Cour Pénale Internationale



International Criminal Court

Original: English No.: ICC-01/04-01/07

Date: 13 January 2012

#### TRIAL CHAMBER II

Before:

Judge Bruno Cotte, Presiding Judge Judge Fatoumata Dembele Diarra Judge Christine Van den Wyngaert

# SITUATION IN THE DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF THE CONGO IN THE CASE OF

THE PROSECUTOR v. GERMAIN KATANGA and MATHIEU NGUDJOLO CHUI

**Public** 

Order to the Prosecutor regarding the alleged false testimony of witness P-159

No.: ICC-01/04-01/07 1/5 13 January 2012

Decision to be notified in accordance with regulation 31 of the Regulations of the Court to:

The Office of the Prosecutor

Mr Luis Moreno-Ocampo

Ms Fatou Bensouda Mr Eric MacDonald Mr David Hooper

Counsel for Germain Katanga

Mr Andreas O'Shea

Counsel for Mathieu Ngudjolo

Chui

Mr Jean-Pierre Kilenda Kakengi

Basila

Mr Jean-Pierre Fofé Djofia Malewa

Legal Representatives of the

**Victims** 

Mr Fidel Nsita Luvengika Mr Jean-Louis Gilissen Legal Representatives of the

**Applicants** 

Unrepresented Victims Unrepresented Applicants for

Participation/Reparation

The Office of Public Counsel for

**Victims** 

The Office of Public Counsel for

the Defence

**REGISTRY** 

Registrar Counsel Support Section

Victims and Witnesses Unit Others

No.: ICC-01/04-01/07 2/5 13 January 2012

ICC-01/04-01/07-3223 13-01-2012 3/5 FB T

Trial Chamber II of the International Criminal Court ("the Chamber" and "the Court" respectively), acting pursuant to articles 64 and 70 of the Rome Statute ("the Statute") and rules 134(3), 162 and 165 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence ("the Rules"), decides as follows:

## I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

- 1. Between 17 and 29 March 2010, Prosecution witness P-159 testified before this Chamber. On 14 December 2010, the Prosecution informed the Chamber that it would no longer rely on the testimony of witness P-159.<sup>1</sup>
- 2. The Chamber received submissions from the parties and the participants,<sup>2</sup> as well as a further reply from the Prosecutor<sup>3</sup> and rejoinders from the Defence.<sup>4</sup> The Defence for Mr. Ngudjolo argued that P-159 had lied during his testimony and asked the Chamber to order that legal proceedings for perjury against P-159 be initiated.<sup>5</sup>
- 3. In its decision of 24 February 2011, the Chamber decided that it would not give any weight to witness P-159's testimony in its deliberations on the question

No.: ICC-01/04-01/07 3/5 13 January 2012

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Prosecution's Notice that it will not rely on the testimony of Prosecution Witness P-159 to prove its case", 14 December 2010, ICC-01/014-01/07-2631-Conf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Observations de la Défense de Mathieu Ngudjolo sur 'Prosecution's notice that it will not rely on the testimony of Prosecution Witness P-159 to prove its case'- ICC-01/04-01/07-2631-Conf du 14 décembre 2010", 17 January 2011, ICC-01/04-01/07-2649-Conf"; "Defence Observations on the Prosecution's Notice that it will not rely on the testimony of Prosecution Witness to prove its case", 17 January 2011, ICC-01/04-01/07-2651-Conf; "Observations sur la 'Prosecution's Notice that it will not rely on the testimony of Prosecution Witness to prove its case'", 16 January 2011, ICC-01/04-01/07-2468-Conf; "Observations sur la 'Prosecution's Notice that it will not rely on the testimony of Prosecution Witness to prove its case'", 17 January 2011, ICC-01/04-01/07-2650-Conf <sup>3</sup> "Prosecution's Reply to Defence 'Observations on the Prosecution's Notice that it will not rely on the testimony of Prosecution Witness to prove its case ", 28 January 2011, ICC-01/04-01/07-2667-Conf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> "Defence Rejoinder to the 'Prosecution's Reply to Defence 'Observations on the Prosecution's Notice that it will not rely on the testimony of Prosecution Witness to prove its case'", 7 February 2011, ICC-01/04-01/07-2689-Conf; "Réponse de la Défense de Mathieu Ngudjolo à la Prosecution's Reply to Defence 'Observations on the Prosecution's Notice that it will not rely on the testimony of Prosecution Witness to prove its case "", 3 February 2011, ICC-01/04-01/07-2683-Conf <sup>5</sup> ICC-01/04-01/07-2683-Conf, *in fine*.

ICC-01/04-01/07-3223 13-01-2012 4/5 FB T

of guilt of the accused. 6 In relation to the Defence's request to initiate

proceedings against witness P-159 for giving false testimony, the Chamber

considered that the Prosecution needed to be given some time to investigate the

situation before deciding whether or not to initiate proceedings against witness

P-159.7 However, the Chamber remained seized of the issue.

4. Considering that more than a year has passed since the Prosecution

informed the Chamber of its renunciation of the testimony of witness P-159, the

Chamber is of the view that the Prosecution has had sufficient time to determine

its position in relation to this issue. As perjury is a very serious matter, the

Chamber hopes that the Prosecution has meanwhile taken the necessary steps to

investigate the issue and has decided on the appropriate course of action.

<sup>6</sup> "Decision on the Prosecution's renunciation of the testimony of witness P-159", 24 February 2011, ICC-01/04-01/07-2731

<sup>7</sup> ICC-01/04-01/07-2731, para. 18

No.: ICC-01/04-01/07 4/5 13 January 2012

## FOR THESE REASONS,

#### THE CHAMBER,

**ORDERS** the Prosecution to inform the Chamber no later than 31 January 2012 about which steps have been taken in regard to the alleged false testimony of witness P-159 and whether or not it intends to initiate criminal proceedings against him.

Done in both English and French, the English version being authoritative.

Judge Bruno Cotte
Presiding Judge

Bus Bth

Judge Fatoumata Dembele Diarra

Judge Christine Van den Wyngaert

Dated this 13 January 2012

At The Hague, The Netherlands