Cour Pénale Internationale



International Criminal Court

Original: English No.: ICC-01/04-02/06

Date: 16 May 2017

#### TRIAL CHAMBER VI

Before: Judge Robert Fremr, Presiding Judge

Judge Kuniko Ozaki Judge Chang-ho Chung

# SITUATION IN THE DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF THE CONGO IN THE CASE OF THE PROSECUTOR v. BOSCO NTAGANDA

#### Public

Decision on Defence's request to hear Witness D-0052's testimony via video-link

To be notified, in accordance with Regulation 31 of the Regulations of the Court, to:

The Office of the Prosecutor Counsel for Bosco Ntaganda

Ms Fatou Bensouda Mr Stéphane Bourgon Mr James Stewart Mr Christopher Gosnell

Ms Nicole Samson

Legal Representatives of Victims Legal Representatives of Applicants

Ms Sarah Pellet Mr Dmytro Suprun

Unrepresented Victims Unrepresented Applicants for

Participation/Reparation

The Office of Public Counsel for The Office of Public Counsel for the

Victims Defence

States' Representatives Amicus Curiae

REGISTRY

Registrar Counsel Support Section

Mr Herman von Hebel

Victims and Witnesses Unit Detention Section

Mr Nigel Verrill

Victims Participation and Reparations Others

Section

**Trial Chamber VI** ('Chamber') of the International Criminal Court, in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Bosco Ntaganda*, having regard to Articles 64 and 67-69 of the Rome Statute ('Statute') and Rule 67 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence ('Rules'), issues the following 'Decision on Defence's request to hear Witness D-0052's testimony via video-link'.

- 1. On 5 May 2017, the defence team for Mr Ntaganda ('Defence') filed a request seeking that the testimony of Witness D-0052 ('Witness') be heard via video-link ('Request'). The Defence submits that video-link testimony would 'avoid a substantial disruption to the [W]itness's daily life by travelling for an extended period of time to The Hague' and, noting that this measure will ensure that he is able to testify during the first evidentiary block, that the Request will facilitate the expeditiousness of the proceedings.
- 2. On 15 May 2017, in line with the deadline set by the Chamber,<sup>4</sup> the Office of the Prosecutor filed a response indicating that, although the Defence 'fails to provide any cogent reason why [the Witness]'s testimony should be heard *via* video-link', it does not oppose the Request.<sup>5</sup>
- 3. As previously held,<sup>6</sup> the Chamber considers that the Statute and the Rules give the Chamber a broad discretion to permit evidence to be given *viva voce* by

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Request on behalf of Mr Ntaganda to hear DRC-D18-0052's testimony *via* video-link, ICC-01/04-02/06-1889-Conf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Request, ICC-01/04-02/06-1889-Conf, para. 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Request, ICC-01/04-02/06-1889-Conf, para. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Email from Legal Officer of the Chamber to parties, 12 May 2017, 15:38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Prosecution's response to the "Request on behalf of Mr Ntaganda to hear DRC-D18-0052's testimony *via* video-link", ICC-01/04-02/06-1889-Conf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Decision on Prosecution's request to hear P-0933's testimony via video-link, 16 March 2016, ICC-01/04-02/06-1213-Red, para. 6; and Decision on Prosecution's request to hear P-0039's testimony by way of video-link, 12 October 2015, ICC-01/04-02/06-897-Red2, para. 12, making reference, *inter alia*, to *Prosecutor v. Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo*, Decision on the "Second Further Revised Defence Submissions on the Order of Witnesses" (ICC-01/05-01/08-2644) and on the appearance of Witnesses D04-02, D04-09, D04-03, D04-04 and D04-06 via video-link, 31 May 2013, ICC-01/05-01/08-2646, para. 8; and *Prosecutor v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo*, Redacted Decision on the defence request for a witness to give evidence via video-link, 9 February 2010, ICC-01/04-01/06-2285-Red, paras 14-15.

means of video or audio technology provided, inter alia, that such measures are not prejudicial to, or inconsistent with, the rights of the accused. The Chamber further recalls that it does not consider the use of video-link for testimony to require exceptional justification.<sup>7</sup>

4. In the present circumstances, noting inter alia, the nature of the Witness's expected testimony, the Chamber finds that the requested measure is not prejudicial to the rights of the accused and considers it appropriate to hear the Witness's testimony by way of video-link.

No. ICC-01/04-02/06

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Decision on Prosecution's request to hear Witness P-0668's testimony via video-link, 9 September 2016, ICC-01/04-02/06-1499, para. 4; Decision on Prosecution's request to hear Witness P-0918's testimony via video-link, 4 November 2016, ICC-01/04-02/06-1612-Conf, para. 5.

### FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS, THE CHAMBER HEREBY

## **GRANTS** the Request;

**DIRECTS** the Registry to make the necessary arrangements for the hearing of Witness D-0052's testimony by way of video-link; and

**DIRECTS** the parties to file public redacted versions of their respective filings by 30 May 2017.

Done in both English and French, the English version being authoritative.

Judge Robert Fremr, Presiding Judge

Judge Kuniko Ozaki

Judge Chang-ho Chung

Dated this 16 May 2017 At The Hague, The Netherlands