Cour Pénale Internationale



International Criminal Court

Original: English

No.: ICC-02/04-01/05

Date: 10 March 2009

Date of public redacted version: 22 May 2015

PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER II

Before

Judge Mauro Politi, Presiding Judge

Judge Hans-Peter Kaul

Judge Ekaterina Trendafilova

SITUATION IN UGANDA
IN THE CASE OF
THE PROSECUTOR v. JOSEPH KONY, VINCENT OTTI, OKOT ODHIAMBO,
DOMINIC ONGWEN

**Public redacted version** 

Decision on the "Registry's Report on the mission to Uganda"

No.: ICC-02/04-01/05 1/6 10 March 2009

Decision to be notified, in accordance with regulation 31 of the Regulations of the Court, to:

The Office of the Prosecutor

Counsel for the Defence

Legal Representatives of the Victims

Legal Representatives of the Applicants

**Unrepresented Victims** 

Unrepresented Applicants for Participation/Reparation

The Office of Public Counsel for Victims

The Office of Public Counsel for the Defence

**States Representatives** 

**Amicus Curiae** 

## **REGISTRY**

Registrar

**Defence Support Section** 

Ms Silvana Arbia

Victims and Witnesses Unit

**Detention Section** 

Victims Participation and Reparations

Other

Section

No.:ICC-02/04-01/05

10 March 2009

2/6

The Judges of Pre-Trial Chamber II (the "Chamber") of the International Criminal Court (the "Court");

1. NOTING the "Decision assigning the situation in Uganda" to Pre-Trial

Chamber II issued by the Presidency on 5 July 2004;1

2. NOTING the warrant of arrest for Joseph KONY, issued by the Chamber on

8 July 2005, as amended on 27 September 2005,<sup>2</sup> and the warrants of arrest issued for

Vincent OTTI,3 Okot ODHIAMBO,4 and Dominic ONGWEN5 on 8 July 2005 (the

"Warrants"), in the case of the Prosecutor v. Joseph Kony, Vincent Otti, Okot Odhiambo

and Dominic Ongwen (the "Case");

3. **NOTING** the request for arrest and surrender of Joseph KONY, dated 8 July

2005, as amended on 27 September 2005,6 and the requests for arrest and surrender

of Vincent OTTI,7 Okot ODHIAMBO8 and Dominic ONGWEN9 to the Republic of

Uganda, dated 8 July 2005;

4. NOTING the "Decision initiating proceedings under article 19, requesting

observations and appointing counsel for the Defence" dated 21 October 2008;10

5. NOTING the "Registry's Report on the mission to Uganda" dated 20

February 2009 (the "Registry's Report"),11 whereby the Registrar submitted

information "on the possible surrender of Okot Odhiambo, and on the modalities

<sup>1</sup> ICC-02/04-1.

<sup>2</sup> ICC-02/04-01/05-53.

3 ICC-02/04-01/05-54.

4 ICC-02/04-01/05-56

<sup>5</sup> ICC-02/04-01/05-57.

6 ICC-02/04-01/05-29-US-Exp, reclassified as public pursuant to Decision ICC-02/04-01/05-135.

<sup>7</sup> ICC-02/04-01/05-13-US-Exp, reclassified as public pursuant to Decision ICC-02/04-01/05-135.

 ${\rm ^8\ ICC\text{-}02/04\text{-}01/05\text{-}15\text{-}US\text{-}Exp,\ reclassified\ as\ public\ pursuant\ to\ Decision\ ICC\text{-}02/04\text{-}01/05\text{-}135}.}$ 

9 ICC-02/04-01/05-16-US-Exp, reclassified as public pursuant to Decision ICC-02/04-01/05-135.

10 ICC-02/04-01/05-320.

" ICC-02/04-01/05-370-Conf-Exp.

