Cour Pénale Internationale



International Criminal Court

Original: English

No.: **ICC-02/11-01/15** Date: 1 February 2018

TRIAL CHAMBER I

Before:

Judge Cuno Tarfusser, Presiding Judge Judge Olga Herrera Carbuccia Judge Geoffrey Henderson

SITUATION IN THE REPUBLIC OF CÔTE D'IVOIRE IN THE CASE OF THE PROSECUTOR v. LAURENT GBAGBO and CHARLES BLÉ GOUDÉ

Public redacted version

Decision on Prosecution application for non-standard redactions to material related to another and ongoing investigation in the Côte d'Ivoire situation

Decision to be notified, in accordance with Regulation 31 of the *Regulations of the Court*, to:

The Office of the Prosecutor Ms Fatou Bensouda Mr James Stewart Mr Eric MacDonald	Counsel for Laurent Gbagbo Mr Emmanuel Altit Ms Agathe Bahi Baroan
	Counsel for Mr Charles Blé Goudé Mr Geert-Jan Alexander Knoops Mr Claver N'dry
Legal Representatives of Victims Ms Paolina Massidda	Legal Representatives of Applicants
Unrepresented Victims	Unrepresented Applicants for Participation/Reparation
The Office of Public Counsel for Victims	The Office of Public Counsel for the Defence
States' Representatives	Amicus Curiae
REGISTRY	
Registrar Mr Herman von Hebel	Counsel Support Section
Victims and Witnesses Unit	Detention Section
Victims Participation and Reparations Section	Others

Trial Chamber I ('Chamber') of the International Criminal Court ('Court'), in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Laurent Gbagbo and Charles Blé Goudé*, having regard to Articles 64 and 68 of the Rome Statute ("Statute") and Rule 81 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence, issues the following decision:

- 1. On 1 December 2018 the Prosecutor filed an ex parte application to be authorised to apply non-standard redactions to [REDACTED] in the course of her ongoing investigation in Ivory Coast.¹ The Prosecutor supplemented her application on 11 December 2018 in relation to additional screening notes.²
- 2. The Chamber has carefully considered the Prosecutor's application [REDACTED]. While the Chamber recognises the Prosecutor's need to protect its ongoing investigations, it is not persuaded by the Prosecutor's general and unsubstantiated justification for the proposed redactions. In particular, the Chamber notes the lack of information regarding the present situation of the persons that were interviewed by the Prosecutor.
- 3. Moreover, the Prosecutor has not sufficiently explained why disclosing the identity of the persons to the Defence in these proceedings would jeopardise its other ongoing investigations. The Chamber recalls that both accused remain in detention and that their respective defence teams are bound by high standards of professional conduct. To the Chamber's knowledge, there have not been any substantiated incidences of unauthorised disclosure of confidential information by the Defence.
- 4. The Chamber is therefore of the view that the Prosecutor has not satisfied its burden to demonstrate that disclosure of the identity of the interviewed

¹ ICC-02/11-01/15-1083-Conf-Exp

² ICC-02/11-01/15-1085-Conf-Exp

persons to the Defence would occasion an objectively justifiable risk of prejudice to its ongoing investigation. Notwithstanding this, and given the *ex parte* nature of this application, ordering the Prosecutor to provide additional information and further consideration of each application is not efficient and may produce unfairness because the Defence would not be able to comment. Moreover, it would imply further delaying the disclosure of information which the Prosecutor has deemed material to the preparation of its Defence. The Chamber notes, in this regard, that the Prosecutor had in its possession for some time the undisclosed screening notes containing information that is potentially material for the defence of the two accused in this case. In order to avoid additional delays, the Chamber authorises the Prosecutor to disclose the screening notes with the proposed redactions.

5. In the event of an *inter partes* application to lift the redactions so as to reveal the identity of any person or persons questioned by the Prosecution, it is incumbent upon the Prosecutor to properly substantiate the need for the redaction. In the alternative, where lifting such redactions may prejudice the Prosecutor's investigations, it is open to the parties to stipulate agreed facts that satisfy the interest of the both parties, failing which either or both parties shall bring the matter to the attention of the Chamber.

1 February 2018

FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS, THE CHAMBER HEREBY

AUTHORISES the Prosecutor to apply the proposed redactions under the conditions outlined in this decision.

Done in both English and French, the English version being authoritative.

Judge Cuno Tarfusser, Presiding Judge

Judge Olga Herrera Carbuccia

Hendedes

Judge Geoffrey Henderson

Dated 1 February 2018

At The Hague, The Netherlands