

$\begin{array}{c} 1670-98-440-7 \\ 18-11-2002 \\ (2110-2107) \end{array}$

2110 many

International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda Tribunal pénal international pour le Rwanda

OR: ENG

TRIAL CHAMBER II

Before:

Judge William H. Sekule, Presiding

Judge Winston C. Matanzima Maqutu

Judge Arlette Ramaroson

Registrar:

Adama Dieng

Date:

18 November 2002



The PROSECUTOR

Juvénal KAJELIJELI Case No. ICTR-98-44A-T

DECISION ON KAJELIJELI'S MOTION SEEKING DISCLOSURE OF THE STATEMENTS OF DEFENCE DETAINED WITNESSES

The Office of the Prosecutor:

Ken Flemming Ifeoma Ojemeni

Counsel for Kajelijeli:

Lennox Hinds

Nkeyi Makanyi Bompaka

#X MD

THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNAL FOR RWANDA (the "Tribunal"),

SITTING as Trial Chamber II composed of Judges William H. Sekule, Presiding, Winston C. Matanzima Maqutu and Arlette Ramaroson (the "Chamber");

BEING SEIZED of:

- i) The "Defence Motion to Seek Disclosure of Detained Witnesses' Statements to the Rwandan Authorities," filed on 8 October 2002 (the "Motion");
- ii) The "Prosecutor's Reply to the Motion for Disclosure of Statements by Defence Detained Witnesses RGM, MEM, RHU 25 and RHU 27," filed on 14 October 2002 (the "Prosecutor's Response");
- iii) The "Defence Response to Prosecutor's Reply to the Motion for Disclosure of Statements by Defence Detained Witnesses RGM, MEM, RHU 25 and RHU 27," filed on 24 October 2002 (the "Defence Reply");

CONSIDERING the Statute of the Tribunal (the "Statute") and the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the Tribunal (the "Rules"), in particular Rules 54, 66(A) and (B), 68 and 73 of the Rules;

NOW DECIDES the Motion solely on the basis of the written briefs as filed by the Parties, pursuant to Rule 73(A) of the Rules;

SUBMISSIONS OF THE PARTIES

- 1. In the Motion, the Defence requests an order, pursuant to Rules 54 and 73 of the Rules, that the statements of Defence Witnesses RGM, MEM, RHU 25 and RHU 27 taken by the Rwandan Judicial Authorities and by the Prosecutor, which may be in the possession of the Prosecutor, be inspected and disclosed to the Defence pursuant to Rules 66(B) and 68 of the Rules.
- 2. The Defence submits that the above-mentioned witnesses were interviewed on several occasions by the Rwandan Authorities at Ruhengeri Prison in Rwanda and that Defence Witnesses RGM and MEM were interviewed on several occasions by Prosecution staff in Arusha, Tanzania.
- 3. The Prosecutor requests that the Motion be dismissed because it is frivolous and lacks merit and submits that:
 - i) On 9 October 2002, she disclosed to the Defence the statement of Defence Witness RGM;
 - ii) Defence Witness MEM never signed a written statement although he was approached and interviewed on 17 January 2001;
 - iii) The Prosecutor does not have in her possession the statements of Defence Witnesses RGM, MEM, RHU 25 and RHU 27 that were made to the Rwandan Judicial Authorities;
 - iv) She has fully complied with her obligations under Rules 66(A) and 68 and she does not have in her possession any documents, which she intends to use for the cross-examination of Defence Witnesses RGM, MEM, RHU 25 and RHU 27:
 - v) She urges the Defence to inspect any other documents or tangible objects in her possession pursuant to Rule 66(B) of the Rules.

4. The Defence replies by noting that the Prosecutor does not have in her possession any statements of Defence Witnesses RGM, MEM, RHU 25 and RHU 27 that were made before the Rwandan Judicial Authorities. The Defence however points out that the Prosecutor admits that she has interviewed Defence Witness MEM and therefore requests the Prosecutor to honor her obligations under Rule 68 of the Rules and disclose the "questions posed by the Prosecution to MEM and his answers."

