Cour Pénale Internationale



International Criminal Court

Original: English

No.: ICC-01/04-01/06

Date: 28 August 2006

### PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER I

Before:

Judge Claude Jorda, Presiding Judge

Judge Akua Kuenyehia Judge Sylvia Steiner

Registrar:

Mr Bruno Cathala

# SITUATION IN THE DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF THE CONGO

IN THE CASE OF THE PROSECUTOR v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo

### **Public Document**

## Decision on the Defence's Request to file a Reply

### The Office of the Prosecutor

Mr Luis Moreno Ocampo Mrs Fatou Bensouda Mr Ekkehard Withopf Counsels for the Victims a/0001/06 to a/0003/06 Mr Luc Walleyn

Mr Franck Mulenda

Counsel for the Defence

Mr Jean Flamme Ms Véronique Pandanzyla **Other Participant** 

Democratic Republic of the Congo

ICC-01/04-01/06-359 28-08-2006 2/5 CF PT

PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER I ("the Chamber") of the International Criminal Court ("the

Court");

NOTING the "Décision invitant la République démocratique du Congo et les

victimes de l'affaire en cause à présenter leurs observations sur les procédures

menées en vertu de l'article 19 du Statut" issued by the Chamber on 24 July 2006,

whereby the Chamber invites the Democratic Republic of the Congo ("the DRC")

and the victims of the case to present their observations by 25 August 2006 on (i) the

alleged illegal detention of Thomas Lubanga Dyilo by the Congolese authorities; and

(ii) the alleged irregularities of the arrest and surrender of Thomas Lubanga Dyilo at

the behest of the Court on 16 March 2006;

**NOTING** the "Request to file a reply to any observations filed by the Government of

the Democratic Republic of Congo and Victims"2 filed by the Defence on 28 July

2006, by which the Defence requested that the Chamber (i) grant leave to the Defence

to file a reply to any observations filed by the DRC and the victims; (ii) extend the

deadline for the reply to 21 days; (iii) order that the deadline start to run from the

date on which the last observation is filed; and (iv) order that the page limit shall be -

whichever is greater – 20 pages, or the combined page-count of all observations filed;

NOTING the "Observations des Autorités Judiciaires Militaires Congolaises en

rapport avec le mémoire déposé à la Cour pénale internationale par le Conseil de

Monsieur Thomas Lubanga Dyilo"<sup>3</sup> filed by the DRC on 25 August 2006;

<sup>1</sup> ICC-01/04-01/06-206.

<sup>2</sup> ICC-01/04-01/06-215.

<sup>3</sup> ICC-01/04-01/06-348-Conf.

Nº ICC-01/04-01/06

28 August 2006

2/5

NOTING the "Observations des victimes a/0001/06, a/0002/06 et a/0003/06 quant à

l'exception d'incompétence soulevée par la défense dans la requête du 23 mai 2006"4

filed by the Legal Representatives of the victims on 25 August 2006;

NOTING article 19 (2) (a) of the Rome Statute ("the Statute"), rule 59 of the Rules of

Procedure and Evidence ("the Rules") and regulations 24, 34, 35, 36 and 37 of the

Regulations of the Court ("the Regulations");

CONSIDERING that pursuant to regulation 24 (5) of the Regulations, a reply may

be made towards a response, whereas, in application of regulation 24 (1) of the

Regulations, a response is made to "any document filed by any participant in the

case in accordance with the Statute, Rules, these Regulations and any order of the

Chamber";

CONSIDERING that the Chamber invited the DRC and the victims to submit their

observations; that this invitation was made by an order of the Chamber pursuant to

regulation 34 (a) of the Regulations; that, at this point, a document filed by the

Defence would be considered a response; and that therefore, both the Defence and

the Prosecution are entitled to file such response;

CONSIDERING that both the DRC and the victims filed their observations on 25

August 2006;

<sup>4</sup> ICC-01/04-01/06-349.

N° ICC-01/04-01/06

28 August 2006

**CONSIDERING** that the confirmation hearing in the case of Thomas Lubanga Dyilo is scheduled for 28 September 2006 and that a decision of the Chamber on a challenge to the jurisdiction of the Court must be issued before the beginning of such hearing;

**CONSIDERING** that the Defence has not demonstrated the exceptional circumstances required in application of regulation 37 (2) of the Regulations for the Chamber to grant an extension of page limit;

CONSIDERING that the Defence has not shown good cause required in application of regulation 35 (2) of the Regulations for the Chamber to grant an extension of time limit;

**CONSIDERING** moreover that according to the *chapeau* of regulation 34 of the Regulations, a time limit other than the 21 days provided for in paragraph (b) of this regulation may be set by the Chamber;

#### FOR THESE REASONS

**DECIDES** to give the Defence and the Prosecution ten days from the notification of the present decision to respond to the observations of the DRC and the victims on the procedure in application of article 19 of the Statute.

N° ICC-01/04-01/06

28 August 2006

**REJECTS** the requests of the Defence for an extension of time limit and for an extension of page limit.

Done in both English and French, the English version being authoritative.

Judge Claude Jorda Presiding Judge

Judge Akua Kuenyehia

Judge Sylvia Steiner

Dated this Monday 28 August 2006

At The Hague

The Netherlands