Cour Pénale Internationale



International Criminal Court

Original: English

No.: ICC-01/05-01/13

Date: 8 July 2015

## TRIAL CHAMBER VII

**Before:** 

Judge Chile Eboe-Osuji, Presiding Judge

Judge Olga Herrera Carbuccia

**Judge Bertram Schmitt** 

## SITUATION IN THE CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC

## IN THE CASE OF

THE PROSECUTOR v. JEAN-PIERRE BEMBA GOMBO, AIMÉ KILOLO MUSAMBA, JEAN-JACQUES MANGENDA KABONGO, FIDÈLE BABALA WANDU and NARCISSE ARIDO

## **Public**

Decision on the Prosecution Request for Extension of Page and Time Limits regarding its Pre-Trial Brief

Decision to be notified, in accordance with Regulation 31 of the Regulations of the Court, to:

The Office of the Prosecutor

Ms Fatou Bensouda

Mr James Stewart

Mr Kweku Vanderpuye

Counsel for Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo

Ms Melinda Taylor

Counsel for Aimé Kilolo Musamba

Mr Paul Djunga Mudimbi

Counsel for Jean-Jacques Mangenda

Kabongo

Mr Christopher Gosnell

**Counsel for Fidèle Babala Wandu** Mr Jean-Pierre Kilenda Kakengi Basila

**Counsel for Narcisse Arido** Mr Charles Achaleke Taku

Legal Representatives of Victims Legal Representatives of Applicants

Unrepresented Victims Unrepresented Applicants for

Participation/Reparation

The Office of Public Counsel for Victims The Office of Public Counsel for the

**Defence** 

States Representatives Others

REGISTRY

Registrar

**Counsel Support Section** 

Mr Herman von Hebel

**Victims and Witnesses Unit** 

**Detention Section** 

Victims Participation and Reparations

Section

No. ICC-01/05-01/13

2/5

8 July 2015

**Trial Chamber VII** (the 'Chamber') of the International Criminal Court, in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo, Aimé Kilolo Musamba, Jean-Jacques Mangenda Kabongo, Fidèle Babala Wandu and Narcisse Arido*, having regard to Regulations 35 and 37 of the Regulations of the Court (the 'Regulations'), renders this 'Decision on the Prosecution request for Extension of Page and Time Limits regarding its Pre-Trial Brief.'

# I. Procedural history and submissions

- 1. On 10 June 2015, the Chamber invited the Prosecution to file a Pre-Trial Brief by 16 July 2015.<sup>1</sup>
- 2. On 3 July 2015, the Office of the Prosecutor (the 'Prosecution') filed a request for extension of page and time limit concerning its Pre-Trial Brief (the 'Request').<sup>2</sup> It requests a page limit extension to a total of 200 pages and to vary the time limit by one week, until 23 July 2015.
- 3. With regard to the extension of page limit, the Prosecution states that, taking into account the number of accused in the present case and in order for the Prosecution to explain the case theory regarding each confirmed charge and relevant evidence, it would require a page limit extension.<sup>3</sup>
- 4. Furthermore, the Prosecution states that good cause exists to grant an extension of time for filing a significant and effective Pre-Trial Brief. It submits that extending the filing deadline to 23 July 2015 would not be

8 July 2015

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Decision on the Submission of Auxiliary Documents, ICC-01/05-01/13-992, para. 21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Prosecution's Request for Variation of Page and Time Limits Concerning the Pre-Trial Brief, ICC-01/05-01/13-1055-Conf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Request, ICC-01/05-01/13-1055-Conf, paras 9-14.

ICC-01/05-01/13-1068 08-07-2015 4/5 RH T

prejudicial to the Defence. Further, it argues that a Pre-Trial Brief is not

a statutory document and its submission discretionary.4

II. Analysis

5. The Chamber first notes that it has all the necessary information to rule

on the Request. Therefore, in the interest of expeditiousness, it will rule

on the Request without responses by the parties.

6. The Chamber accepts the grounds for a variation of time relating to the

number of accused in the case and the multitude of charges confirmed

by the Pre-Trial Chamber. Moreover, taking into account the limited

amount of time for which an extension is requested, this variation of

time will not be prejudicial to the Defence. The Chamber therefore

considers that the Prosecution has shown good cause pursuant to

Regulation 35(2) of the Regulations.

7. As regards the requested 200 page limit, the Chamber considers that,

given the nature of the pre-trial brief, an extension is justified pursuant

to Regulation 37 of the Regulations.

FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS THE CHAMBER HEREBY

**GRANTS** the Request.

<sup>4</sup> Request, ICC-01/05-01/13-1055-Conf, paras 2, 15-17.

Done in both English and French, the English version being authoritative.

Judge Chile Eboe-Osuji, Presiding

Judge Olga Herrera Carbuccia

**Judge Bertram Schmitt** 

Dated 8 July 2015

At The Hague, The Netherlands