

**Cour  
Pénale  
Internationale**



**International  
Criminal  
Court**

Original: English

No.: ICC-02/04-01/05 OA3

Date: 8 April 2009

**THE APPEALS CHAMBER**

**Before:** Judge Daniel David Ntanda Nsereko, Presiding Judge  
Judge Sang -Hyun Song  
Judge Akua Kuenyehia  
Judge Erkki Kourula  
Judge Anita Ušacka

**SITUATION IN UGANDA**

**IN THE CASE OF THE PROSECUTOR**

**v.**

**JOSEPH KONY, VINCENT OTTI, OKOT ODHIAMBO, DOMINIC ONGWEN**

**Public Document**

**Order on the re-filing of the document in support of the appeal  
and  
Directions on the filing of observations**

**Decision/Order/Judgment to be notified in accordance with regulation 31 of the *Regulations of the Court* to:**

**The Office of the Prosecutor**

Mr Luis Moreno-Ocampo, Prosecutor  
Ms Fatou Bensouda, Deputy Prosecutor

**Counsel for the Defence**

Mr Jens Dieckmann

**The Office of Public Counsel for  
Victims**

Ms Paolina Massidda, Principal Counsel

**States Representatives**

The Government of the Republic of Uganda

**REGISTRY**

---

**Registrar**

Ms Silvana Arbia



The Appeals Chamber of the International Criminal Court,

In the appeal of the Defence of 16 March 2009 entitled “Defence Appeal against ‘Decision on the admissibility of the case under article 19 (1) of the Statute’ dated 10 March 2009” (ICC-02/04-01/05-379),


Having before it the “Document in support of ‘Defence Appeal against ‘Decision on the admissibility of the case under article 19(1) of the Statute’ dated 10 March 2009’” dated 30 March 2009 and registered on 31 March 2009 (ICC-02/04-01/05-390), which is forty pages long,

Pursuant to regulation 29 (1) of the Regulations of the Court,

*Issues* the following

## ORDER

1. The “Document in support of ‘Defence Appeal against ‘Decision on the admissibility of the case under article 19(1) of the Statute’ dated 10 March 2009’” is rejected; the Defence is ordered to re-file, by 4 pm on Monday, 20 April 2009, a document in support of the appeal that complies with the page limit stipulated in regulation 37 (1) of the Regulations of the Court.
2. The Prosecutor may file a response to the re-filed document in support of the appeal within 21 days of its notification.



And, pursuant to article 19 (3), second sentence, of the Statute and rule 59 (3) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence,

*Gives the following*

## DIRECTIONS

1. Uganda and the victims a/0090/06, a/0098/06, a/0112/06, a/0118/06, a/0119/06 and a/0122/06 and applicants a/0010/06, a/0064/06, a/0081/06, a/0082/06, a/0084/06 to a/0087/06, a/0094/06, a/0095/06, a/0097/06, a/0099/06, a/0100/06, a/0103/06, a/0104/06, a/0111/06, a/0113/06, a/0116/06, a/0117/06, a/120/06, a/0121/06, a/0123/06, a/0124/06, a/0127/06, a/0014/07 to a/0020/07 and a/0076/07 to a/0125/07 may make their observations on the re-filed document in support of the appeal and on the response thereto within 21 days after notification of the response or, in the event that no response is filed, the effluxion of the time stipulated for that purpose.

2. The Defence and the Prosecutor may each file a consolidated response to the observations referred to in the preceding paragraph. These responses shall be filed within five days of the notification of the last observations, or, in the event that no or not all observations are filed, the effluxion of the time stipulated for that purpose.

The reasons for the above order will be given in the judgment on the present appeal.

Done in both English and French, the English version being authoritative.



**Judge Daniel David Ntanda Nsereko**  
**Presiding Judge**

Dated this 8th day of April 2008

At The Hague, The Netherlands