

APPEALS CHAMBER

ENGLISH Original: FRENCH

Before Judge: Claude Jorda, Pre-hearing Judge

Registry: Adama Dieng

Order of: 26 June 2002

THE PROSECUTOR
(Appellant)
v.
IGNACE BAGILISHEMA
(Respondent)

Case No. ICTR-95-1A-A

SCHEDULING ORDER

Office of the Prosecutor:

Norman Farrell

Counsel for the Respondent:

François Roux Maroufa Diabira

I, Claude Jorda, Pre-hearing Judge in the present matter,

Considering the Judgement delivered in the present matter by Trial Chamber I on 7 June 2001,

Considering the "Prosecution's Notice of Appeal", filed on 9 July 2001 by the Prosecution (the "Appellant") under Rule 108(A) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence (the "Rules"),

Considering the "Prosecution's Appeal Brief (Further Reduced Version)", filed by the Appellant on 19 December 2001 under Rule 111 of the Rules,

Considering the "Respondent's Brief in Response" filed by Ignace Bagilishema (the "Respondent") on 7 February 2002 under Rule 112 of the Rules, the "Erratum au Mémoire en réponse de l'intimé" ["Erratum to the Respondent's Brief in Response"] and the two "Corrigenda," filed by Ignace Bagilishema on 8 February 2002, 13 and 14 March 2002 respectively,

Considering the "Prosecution's Reply Brief" filed by the Appellant under Rule 113 on 25 February 2002,

Considering the "Scheduling Order" issued by the Pre-hearing Judge on 30 May 2002, directing that the hearings on appeal take place on 2 July 2002,

Whereas it is necessary to specify modalities of the said appeal hearings,

Whereas, in his Brief in Response, the Respondent alleges six "errors of law and of fact which were detrimental to [him], [...] without questioning the soundness of the acquittal decision on the merits" (the "Additional Grounds"),

Whereas in the "Decision on the Prosecution's Urgent Motion for an Extension of time and for Permission to Exceed the page limits in its Reply Brief" rendered on 21 February 2002, the Pre-hearing Judge stated that the parties could expand on their arguments regarding the admissibility and merits of the six new grounds at the hearing of the appeal,

Whereas it is therefore necessary to make provision for the time needed by the parties to make their oral submissions relating to the Additional Grounds,

For the foregoing reasons,

Hereby order that the hearings on appeal shall take place as follows:

Tuesday 2 July 2002

9 a.m. - 11 Appellant's submissions (2 hours) a.m.:

Break (30 minutes)

11.30 a.m. - 1 Response by the Respondent, including his submissions on the

p.m.: Additional Grounds (1 hour 30 minutes)

Break (1 hour 30 minutes)

2.30 p.m. - Appellant's Reply, including submissions on the Additional

3.30 p.m.: Grounds (1 hour)

3.30 p.m. - 4 If need be, Rejoinder by the Respondent solely on the

p.m.: Additional Grounds (30 minutes)

Done in French and English, the French text being authoritative.

Claude Jorda Pre-hearing Judge

Done at The Hague, The Netherlands, 26 June 2002

[Seal of the Tribunal]
