Cour Pénale Internationale



International Criminal Court

Original: English

No.: ICC-01/05-01/08 Date: 22 January 2014

## TRIAL CHAMBER III

**Before:** 

Judge Sylvia Steiner, Presiding Judge Judge Joyce Aluoch Judge Kuniko Ozaki

## SITUATION IN THE CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC IN THE CASE OF THE PROSECUTOR v. JEAN-PIERRE BEMBA GOMBO

Public

Decision on "Prosecution's Request for leave to reply to Defence 'Response to the Prosecution's Application to Submit Additional Evidence'"

No. ICC-01/05-01/08

Decision to be notified in accordance with regulation 31 of the *Regulations of the Court* to:

The Office of the Prosecutor	Counsel for the Defence
Ms Fatou Bensouda	Mr Peter Haynes
Mr Jean-Jacques Badibanga	Ms Kate Gibson
<b>Legal Representatives of the Victims</b> Ms Marie-Édith Douzima-Lawson	Legal Representatives of the Applicants
Unrepresented Victims	Unrepresented Applicants for Participation/Reparation
The Office of Public Counsel for Victims Ms Paolina Massidda	<b>The Office of Public Counsel for the Defence</b> Mr Xavier-Jean Keïta
States Representatives	Amicus Curiae
REGISTRY	
Registrar	<b>Counsel Support Section</b>
Mr Herman Von Hebel	
Victims and Witnesses Unit	Detention Section
Victims Participation and Reparations Section	Other

No. ICC-01/05-01/08

Trial Chamber III ("Chamber") of the International Criminal Court ("Court") in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo* issues the following Decision on the "Prosecution's Request for leave to reply to Defence 'Response to the Prosecution's Application to Submit Additional Evidence'" ("Decision").

- On 29 November 2013, the Office of the Prosecutor ("prosecution") filed its "Prosecution Application to Submit Additional Evidence" ("Prosecution Application"),<sup>1</sup> in which it requests the Chamber to allow the prosecution to disclose and submit additional evidence, pursuant to Regulation 35(2) of the Regulations of the Court ("Regulations"); or, in the alternative, that the Chamber order the submission of the additional evidence for the determination of the truth.<sup>2</sup>
- 2. On 15 January 2014, the defence for Mr Jean-Pierre Bemba ("defence") filed its confidential "Response to the Prosecution's Application to Submit Additional Evidence" ("Defence Response"),<sup>3</sup> in which it requests the Chamber to reject the Prosecution Application.
- 3. On 20 January 2014, the prosecution filed a request for leave to reply to the Defence Response, pursuant to Regulation 24(5) of the Regulations.<sup>4</sup> In its request, the prosecution contends that the Chamber will benefit from receiving additional observations from the prosecution.<sup>5</sup> The prosecution submits that the Defence Response "raises novel arguments that could not have been anticipated, but which have a significant bearing on the Prosecution Application and on the proceedings".<sup>6</sup> The prosecution raises

<sup>5</sup> ICC-01/05-01/08-2940, paragraph 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Prosecution's Application to Submit Additional Evidence, 29 November 2013, ICC-01/05-01/08-2910.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ICC-01/05-01/08-2910, paragraphs 9 and 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Defence Response to the Prosecution's Application to Submit Additional Evidence, 15 January 2014, ICC-01/05-01/08-2937-Conf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Prosecution's Request for leave to reply to Defence "Response to the Prosecution's Application to Submit Additional Evidence", 20 January 2014, ICC-01/05-01/08-2940.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> ICC-01/05-01/08-2940, paragraph 3.

three issues in support of this as follows:7

- i. Whether evidence of witness bribery and coaching in this case is rebuttal evidence and/or relevant evidence necessary for the determination of the truth;
- ii. Whether the Prosecution's submission of additional evidence under the circumstances is justifiable;
- iii. Whether the Accused is prejudiced by the disclosure of additional evidence of witness bribery and coaching when he and other members of his Defence team consciously and knowingly based their case on false evidence.
- 4. The Chamber considers that it may benefit from hearing the views of the prosecution on these issues and finds that the request is well-founded. Leave to reply will therefore be granted.
- 5. For the foregoing reasons, the Chamber:
  - a. GRANTS the prosecution's request for leave to reply, pursuant to Regulation 24(5) of the Regulations; and
  - b. ORDERS that the prosecution's reply be filed no later than 16:00 on28 January 2014, pursuant to Regulation 35(2) of the Regulations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> ICC-01/05-01/08-2940, paragraph 3

Done in both English and French, the English version being authoritative.



Judge Sylvia Steiner

norh ь Ř

Judge Joyce Aluoch

Dated this 22 January 2014 At The Hague, the Netherlands

Kha lis

Judge Kuniko Ozaki

No. ICC-01/05-01/08