No.:ICC-02/04-01/05

10 March 2009

ICC-02/04-01/15-155-Red 22-05-2015 4/6 NM PT

currently in place in Uganda for arrest and surrender of persons against whom an

ICC arrest warrant has been issued" following a mission to Uganda during which

representatives from the Registry met with members of the ICC-Uganda Task Force,

officials from the embassies of a number of countries, [REDACTED]

, and with the Chief of Party of the

pro bono law firm Public International Law and Policy Group ("PILPG");

6. NOTING further that, on the basis of the information submitted, the Registry

suggested to the Chamber (i) to grant PILPG the status of amicus curiae providing

views "on the capacity of the Special Division of the High Court to try war crimes in

Uganda" and (ii) to seek the views of the Government of Uganda on what modalities

they intended to use in order to execute the arrest and surrender of the persons

sought by the Court in the Case;

7. NOTING article 19 of the Statute of the Court (the "Statute"), regulation 23

bis and regulation 24bis of the Regulations of the Court (the "Regulations");

8. NOTING that a significant portion of the Registry's Report addressed issues

pertaining to the interpretation and application of the statutory provisions relevant

within the context of the Proceedings, namely those governing the principle of

complementarity and the admissibility of a case before the Court;

9. CONSIDERING that such issues, far from pertaining to the Registry's

functions as enshrined in the statutory instruments of the Court, fall within the scope

of the exclusive competences and responsibilities of the Chamber;

10. NOTING that other sections of the Registry's Report address issues relating

to the prospects for arrest and surrender of the persons sought by the Court in the

Case;

No.:ICC-02/04-01/05 4/6 10 March 2009

ICC-02/04-01/15-155-Red 22-05-2015 5/6 NM PT

11. CONSIDERING that the statutory instruments of the Court vest the Registry

with responsibilities as to the arrest and surrender of the persons sought by the

Court and that, accordingly, the sections of the Registry's Report addressing issues

of arrest and surrender are related to the proper discharge of the Registrar's

functions within the meaning of regulation 24bis(1) of the Regulations;

12. CONSIDERING, however, that regulation 24bis(1) of the Regulations

requires that the submissions by the Registrar, whether oral or written, be made

"with notification to the participants" and that regulation 24bis(2) only allows for a

document to be filed "ex parte Registrar only" "if knowledge by the participants of

the content of the document filed would defeat its purpose";

13. CONSIDERING that regulation 23bis(1) of the Regulations provides that

"any document filed by the Registrar or a participant and marked 'ex parte, 'under

seal' or 'confidential' shall state the factual and legal basis for the chosen

classification";

14. CONSIDERING that the Registry's Report was filed as "confidential, ex parte

Registry", without however providing any factual or legal justification supporting

the chosen classification;

15. CONSIDERING that most, if not all, of the information contained in the

Registry's Report appears to be already either in the record of the Case or in the

public domain or and that, accordingly, the Chamber can hardly see how access to

the Registry's Report by the other participants would "defeat its purpose";

16. CONSIDERING that, pursuant to regulation 24bis(2) of the Regulations, even

in the event that it would be determined that knowledge of its content by the other

participants would defeat the purpose of the Registrar's submission, the Chamber

No.:ICC-02/04-01/05

10 March 2009

still has to decide "whether notice of the existence of the filing is to be provided to the participants";

17. CONSIDERING that, to date, the Chamber is not in a position to take the decision required under regulation 24bis(2) of the Regulations;

FOR THESE REASONS, HEREBY

REQUEST the Registrar to provide reasons justifying her choice to file the Report as "Confidential Ex parte Registrar" no later than 17 March 2009.

Done in both English and French, the English version being authoritative.

[signed]

Judge Mauro Politi

Presiding Judge

[signed] [signed]

Judge Hans-Peter Kaul Judge Ekaterina Trendaffibva

Dated this Tuesday, 10 March 2009 At The Hague, The Netherlands

No.:ICC-02/04-01/05 6/6 10 March 2009