Cour Pénale Internationale



International Criminal Court

Original : English

No.: ICC-02/04-01/05 Date: 5 March 2008

## **PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER II**

Before:

Judge Mauro Politi, Presiding Judge Judge Fatoumata Dembele Diarra Judge Ekaterina Trendafilova

**Registrar:** 

Mr Bruno Cathala

## SITUATION IN UGANDA IN THE CASE OF THE PROSECUTOR v. JOSEPH KONY, VINCENT OTTI, OKOT ODHIAMBO, DOMINIC ONGWEN

**Public Document** 

Decision on Observations on the Notification under Regulation 50 of the Regulations of the Trust Fund for Victims

**The Office of the Prosecutor** Mr Luis Moreno Ocampo, Prosecutor Ms Fatou Bensouda, Deputy Prosecutor Mr Eric MacDonald, Trial Lawyer Office of Public Counsel for the Defence Mr Xavier-Jean Keïta

**Office of Public Counsel for Victims** Ms Paolina Massidda

**Legal Representative of Victims a/0101/06** and a/0119/06 Ms Paolina Massidda

Legal Representative of Victims a/0090/06, a/0098/06, a/0112/06, a/0118/06 and a/0122/06 Ms Adesola Adeboyejo **PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER II** (the "Chamber") of the International Criminal Court (the "Court");

**NOTING** the "Notification of the Board of Directors of the Trust Fund for Victims in accordance with Regulation 50 of the Regulations of the Trust Fund for Victims with Confidential annexes" (the "Notification"), filed by the Board of Directors ("the Board") of the Trust Fund for Victims (the "TFV") in the record of the situation in Uganda (the "Situation") on 28 January 2008, indicating the intention to undertake specific activities in Uganda (the "proposed activities");<sup>1</sup>

**NOTING** the "Request for leave to file observations in relation to the 'Notification of the Board of Directors of the Trust Fund for Victims in accordance with Regulation 50 of the Regulations of the Trust Fund for Victims with Confidential annex'", filed by the Office of Public Counsel for the Defence (the "OPCD") in the record of the Situation on 6 February 2008;<sup>2</sup>

**NOTING** the "Request for Leave to file Observations in relation to the Notification of the Board of Directors of the Trust Fund for Victims in accordance with Regulation 50 of the Regulations of the Trust Fund for Victims with Confidential annex", filed by the Office of Public Counsel for Victims (the "OPCV") in the record of the Situation on 12 February 2008 (the "OPCV's Request");<sup>3</sup>

**NOTING** the "Decision on legal representation of Victims a/0090/06, a/0098/06, a/0101/06, a/0112/06, a/0118/06, a/0119/06 and a/0122/06" (the "Decision on legal representation"), filed in the record of the Situation and in the record of the case of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ICC-02/04-114-and and ICC-02/04-114-Conf-Anx.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ICC-02/04-115.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> ICC-02/04-116.

3/5

The Prosecutor v. Joseph Kony, Vincent Otti, Okot Odhiambo and Dominic Ongwen on 15 February 2008 (the "Case");<sup>4</sup>

**NOTING** the "Observations du représentant légal des victimes a/0101/06 et a/0119/06 suite à la notification du Conseil de direction du Fonds au profit des victimes conformément à la règle 50 du Règlement du Fonds", filed in the record of the Situation on 18 February 2008 (the "Observations of the legal representative of victims a/0101/06 and a/0119/06");<sup>5</sup>

**NOTING** the "Prosecution's Observations on the 'Notification of the Board of Directors of the Trust Fund for Victims'" (the "Prosecution's Observations"), filed in the record of the Situation on 19 February 2008;<sup>6</sup>

**NOTING** the "Corrigendum to Prosecution's Observations on the 'Notification of the Board of Directors of the Trust Fund for Victims'" (the "Corrigendum"), filed in the record of the Situation on 19 February 2008;<sup>7</sup>

**NOTING** articles 21 (3), 54 (1)(b) and (3)(f), 57 (3)(c) and 68 (1) and (3) of the Rome Statute (the "Statute"), rules 85 (a) and 93 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence (the "Rules"), regulations 24 (2), 77 (2), 81 (2) and (4) of the Regulations of the Court (the "Regulations") and regulation 50 of the Regulations of the TFV;

**CONSIDERING** further that the general component of fairness, which extends to the pre-trial proceedings,<sup>8</sup> requires those concerned by the Notification to be accorded equal procedural treatment;<sup>9</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> ICC-02/04-117 ; ICC-02/04-01/05-267.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> ICC-02/04-118.

<sup>6</sup> ICC-02/04-119.

<sup>7</sup> ICC-02/04-119-Corr.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> ICC-02/04-112, para. 28.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> ICC-02/04-01/05-90, para. 24; See also European Court of Human Rights (ECHR), Rowe and Davis v. the United Kingdom ([GC], no. 28901/95, para. 60, ECHR 2000-II); Jussi Uoti v. Finland (no. 20388/02,

**NOTING** that pursuant to regulation 50 (a)(ii) of the Regulations of the TFV the Chamber may respond to the Notification and assess whether the proposed activities "pre-determine any issue to be determined by the Court, including jurisdiction and admissibility, or violate the presumption of innocence, or be prejudicial to or inconsistent with the rights of the accused and a fair and impartial trial";

**CONSIDERING** that the proposed activities might have an impact on crucial issues before the Chamber including the issues expressly indicated in regulation 50 (a)(ii) of the Regulations of the TFV as well as the protection and privacy of victims;

**CONSIDERING** that in order to determine the potential impact, if any, of the proposed activities on issues before the Chamber and to ensure the proper conduct of the proceedings, it is appropriate for the Chamber to receive the views of those who are allegedly concerned by the Notification;

**CONSIDERING** that victims, who have been permitted to participate in the proceedings, should be allowed to submit observations on the proposed activities by the TFV;

**CONSIDERING** that the OPCV's Request, which had been submitted at a time when the victims had no legal representatives, has become moot as a result of the subsequent Decision on legal representation, which appointed legal representatives to them;

**CONSIDERING** that, at the present stage of the proceedings, it is adequate and sufficient for the general interests of the Defence to be represented by the OPCD;

para. 32; 23 October 2007); Öcalan v. Turkey ([GC], no. 46221/99, para. 146 ECHR 2005-IV); and Brandstetter v. Austria judgment of 28 August 1991, Series A no. 211, paras. 66-67.

## FOR THESE REASONS,

ADMITS the Observations of the legal representative of victims a/0101/06 and a/0119/06;

REQUESTS the legal representative of victims a/0090/06, a/0098/06, a/0112/06, a/0118/06 and a/0122/06 to submit to the Chamber by Wednesday 12 March 2008 observations on the Notification;

**REJECTS** the OPCV's Request;

ADMITS the Prosecution's Observations, as amended by the Corrigendum; and

GRANTS the OPCD until Wednesday 12 March 2008 to file their observations on the Notification.

Done in English and French, the English version being authoritative.

Mans Polit. Judge Mauro Politi

**Presiding Judge** 

Judge Ekaterina Trendafilova

Judge Fatoumata Dembele Diarra

Dated this Wednesday, 5 March 2008 At The Hague, The Netherlands