

# WorldCourts™

---

Institution: Inter-American Commission on Human Rights  
File Number(s): Report No. 14/86; Case No. 9296  
Session: Sixty-Seventh Session (8 – 18 April 1986)  
Title/Style of Cause: Feliz Alberto Estrada Sandoval v. Nicaragua  
Doc. Type: Resolution  
Decided by: Chairman: Luis Adolfo Siles Salinas;  
First Vice Chairman: Gilda Russomano;  
Second Vice Chairman: Marco Tulio Bruni Celli;  
Members: Dr. Marco Gerardo Monroy Cabra; Dr. Bruce McColm; Ms. Elsa Kelly; Messrs. Oliver Jackman  
Dated: 16 April 1986  
Citation: Estrada Sandoval v. Nicar., Case 9296, Inter-Am. C.H.R., Report No. 14/86, OEA/Ser.L/V/II.68, doc. 8 rev. 1 (1985-1986)

Terms of Use: Your use of this document constitutes your consent to the Terms and Conditions found at [www.worldcourts.com/index/eng/terms.htm](http://www.worldcourts.com/index/eng/terms.htm)

---

## HAVING SEEN:

1. The petition received by the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights on April 9, 1984, according to which:

FELIZ ALBERTO ESTRADA SANDOVAL, 26 years of age, single, a painter and resident of Managua, was arrested on February 25, 1983 in a combat zone and prisoners who had been set free in El Chipote have stated that he is under arrest in that prison but there, his arrest has been repeatedly denied to his family members.

2. The transmission of the pertinent parts of that petition to the Government of Nicaragua in the note of June 18, 1984, requesting it to provide such information as it deemed pertinent, as well as any information that would make it possible to ascertain whether, in the case that is the subject matter of this request, the remedies of domestic law had been exhausted.

3. The communication of January 18, 1985, which repeated the request for information of June 18, 1984.

4. The repetition of the request for information made in the note of February 26, 1986, which informed the Government of Nicaragua that, should such information not be provided within a period of thirty days pursuant to Article 42 of the Regulations, the facts reported would be considered to be true.

## CONSIDERING:

1. That so far the Government of Nicaragua has not replied to the request for information made by the Commission concerning this case and that, in addition, the requirements of domestic law have been exhausted.

2. That, by reason of their nature, the facts that are the subject matter of the petition, do not permit the application in this case of the friendly settlement procedure.

3. That Article 42 of the Regulations of the Commission stipulate the following:

The facts reported in the petition whose pertinent parts have been transmitted to the Government of the State in reference shall be presumed to be true if, during the maximum period set by the Commission under the provisions of Article 34, paragraph 5, the Government has not provided the pertinent information, as long as other evidence does not lead to a different conclusion.

4. That, in addition to not replying to the repeated requests for information, the Government of Nicaragua has so far not allowed a member of the Commission and an official of the Secretariat to go to that country to clarify certain aspects relating to this case, pursuant to the provisions of Article 48.1 d of the American Convention on Human Rights to which Nicaragua is a State Party.

5. That in its observations to Resolution 14/86 the Nicaraguan Government stated that Mr. Feliz Alberto Estrada Sandoval has not been detained and there are no restrictions regarding his freedom of movement in the country; adding that:

The information supplied by the claimants does not appear to correspond with reality. It is relevant to point out to this Honorable Commission that the places where this person was allegedly detained are zones in which counterrevolutionary bands enter and leave and in which a climate of danger and movement typical of war zones exists. In such zones, in which the backwardness is not notorious, there is not even a population census. The above circumstances impede the rapid gathering of information.

6. The observations of the Government are a general response and do not address the details of the facts presented by the claimant, for which reason the Commission finds that these observations do not cast doubt upon the conclusions to which it arrived in Resolution 14/86.

7. That the General Assembly of the Organization of American States declared in Resolution 666 (XIII-0/83) that "the practice of the forced disappearance of persons in the Americas is an affront to the conscience of the hemisphere and constitutes a crime against humanity."

In view whereof:

**THE INTER-AMERICAN COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS, RESOLVES:**

1. To consider true the facts reported in the communication of April 9, 1984 concerning the arrest and subsequent disappearance of Mr. Feliz Alberto Estrada Sandoval.

2. To declare that the Government of Nicaragua has violated the right to personal liberty (Article 7) and the right to life (Article 4) set forth in the American Convention on Human Rights to which Nicaragua is a State Party.

3. To recommend to the Government of Nicaragua that it order a thorough investigation of the facts reported in order to identify the persons responsible, and prosecute and punish them in accordance with the law and that it adopt the necessary measures to prevent repetition of such serious events.

4. To confirm Resolution 14/16, include it in its Annual Report to the General Assembly of the Organization of American States, in accordance with Article 63, g of the Regulations of the Commission,

and to communicate it to the claimant.