TORREY CASE

PAUL, Commissioner (for the Commission):

Charles W. Torrey claims from the Government of Venezuela the sum of \$10,000 for damages caused by unjust arrest at the port of La Guaira, on May 3, 1876, and for personal ill treatment in connection therewith.

The memorialist bases his pretension on the following facts:

Early in the year 1876 he went to Curação for health and pleasure. Shortly after his arrival there he concluded to go to Venezuela to see the country and visit its capital, Caracas. After remaining in Caracas for about a week, he concluded to return to Curação by the English royal mail steamer Severn. On the 9th of May, 1876, after having obtained a passport with all the necessary visés by the authorized officers of the Venezuelan Government in Caracas, he started for La Guaira, where he intended taking the steamer Severn back to Curação. With him at the same time were a Mr. Bartram and Dr. Elbert Nostrand, also citizens of the United States. The steamer was lying out in the stream and the three embarked on a boat belonging to said steamer to reach it. While on the way to said steamer they were hailed from shore and ordered back and commanded to report to the civil officer in charge at La Guaira. This officer ordered them all to be imprisoned in the common jail. Torrey claims that he was lodged in a cell with many low prisoners, his cell containing no other accommodation or furniture than a common table and a set of wooden stocks. His request to remain at the hotel under guard, although he was suffering from an attack of inflammatory rheumatism, was arbitrarily refused, and he was taken to jail, and kept in said prison for four hours. He was released through the immediate exertions of the United States consul at La Guaira and the United States representative at Caracas, and he took the steamer bound for Curação the same evening at 7 o'clock.

Among the documents presented there is a copy of the communication addressed on the 12th of June, 1885, by the honorable Secretary of State, T. F. Bayard, to Mr. Torrey in reference to his claim, which in itself is sufficient to fix the appreciation that this Commission must make about the fact of the unjust arrest suffered by Mr. Torrey for a few hours in the port of La Guaira. Said communication reproduces the opinion of Mr. Evarts, Secretary of State, contained in a letter addressed by him to the said claimant on April 5, 1877, after having examined the voluminous diplomatic correspondence caused by this affair. This opinion was as follows:

Though the Department would have preferred that the apology for your arrest should have come directly from that functionary [President Guzmán Blanco], the fact that he ordered his chief of police to make it may be regarded as sufficient. Your complaint may, however, be taken into consideration when diplomatic intercourse with Venezuela shall be resumed, but you [Mr. Torrey] must not expect that this Department will authorize a demand for vindictive damages.

Mr. Bayard, in the same communication, adds:

Under the circumstances of the case as herein presented, further diplomatic intervention in your behalf is thought to be neither expedient or proper. The Department must, therefore, regard the matter as practically closed, unless you can show to it that the apology made was not a sufficient atonement for the injury done to you, or that an error has accrued to your prejudice in the Department's decision.

This decision need not, however, prejudice your ultimate rights if you see fit to present and support a claim before any international tribunal which may hereafter be organized to take cognizance of cases arising since the award of the late Caracas Commission.

As it appears from the above communications, and as it is plainly shown by the voluminous correspondence between the two departments of foreign affairs of both governments, the incident of the four hours' arrest of the American citizen, Charles W. Torrey, in the port of La Guaira was the act of a local officer, and was due to special circumstances of that epoch, in which act there was no intention to hurt, by any means the person of an American citizen, and, on the contrary, the same gave occasion for the President of the Republic, Gen. Guzmán Blanco, as soon as he knew of said arrest to order by telegraph that the prisoners be put at liberty, thus:

Gen. J. J. YEPEZ;

Those gentlemen should not have taken passage to Curação when their passports were for the United States of America, but I have reason to confide in them; thus, I expect you will put them at liberty, stating to them that you are sorry for what has happened. The steamer has my permission to leave as soon as those gentlemen are on board.

GUZMÁN BLANCO

In view of the foregoing, and regarding the compensation to be given in this case as limited to reparation for the personal inconvenience and dis-comfort suffered by the claimant during his brief detention. an award will be made in the sum of \$ 250 United States gold.