IN THE APPEALS CHAMBER Judge Theodor Meron, Presiding Judge **Before:** Judge Mohamed Shahabuddeen Judge Mehmet Güney **Judge Fausto Pocar** Judge Inés Mónica Weinberg de Roca ICTR Appeals Chamber Mr. Adama Dieng **Registrar** : **Decision of:** 19 May 2004 19 May 2004 Date: Action: Copied To: Concer Laurent SEMANZA 10 ()v. Os, l N THE PROSECUTOR 111

DECISION ON APPLICATION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF AMICUS CURIAE APPLICATION OF PAUL BISENGIMANA

NAME / NO

IGNATURI

International C

Case No. ICTR-97-20-A

<u>Counsel for the Appellant</u> Mr. Charles Taku Mr. Philip Baten

Counsel for the Prosecutor Mr. James Stewart Ms. Melanie Werrett Me. Maymuchka Lauriston

<u>Counsel for the Applicant</u> Ms. Catherine Mabille

19 May 2004

.A Tribunal for Rwanda

NJJGD-MOK

Tribunal penal comminational pour le Rwanda

CERTWIND TRUE ON OUTED ORIGINAL SEEN BY ME COMPACEMENT ULL ONFORME A L'ORIGINAL PAR NOUS

1191/H

THE APPEALS CHAMBER of the International Criminal Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Genocide and Other Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of Rwanda and Rwandan Citizens Responsible for Genocide and Other Such Violations Committed in the Territory of Neighbouring States, between 1 January and 31 December 1994 ("Appeals Chamber" and "International Tribunal", respectively),

RECALLING the "Decision on Amicus Curiae Application of Paul Bisengimana" of 30 March 2004 ("Decision"), which rejected the *amicus curiae* application of Paul Bisengimana, an accused currently awaiting trial at the International Tribunal ("Applicant"),¹ because the proposed intervention of the Applicant would not assist the Appeals Chamber in the proper determination of the appeals from the Judgement in the case of *Prosecutor v. Semanza*;

BEING SEISED OF the "Requête urgente de Paul Bisengimana en révision de la décision de la chambre d'appel du 30 Mars 2004 suite à la découverte d'un élément nouveau et aux fins d'obtenir l'autorisation d'intervenir en qualité d'amicus curiae dans la cause en appel de Laurent Semanza" filed 29 April 2004 ("Application"), in which the Applicant seeks reconsideration of the Decision because he discovered subsequent to the Decision that the Prosecution was arguing in the Semanza appeal that Laurent Semanza was a participant in a joint criminal enterprise, which may implicate the Applicant;

NOTING the "Prosecutor's Response" filed 7 May 2004;

NOTING that Laurent Semanza did not file a response and that the Applicant did not file a reply;

CONSIDERING that the Appeals Chamber has an inherent discretionary power to reconsider its previous decision where it is necessary to do so in order to prevent an injustice;²

CONSIDERING that, although the Applicant's prior pleadings did not specifically argue the joint criminal enterprise issue that he now raises as a reason for reconsideration, the Appeals Chamber was already aware of the contents of the "Prosecution's Notice of Appeal" in the *Semanza* case filed 16 June 2003 when it rendered the Decision rejecting the proposed intervention of the Applicant;

FINDING therefore that the Applicant has not demonstrated that it is necessary to reconsider the Decision in order to prevent an injustice;

¹ Prosecutor v. Bisengimana, Case No. ICTR-2000-60-I.

1190/H

FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS,

DISMISSES the Application in its entirety.

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.

Done this 19th day of May 2004, At The Hague, The Netherlands.

Theodor Meron **Presiding Judge**

[Seal of the International Tribunal]



² Prosecutor v. Mucić et al., ICTY Case No. IT-96-21-Abis, Judgment on Sentence Appeal, 8 April 2003, paras. 49 et seq; Kanyabashi v. Prosecutor, No. ICTR-96-15-AR72, Decision (Motion for Review or Reconsideration), 12 September 2000, p. 2. Case No. ICTR-97-20-A 3