

213



UNITED NATIONS
NATIONS UNIES

**International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda
Tribunal Pénal International pour le Rwanda**

Arusha International Conference Centre
P.O.Box 6016, Arusha, Tanzania - B.P. 6016, Arusha, Tanzanie
Tel: 255 57 4207-11 4367-72 or 1 212 963 2850 Fax: 255 57 4000/4373 or 1 212 963 2848/49

APPEALS CHAMBER

Before Judge: Claude Jorda
Registry: Mr. Agwu Okali
Decision date: 15 March 2000

2000 MAR 21 P 4:00
ICTR
COURT REGISTRY
RECEIVED

GEORGES ANDERSON NDERUBUMWE RUTAGANDA

vs.

THE PROSECUTOR

DECISION

- (1. MOTION FOR INADMISSABILITY OF THE PROSECUTOR'S
NOTICE OF APPEAL**
- 2. MOTION TO AMEND APPELLANT'S NOTICE OF APPEAL**
- 3. MOTION TO EXTEND THE TIME-LIMITS FOR FILING
THE PROSECUTION'S NOTICE OF APPEAL)**

Counsel for the Appellant:

Ms Tiphaine Dickson

Office of the Prosecutor:

Mrs. Carla Del Ponte

213
bis

I, Claude Jorda, Pre-Hearing Judge in the instant case;

Considering the judgement and sentence handed down in the instant case by Trial Chamber I of the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda on 6 December 1999;

Considering the notices of appeal filed on 5 January 2000 by Georges Anderson Nderubumwe Rutaganda (the “Appellant”) and on 6 January 2000 by the Prosecutor;

Considering the “Motion for inadmissibility of the Prosecutor’s notice of Appeal (Rule 108 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda)” filed by the Appellant on 11 January 2000 (the “motion for dismissal”), and the “Motion to amend Appellant’s notice of appeal (Rule 108 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda)” filed by the Appellant on 12 January 2000 (the “motion to amend the Appellant’s notice of appeal”);

Considering the Prosecution’s response to the motion for dismissal and its application to extend time-limits, filed on 21 January 2000¹ (the “Prosecutor’s application to extend time-limits”);

In view of non-objection by the Prosecutor to the motion to amend Appellant’s notice of appeal;

In view of the nature of the amendments in question, which are corrections of error and not new substantive proposals;

In view of Rule 116 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the Tribunal;

ORDER AS FOLLOWS:

1. The motion to amend Appellant’s notice of appeal is granted.
2. The Prosecutor’s application to extend time-limits is granted, the notice of appeal is accepted.
3. The motion for dismissal is rejected.

Done in French and in English, the French version being authoritative.

(Signed)
Judge Claude Jorda
Pre-Hearing Judge

Done on 15 March 2000
The Hague (The Netherlands)

[Stamped with the ICTR seal]

¹ The Prosecution’s Response to the Defence Motion to Reject the Prosecutor’s Notice of Appeal and the Prosecution’s Motion to Extend the Time-Limits for Filing the Prosecution’s Notice of Appeal (Rule 116 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence).