Cour Pénale Internationale



International Criminal Court

Original: English No.: ICC-02/04-01/05

Date: 10 March 2009

## PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER II

Before:

Judge Mauro Politi, Single Judge

SITUATION IN UGANDA
IN THE CASE OF
THE PROSECUTOR
v. JOSEPH KONY, VINCENT OTTI, OKOT ODHIAMBO, DOMINIC ONGWEN

## **Public Document**

Decision on victims' applications for participation a/0192/07 to a/0194/07, a/0196/07, a/0200/07, a/0204/07, a/0206/07, a/0209/07, a/0212/07, a/0216/07, a/0217/07, a/0219/07 to a/0221/07, a/02228/07 to a/0230/07, a/0234/07, a/0235/07, a/0237/07, a/0324/07 and a/0326/07 under rule 89

No. ICC-02/04-01/05

10 March 2009

Decision to be notified, in accordance with regulation 31 of the Regulations of the Court, to:

The Office of the Prosecutor

Mr Luis Moreno Ocampo

Ms Fatou Bensouda

Counsel for the Defence

Ms Michelyne C. Saint-Laurent

Legal Representatives of the Victims

Legal Representatives of the Applicants

**Unrepresented Victims** 

Unrepresented Applicants for Participation/Reparation

The Office of Public Counsel for

**Victims** 

Ms Paolina Massidda

The Office of Public Counsel for the

Defence

**States Representatives** 

**Amicus Curiae** 

**REGISTRY** 

Registrar

Ms Silvana Arbia

Victims and Witnesses Unit

**Defence Support Section** 

**Detention Section** 

**Victims Participation and Reparations** 

Section

Ms Fiona McKay

Other

No.: ICC-02/04-01/05 2/10 10 March 2009

ICC-02/04-01/05-375 10-03-2009 3/10 CB PT

I, Judge Mauro Politi, judge at the International Criminal Court (the "Court");

NOTING the "Decision designating a Single Judge on victims' issues", dated 22

November 2006,1 whereby Pre-Trial Chamber II (the "Chamber") designated Judge

Mauro Politi as Single Judge responsible for all issues arising in connection with

victims' participation in the proceedings in respect of the situation in Uganda (the

"Situation") and in the case of The Prosecutor v. Joseph Kony, Vincent Otti, Okot

Odhiambo and Dominic Ongwen (the "Case");

NOTING the "Notification to Pre-Trial Chamber II of receipt of applications

a/0192/07 to a/0239/07", filed by the Registrar through the Victims Participation and

Reparation Section (the "VPRS") on 14 August 2007 in the record of the Situation<sup>2</sup>

and of the Case;3

NOTING the "Notification to Pre-Trial Chamber II of receipt of applications

a/0324/07 to a/0326/07", filed by the VPRS on 22 November 2007 in the record of the

Situation4 and of the Case;5

NOTING the Internal Memorandum sent to the VPRS on 7 April 2008, whereby the

Chamber (i) noted the receipt of applications a/0192/07 to a/0239/07 and a/0324/07 to

a/0326/07, and (ii) requested the VPRS to present these applications together with a

report thereon to the Chamber not later than 20 April 2008;

NOTING the Internal Memorandum sent to the Chamber on 18 April 2008, whereby

the VPRS requested a time extension until end of May 2008 to file a report concerning

applications a/0192/07 to a/0239/07 and a/0324/07 to a/0326/01;

<sup>1</sup> ICC-02/04-01/05-130.

<sup>2</sup> ICC-02/04-102-Conf-Exp.

<sup>3</sup> ICC-02/04-01/05-253-Conf-Exp.

4 ICC-02/04-109-Conf-Exp.

<sup>5</sup> ICC-02/04-01/05-261-Conf-Exp.

No.: ICC-02/04-01/05

10 March 2009

3/10

ICC-02/04-01/05-375 10-03-2009 4/10 CB PT

NOTING that the Chamber granted such request through an Internal Memorandum

dated 23 April 2008;

NOTING the "Report to Pre Trial Chamber II on applications a/0192/07 to a/0239/07

and a/0324/07 to a/0326/07 in accordance with Rule 89 paragraph 1 of the Rules of

Procedure and Evidence, and Regulation 86 paragraph 5 of the Regulations of the

Court", filed by the Registrar on 30 May 20086 (the "First Report");

NOTING that the VPRS considered only applications a/0324/07 and a/0326/07 to be

complete and, accordingly, (i) annexed these two applications to the First Report,7

and (ii) requested a further period of four months for the remaining applicants to

provide the necessary information to be included in a further report;

NOTING the "Decision on VPRS requests contained in the Report to Pre-Trial

Chamber II applications a/0192/07 to a/0239/07 and a/0324/07 to a/0326/07 dated 30

May 2008", dated 16 June 2008,8 whereby the Single Judge (i) deferred a decision on

all applications annexed to the First Report until the missing information on

applications a/0192/07 to a/0239/07 and a/0325/07 was submitted, and (ii) granted the

VPRS until 30 September 2008 to submit a further report containing the missing

information as outlined in the First Report;

**NOTING** the "Second Report to Pre Trial Chamber II on applications a/0192/07 to

a/0239/07 and a/0324/07 to a/0326/07 in accordance with Rule 89 paragraph 1 of the

Rules of Procedure and Evidence, and Regulation 86 paragraph 5 of the Regulations

6 ICC-02/04-138-Conf-Exp.

<sup>7</sup> Application a/0324/07 ((ICC-02/04-138-Conf-Exp-Anx1) and Application a/0326/07 ((ICC-02/04-138-Conf-Exp-Anx1))

Conf-Exp-Anx2).

<sup>8</sup> ICC-02/04-141-Conf-Exp.

No.: ICC-02/04-01/05

10 March 2009

4/10

ICC-02/04-01/05-375 10-03-2009 5/10 CB PT

of the Court", filed on 1 October 20089 and the Corrigendum thereto dated 22

October 2008<sup>10</sup> (the "Second Report");

NOTING that the VPRS considered an additional twenty-seven out of the remaining

forty-nine victims' applications for participation to be incomplete and, accordingly,

annexed only twenty applications<sup>11</sup> to the Second Report;

**NOTING** that nine applications<sup>12</sup> out of the twenty-two applications annexed to the

First and the Second Report are submitted by a minor and that, pursuant to rule 89(3)

of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence (the "Rules"), these applications should have

been presented by somebody acting on their behalf;

CONSIDERING that, under rule 89(2) of the Rules, the Chamber may reject the

applications on its own initiative "if it considers that the person is not a victim or that

the criteria set forth in article 68, paragraph 3, are not otherwise fulfilled";

CONSIDERING that, accordingly, the principle of ensuring the expediency of the

proceedings makes it appropriate to reject in limine the nine applications submitted

by a minor;

9 ICC-02/04-157-Conf-Exp.

<sup>10</sup> ICC-02/04-157-Conf-Exp-Corr.

<sup>11</sup> Application a/0192/07 (ICC-02/04-157-Conf-Exp-Anx4), Application a/0193/07 (ICC-02/04-157-Conf-Exp-Anx5), Application a/0194/07 (ICC-02/04-157-Conf-Exp-Anx6), Application a/0196/07 (ICC-02/04-157-Conf-Exp-Anx7), Application a/0200/07 (ICC-02/04-157-Conf-Exp-Anx8), Application a/0204/07

(ICC-02/04-157-Conf-Exp-Anx9), Application /0206/07 (ICC-02/04-157-Conf-Exp-Anx10), Application a/0209/07 (ICC-02/04-157-Conf-Exp-Anx11), Application a/0212/07 (ICC-02/04-157-Conf-Exp-Anx12), Application a/0216/07 (ICC-02/04-157-Conf-Exp-Anx13), Application a/0217/07 (ICC-02/04-157-Conf-Exp-Anx14), Application a/0219/07 (ICC-02/04-157-Conf-Exp-Anx15), Application a/0220/07 (ICC-02/04-157-Conf-Exp-Anx16), Application a/0221/07 (ICC-02/04-157-Conf-Exp-Anx17), Application

a/0228/07 (ICC-02/04-157-Conf-Exp-Anx18), Application a/0229/07 (ICC-02/04-157-Conf-Exp-Anx19), Application a/0230/07 (ICC-02/04-157-Conf-Exp-Anx20), Application a/0234/07 (ICC-02/04-157-Conf-Exp-Anx21), Application a/0235/07 (ICC-02/04-157-Conf-Exp-Anx22) and Application a/0237/07 (ICC-02/04-157-Conf-Exp-Anx23).

<sup>12</sup> Applications a/0193/07, a/0196/07, a/0200/07, a/0204/07, a/0212/07, a/0228/07, a/0230/07, a/0234/07 and a/0326/07

ICC-02/04-01/05-375 10-03-2009 6/10 CB PT

NOTING that rule 89(1) of the Rules requires the transmission of applications for

participation to the Prosecutor and the Defence for the purpose of receiving their

observations, prior to the issuance of a decision on the merits granting or rejecting

the status of victim to the applicants;

CONSIDERING that, in light of the rejection in limine of the applications submitted

by a minor, observations from the Prosecutor and the Defence should only address

the remaining 13 applications (the "Applications")13;

NOTING articles 57(3) (c) and 68(1), (3) of the Statute of the Court (the "Statute"),

rule 89(1) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence (the "Rules") and regulations 76(1)

and 81(4) of the Regulations of the Court (the "Regulations");

NOTING the "Decision on legal representation, appointment of counsel for the

defence, protective measures and time-limit for submission of observations on

applications for participation a/0010/06, a/0064/06 to a/0070/06, a/0081/06 to a/0104/06

and a/0111/06 to a/0127/06", dated 1 February 200714 (the "1 February 2007 Decision"),

whereby the Single Judge inter alia (i) determined that the "only feasible and appropriate

protective measures" at the stage of assessment of victims' applications for

participation were redactions of those applications, irrespective of receiving a

specific request to take such measures; (ii) stated that the main principle governing

redactions was the need to prevent the identification of the applicants, and,

accordingly, (iii) listed several information to be redacted in the applications for

participation;

**NOTING** that the information listed in the 1 February 2007 Decision included inter

alia the following elements: (i) the applicant's place of birth; (ii) the languages spoken

and understood by the applicant; (iii) the applicant's ethnic group and/or tribe and

<sup>13</sup> Applications a/0192/07, a/0194/07, a/0206/07, a/0209/07, a/0216/07, a/0217/06, a/0219/06, a/0220/07, a/0221/07, a/0229/07, a/0235/07, a/0237/07 and a/0324/07.

<sup>14</sup> ICC-02/04-01/05-134.

ICC-02/04-01/05-375 10-03-2009 7/10 CB PT

religion; (iv) the applicant's occupation; (v) the applicant's marital status; (vi) the

existence and number, if any, of the applicants' dependants; and (vii) the specific

features of the harm, damage, loss or injury suffered (collectively, the "Identifying

elements");

NOTING the "Decision on legal representation, appointment of counsel for the

defence, criteria for redactions of applications for participation, and submission of

observations on applications for participation a/0014/07 to a/0020/07 and a/0076/07 to

a/0125/07", filed on 18 September in the record of the Situation<sup>15</sup> and of the Case<sup>16</sup>

(the "18 September 2008 Decision"), whereby the Single Judge determined, without

prejudice to the core principles governing redactions set out in the 1 February

Decision, that the possibility of redacting one or more Identifying elements in

victims' applications for participation received by the Chamber would depend on a

case-by-case assessment of the relevant factual circumstances;17

**CONSIDERING** the persisting instability of the security situation in Uganda, as well

as the fact that all persons against whom warrants of arrest have been issued in the

Situation still remain at large and may therefore pose a threat to the applicants and

their families:

CONSIDERING that articles 68(1) and 57(3)(c) of the Statute mandate the Court to

take appropriate measures to protect inter alia the safety, privacy, physical and

physiological well-being of the victims in a manner that is not prejudicial or

inconsistent with the rights of the accused and a fair and impartial trial;

15 ICC-02/04-154.

16 ICC-02/04-01/05-312.

<sup>17</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 5.

No.: ICC-02/04-01/05

7/10 **10 March 2009** 

ICC-02/04-01/05-375 10-03-2009 8/10 CB PT

CONSIDERING that, in accordance with the principle of proportionality enshrined

in article 68(1) of the Statute, measures taken pursuant to this provision may restrict

the rights of the suspect or accused only to the extent necessary;

CONSIDERING that, in light of the nature, purpose and circumstances of the

current proceedings, the redaction of the applications remains the appropriate

measure to be taken and does not amount to an unnecessary restriction of the rights

of the Defence;

CONSIDERING that the Single Judge continues to take the view that the

applications must be transmitted to both parties (the Prosecutor and the Defence) in

redacted form, in light of the security concerns still arising from the situation in the

field and of the need to preserve the equality of arms among the parties;

CONSIDERING therefore appropriate that the Registrar transmits a redacted copy

of the Applications to both the Prosecutor and the Defence after having expunged

any information that could lead to the identification of the applicant victims in light

of the principles established in the 1 February 2007 Decision, as amended by the 18

September 2008 Decision;

**CONSIDERING** that, at this stage, where none of the persons sought by the Court in

the Situation is yet represented by a defence counsel, the Single Judge deems it in the

interests of justice to appoint, following consultations with the Registrar and in

accordance with regulation 76(1) of the Regulations, a counsel for the defence,

entrusted with responsibility for all issues related to the Applications, including in

particular the right to receive a copy of those Applications and to submit

observations thereon:

CONSIDERING that, with a view to ensuring the expediency of the proceedings, it

appears appropriate to appoint Ms Michelyne C. Saint-Laurent as counsel for the

No.: ICC-02/04-01/05 8/10 10 March 2009

ICC-02/04-01/05-375 10-03-2009 9/10 CB PT

Defence within the context and for the purposes of the proceedings on the

Applications;

CONSIDERING also that, since thus far none of the applicants can rely on a legal

representative, the need to ensure the fairness of the proceedings makes it

appropriate and sufficient for the applicants, under the present circumstances, to

benefit from the support and assistance provided by the Office of Public Counsel for

Victims (the "OPCV") pursuant to regulation 81(4) of the Regulations;

FOR THESE REASONS, HEREBY

DECIDE that applicants a/0193/07, a/0196/07, a/0200/07, a/0204/07, a/0212/07,

a/0228/07, a/0230/07, a/0234/07 and a/0326/07 cannot be granted the status of victim;

APPOINT Ms Michelyne C. Saint-Laurent as counsel for the Defence, entrusted with

representing and protecting the interests of the Defence within the context and for

the purposes of the proceedings on the Applications for participation in the Situation

and in the Case, pursuant to rule 89 of the Rules;

**ORDER** the Registrar to transmit the Applications to the OPCV, by Friday 20 March

2009, for the purpose of providing the necessary support and assistance to the

applicants;

**ORDER** the Registrar to provide the Prosecutor and the counsel for the Defence, by

Friday 20 March 2009, with a redacted copy of the Applications, in accordance with

this decision;

GRANT the Prosecutor and the Defence until Monday 30 March 2009 to submit their

observations on the Applications.

No.: ICC-02/04-01/05

10 March 2009

9/10

Done in English and French, the English version being authoritative.

Mams Polit

Judge Mauro Politi Single Judge

Dated this Tuesday, 10 March 2009 At The Hague, The Netherlands.

No.: ICC-02/04-01/05 10/10 10 March 2009