

WorldCourts™

Institution:	Inter-American Commission on Human Rights
File Number(s):	Report No. 19/89; Case No. 10.117
Session:	Seventy-Sixth Session (18 – 29 September 1989)
Title/Style of Cause:	Edward M. Darmburg v. Suriname
Doc. Type:	Resolution
Decided by:	Chairman: Oliver H. Jackman; First Vice-Chairperson: Elsa Kelly; Second Vice-Chairman: Leo Valladares Lanza; Members: Gilda M.C.M. de Russomano; Marco Tulio Bruni Celli; John R. Stevenson; Patrick L. Robinson
Dated:	27 September 1989
Citation:	Darmburg v. Surin., Case 10.117, Inter-Am. C.H.R., Report No. 19/89, OEA/Ser.L/V/II.76, doc. 10 (1988-1989)
Terms of Use:	Your use of this document constitutes your consent to the Terms and Conditions found at www.worldcourts.com/index/eng/terms.htm

HAVING SEEN:

1. The petition received by the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights on October 8, 1987, according to which:

On June 18, 1987, Edward M. Darmburg was arrested by elements of the Army of Suriname. He was 32 years old, married and the father of two children, ages 3 and 1, respectively. Mr. Darmburg was falsely accused of collaborating with the so-called Jungle Commando. He was taken by the Army to a place called Berg-en-Dal where he was brutally tortured. Thereafter he was forced to dig his own grave and then was summarily executed. This extrajudicial killing constituted a flagrant violation of the right to life as protected in the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man.

In addition, the Darmburg family lost the following possessions when their home was gratuitously burned to the ground:

1. Guyana passport, birth certificate, school certificates.
2. Gold articles.
3. Kitchen articles e.g., plates, pots, spoons, bowls, etc.
4. Furniture articles e.g., chairs, tables, beds, gas stove, iron, etc.
5. Clothing e.g., for children and adults.
6. Money e.g., three thousand dollars (\$3,000).
7. Large tape deck with two (2) speakers.
8. Birth certificate for children and all other important papers.
9. Play articles for children.
10. Food for children and adults.

This constitutes a violation of the right to property protected under the same American Declaration.

2. The transmission of that complaint to the Government of Suriname in the note of October 30, 1987, requesting it to provide such information as it deemed pertinent, as well as any information that

would make it possible to ascertain whether, in this case, the remedies of domestic law had been exhausted.

3. A copy of the same note and the pertinent parts of the complaint were also sent to the Mission of the Government of Suriname to the Organization of American States on the same date.

4. The communication of October 30, 1987, to the Government of Suriname was repeated on February 9, 1988.

5. The repetition of the request for information made in the note of February 9, 1988, informed the Government of Suriname that should such information not be provided within a period of thirty (30) days, pursuant to Article 42 of the Regulations, the facts reported would be considered to be true.

CONSIDERING:

a. That the Government of Suriname did not reply to the request for information made by the Commission concerning this case despite an expressed written reminder.

b. That a Resolution was adopted by the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights on March 24, 1988, Resolution No 3/88, and duly sent to the Government of Suriname on March 30, 1988, granting a 60 day period to inform the Commission of measures taken to implement this Resolution.

c. That the Resolution was based on Article 42 of the Commission's Regulations which provides:

Article 42. Presumption

The facts reported in the petition whose pertinent parts have been transmitted to the government of the State in reference shall be presumed to be true if, during the maximum period set by the Commission under the provisions of Article 34 paragraph 5, the government has not provided the pertinent information, as long as other evidence does not lead to a different conclusion.

d. That the Government of Suriname thereafter sent a note dated May 19, 1988, to the Commission regarding the Resolution which stated in pertinent part:

The Permanent Representative of the Republic of Suriname to the Organization of American States presents his compliments to the Executive Secretary of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights and upon instructions received from the Government of Suriname has the honour to inform the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights with reference to the Resolutions concerning cases N' 9778, 10.116, 10.117, 10.118, 10.119, and 10.124 the following:

The Government of the Republic of Suriname has taken due note of the contents of aforementioned Resolutions and will seriously endeavour to act upon the recommendations contained therein.