HAVING DELIBERATED

- 5. The Chamber notes that the Defence, after having noted that the Prosecution does not have in her possession the statements of Defence Witnesses RGM, MEM, RHU 25 and RHU 27 that were made to the Rwandan Judicial Authorities, does not in its Reply pursue its initial request. Considering that the Prosecution does not have the said statements in its possession, the Chamber dismisses the Defence request for an order to obtain the statements of Defence Witnesses RGM, MEM, RHU 25 and RHU 27 that were allegedly made to the Rwandan Judicial Authorities.
- 6. The Defence further requests, pursuant to Rule 68 of the Rules the disclosure of "the questions posed by the Prosecution to MEM and his answers." The Chamber notes the obligations of the Prosecutor under Rule 68 of the Rules to be, "as soon as practicable, disclose to the defence the existence of evidence known to the Prosecutor which in any way tends to suggest the innocence or mitigate the guilt of the accused or may affect the credibility of prosecution evidence." The Chamber recalls its Decision of 5 July 2001 on behalf of the Accused, where it dismissed the Defence request pursuant to Rule 68 of the Rules because "[t]he Defence has not convinced the Chamber that said items exist or that they are exculpatory."
- 7. In the instant case, the Prosecution indicates that the interview with Defence Witness MEM was terminated and, on the day of the interview, Defence Witness MEM introduced himself and said the following: "On 7 April 1994 in the morning at 8am, the youths of Busogo Secteur came running and were shouting that they were going to revenge the death of President Habyarimana."
- 8. Although the Prosecution disclosed the information reflected at para 7 above, the Chamber notes that the Defence did not demonstrate how "the questions posed by the Prosecution to MEM and his answers" will be exculpatory to the Accused. Accordingly the Chamber finds that, with regard to the statement of Defence Witness MEM, the Prosecution has no obligation to disclose under Rule 68 of the Rules.
- 9. Regarding the Defence request for statements of Defence Witnesses RHU 25 and RHU 27, the Chamber notes that the Prosecution indicates that she does not have any other documents in her possession which she intends to use for the cross-examination of *inter alia* the said Defence Witnesses. Accordingly, the Chamber denies the Defence request and enjoins it to inspect, pursuant to Rule 66(B) of the Rules any other documents that may be in the possession of the Prosecutor.

¹See "Decision on Kajelijeli's Urgent Motion and Certification with Appendices in Support of urgent Motion for Disclosure of Materials pursuant to Rule 66(B) and Rule 68 of the Rules of Procedure and evidence," of 5 July 2001 in *Prosecutor v. Kajelijeli* at para. 14.

FOR THE ABOVE REASONS, THE TRIBUNAL:

DENIES the Motion in it entirety.

Arusha, 18 November 2002

William H. Sekule Judge, Presiding Winston C Matanzima Maqutu Judge

Arlette Ramaroson Judge

(Seal of the Tribunal)

TRANSMISSION SHEET FOR OFFICIAL FILING OF DOCUMENTS WITH CMS (Art. 27 of the Directive for the Registry, Court Management Section, International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda)

