ROBERT E. O'ROURKE, AS RECEIVER OF THE MISSISSIPPI VALLEY, SOUTH AMERICAN & ORIENT STEAMSHIP COMPANY (UNITED STATES) v. GERMANY

(May 25, 1926, pp. 702-704.)

BY THE COMMISSION:

This claim has been submitted to the Commission for the determination, as a preliminary question, of whether or not on the facts alleged in this case it comes within the jurisdiction of the Commission as a debt for which the Government of Germany is financially obligated under the terms of the Treaty of Berlin.

The essential facts alleged, as presented in an agreed statement signed by the Agents of the two Governments, are as follows:

"The Mississippi Valley, South American and Orient Steamship Company is an American corporation organized under the laws of the State of Louisiana (Exhibit 1). The claimant, Robert E. O'Rourke, is receiver of said Company, appointed such receiver by the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (Exhibit 2). This claim is based upon the allegation that the Hamburg-American Line, and the North German Lloyd Lines, German nationals, with nationals of other countries, alid some time in 1911, contrary to the provisions of the law of the United States known as the Sherman Anti-Trust Act of July 2,

DECISIONS 329

1890, enter into a conspiracy to control American shipping and stifle American competition with the alleged conspirators, or either of them, whereby it is alleged, as set forth in the petition filed by claimant (Exhibit 2), that the claimant suffered damages in the sum of \$250,000.00, and claimant asks for an award under the provisions of the Sherman Anti-Trust Act against the Government of Germany, as a debt, for treble the amount of said damages, to wit, the sum of \$750,000.00. The claimant herein, on or about June 2, 1920, commenced an action in the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York against Hamburg-Amerikanische Packetfahrt Aktien-Gesellschaft and Hamburg-Südamerikanische Dampschiffahrts-Gesellschaft, for treble damages for the alleged violation of provisions of the Sherman Anti-Trust Law, which said action is pending and undetermined in said court, and a copy of the complaint in said action is hereto attached."

On the facts above stated this is not a claim arising since July 31, 1914, in respect of damage to or seizure of the claimant's property, rights, and interests within German territory as it existed on August 1, 1914. Consequently the claim does not come within the first category of claims submitted to this Commission under Article I of the Agreement of August 10, 1922, between the United States and Germany, under which this Commission was organized.

It further appears from this statement of facts that this is not a claim for loss or damage to which the claimant has been subjected with respect to injuries to persons, or to property, rights, and interests, since July 31, 1914, as a consequence of the war, within the meaning of the Treaty of Berlin under the decisions of this Commission. Consequently the claim does not come within the second category of claims submitted to this Commission under the Agreement of August 10, 1922.

It further appears that this is not a claim for damages suffered through acts of Germany or its agents since July 31, 1914, and therefore it does not come within the provisions of the Knox-Porter Resolution, which are embodied in the Treaty of Berlin, and furthermore as the claim did not arise during the period of the belligerency of the United States it does not come within the provisions of the reparation clauses of the Treaty of Versailles, which are embodied in the Treaty of Berlin.

Apparently it is admitted by the claimant that this claim does not come within any of the above-mentioned categories or provisions, because the claim as presented is described as a debt owing to an American citizen by a German national for the purpose of bringing the claim within the third category of claims submitted to this Commission under Article I of the Agreement of August 10, 1922, above-mentioned. Article I of that Agreement provides:

"The commission shall pass upon the following categories of claims which are more particularly defined in the Treaty of August 25, 1921, and in the Treaty of Versailles:

"* * *

"(3) Debts owing to American citizens by the German Government or by German nationals."

It remains to be considered whether this claim comes within this category. This claim, although termed a debt by the claimant, is actually a claim for damages arising from alleged wrongful acts of German nationals, outside of Germany and prior to the beginning of the war, the liability for which acts depends upon an Act of the Congress of the United States.

The Commission has been unable to find any authority under the Treaty

of Berlin, as interpreted by this Commission, for taking jurisdiction over this claim and holds that it must be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

Done at Washington May 25, 1926.

Edwin B. PARKER
Umpire
Chandler P. Anderson
American Commissioner
W. Kiesselbach
German Commissioner