Mindful of the gravity of the cases cited, the Government intends to carry out a further investigation into those cases.

The Government is aware, however, that a thorough investigation of alleged violations of human rights, which would have occurred during the internal conflict since July 1986, is a most difficult and time consuming exercise.

The Government is nevertheless determined to do everything within its power to serve the cause of justice.

The Government also intends to carry out its obligations with respect to all victims of human rights abuses.

e. The Commission, thereafter in reply to this note, advised the Government on June 9, 1988, *inter alia*:

On behalf of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, I am pleased to respond to your note of May 1988, in which you inform the Commission that Your Excellency's Government intends to seriously endeavor to act upon the recommendations contained in the Resolutions stemming from Cases 9778, 10.116, 10.117, 10.118, 10.119, and 10.124. Moreover, Your Excellency's statement that the Government intends to carry out a thorough investigation into those cases in order to serve the cause of justice is most heartening.

Because the Commission will be meeting in September of this year and will necessarily have to decide whether to include those Resolutions in its 1987-88 Annual Report, it is very important that the Commission be advised in a timely way of the actions taken by Your Excellency's Government with respect to said cases.

f. That the Government, on August 19, 1988, sent the following note to the Commission concerning this case:

The Permanent Representative of the Republic of Suriname to the Organization of American States presents his compliments to the Executive Secretary of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights and with reference to the latter's notes concerning Cases 10.115, 10.117, 10.118, 10.119, 10.124, 9820, as well as to the note dated May 19, 1988, has the honour, upon instructions received from the Government of Suriname to further communicate the following.

An inquiry at the proper judicial authorities into the above mentioned cases revealed that no complaints have been filed. Since the Office of the Public Prosecutor has no knowledge of above mentioned cases, it is not possible to provide satisfactory answers to the questions submitted by the Commission.

The information as contained in the notes of the Commission is however not sufficient to enable the Office of the Public Prosecutor to make thorough inquiries into these cases. The Government of Suriname would therefore appreciate being provided with any additional information, which the Commission might have at its disposal and which might facilitate such investigations. Needless to say that this information will be dealt with in the strictest confidence.

Nevertheless the Office of the Public Prosecutor is attempting to gather information, in order to investigate these alleged violations. In this respect the Government of Suriname would like to state that the continuation of the hostilities in the area, where the alleged violations occurred, is not conducive to an investigation.

g. That despite the assurances provided in the foregoing notes, no further investigation has been conducted and no further information has been provided to the Commission by the Government on this case.

h. That the Commission thereafter received testimony regarding this case during its on-site visit to Suriname in December of 1988, and that the testimony effectively corroborates the original complaint, in particular the arrest, torture, and murder of Mr. Darmburg and the destruction of his home and personal property.

i. That the Commission was told by the acting Attorney General of Suriname, during its December 1988 on-site visit, that the Government was unable to investigate this matter.

j. That the Commission is satisfied that the Government has failed to make a good faith effort to investigate the allegations in this case and to punish those responsible for its occurrence.

k. That it was impossible for the complainants to exhaust domestic remedies in this matter since the

authorities that would have been responsible for the investigation, namely the military police, form part of the military establishment accused of the violations in question, and that it can reasonably be deduced that the inaction of military in this and other cases clearly demonstrates an unwillingness to investigate, prosecute, and punish those responsible for the violations.

THE INTER-AMERICAN COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS,
RESOLVES:

1. To determine to be true the facts denounced in the communication of October 8, 1987, concerning the killing of Mr. Edward M. Darmburg, on June 18, 1987.
2. To declare that this constitutes a very serious violation of the right to life (Article I) and the right to property (Article XXIII) of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man, although it occurred before the democratically elected civilian Government took office in January, 1988.
3. To insist that the Government of Suriname proceed, as soon as possible, to carry out an investigation of the event and punish those responsible therefor with the most severe penalties established in its domestic legislation.
4. To declare that the relatives of the victim are entitled to fair compensation, according to law, whereby the Government is responsible for said compensation.
5. To publish this Resolution in its Annual Report.
6. To transmit this Resolution to the Government of Suriname and the petitioner.