| То: | ☐ OIC, CMS ☐ Trial Chamber I ☑ J.P. Fomété A. N'Gum | Trial Chamb | | al Chamber I . Affande | II Appeals Officer F. Talon / R. | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | J.F. Fornete A. N Guin | W. Goetz | N | . Allande | Muzigo-Morrison | | | |
| From: | ☐ Chamber II ☐ Defence | | Prosecutors C | Office | Other: | | | |
| | Sia Mawalla (name) (name) | | (name) | | (name) | | | |
| Case Name: | The Prosecutor vs. Juvénal KAJELIJEL | _l | Case Number: | ICTR-98-4 | 14A-T | | | |
| Date | Transmitted: | ocument's da | ate: 18 Novem | ber 2002 | No. of Pages: 4 | | | |
| Original / Transl. | Document ☑ ORIGINAL: Fill sections below ☐ TRANSLATION into ☐ Eng ☐ Fr ☐ Kinyar. sa(n): ☑ Eng ☐ Fr ☐ Kinyarwanda (original was filed on) | | | | | | | |
| Doc. Title | DECISION ON KAJELIJELI'S MOTION SEEKING DISCLOSURE OF THE STATEMENTS OF DEFENCE DETAINED WITNESSES S | | | | | | | |
| TDA | NSI ATION STATUS ON DATE OF F | II ING OF C | RIGINAL (co | ampleted by Ch | namber / Filing Party) | | | |
| TRANSLATION STATUS ON DATE OF FILING OF ORIGINAL (completed by Chamber / Filing Party) No action required by CMS regarding translations: Please take action with regard to translation: | | | | | | | | |
| Filing Party/Chamber hereby submits BOTH the original and the translation to CMS for filing | | | ☑ Party/Chambers hereby submits the original, and will NOT oversee translation. | | | | | |
| Filing Party/Chamber will be submitting translation to CMS in due course, details are provided below. Fill in | | | Reference material is provided in amnex to | | | | | |
| the boxes below. facilitate translation | | | | | | | | |
| OTP is over | -seeing translation. (copy of translation requisition s attached for reference) contact person: cument is submitted for tran <u>sla</u> tion in: | lip is The servi Nan Add | Defence is over e of contact personal document is submode (Translation fe the of service: ress: ail / tel / fax: | on: K | owing accredited translation | | | |
| Engli | | tion: | | Translatio | on received by CMS on: | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (prioritisation to be completed by CMS in consultation with Chambers / LCSS) | | | | | | | | |
| ⊠Top Pri | ority COMMENT: | S | | Required | Date: | | | |
| □Urgent | | | I | Hearing D | | | | |
| ☐ Norma | : | | 1 | Other dea | adlines: | | | |



International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda Tribunal Pénal International pour le Rwanda

Arusha International Conference Centre
P.O.Box 6016, Arusha, Tanzania - B.P. 6016, Arusha, Tanzanie
Tel: 255 57 504207-11 504367-72 or 1 212 963 2850 Fax: 255 57 504000/504373 or 1 212 963 2848/49

PROOF OF SERVICE – ARUSHA PREUVE DE NOTIFICATION – ARUSHA

| Date: | 18 November, 2002 | Case Name / Affaire: | The Prosecutor v | JUVENAL KAJELIJELI | | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|--|---|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Case No / Affaire Nr.: | : ICTR-98-44A-T | | | | | | | |
| To: A: | Judge Møs Judge A. V Judge A. G | illay, President e, Vice President az unawardana a, Co-ordinator | received by / reçu par: | | d by / reçu par | | | | | |
| | ✓ Judge W.✓ Judge A. F | C. Maqutu | XVI 18/11/02 (3) |) 🛮 | a 15/11/02 | | | | | |
| | TC3 Judge L. G Judge Y. C Judge P. D M. Niang, (| strovsky | | | | | | | | |
| | | REAU DU PROCUREU rney in charge of case | KEN FLEMING | received by | Ding | | | | | |
| | □ DEFENCE □ Accused / A | / DÉFENSE: JUVENAL R | (AJELIJĒLI | | 18·11-02 complete / remplir "CMS4 FORM" | | | | | |
| | □ In / à Arush | el / Conseil Adjoint: E | LENNOX HINDS (signature) BOMPAKA NKEYI (signature) | | complete / remplir "CMS3bis FORM" complete / remplir "CMS3bis FORM | | | | | |
| | All Decisions: | All Decisions: Appeals Chamber Unit, The Hague | | | | | | | | |
| | All Decisions | & Important Public De | | & Public Affairs | ☐ Legal Library | | | | | |
| From: De: | ☐ JP. Fomété (Ol | C, CMS) K. Afande | (TC 1) Kouambo (TC 2) | F. Talon (TC 3) | F. Talon (Appeals) | | | | | |
| Cc: | A. Dieng | ☐ A. Miller, OLA, NY | L. G. Munio | K. Moghalu | S. Van Driessche | | | | | |
| | □ WVSS- D | □ WVSS-P | E. O'Donnell LDFMS | ☐ P. Nyami | be P. Enow | | | | | |
| Subject Objet: | Kindly find attac | ched the following docu | ument(s) / Veuillez trouver en a | annexe le(s) docum | ent(s) suivant(s): | | | | | |

Documents name / titre du document

Date Filed / Date enregistré

Pages

DECISION ON KAJELIJELI'S MOTION SEEKING DISCLOSURE OF THE STATEMENTS OF DEFENCE DETAINED WITNESSES

18/11/2002