

WorldCourts™

Institution:	Inter-American Commission on Human Rights
File Number(s):	Case No. 1810
Session:	Thirty-Fourth Session (15 – 25 October 1974)
Title/Style of Cause:	Christian Montecinos Slauther, Víctor Garretón, Jorge Salas, Marcos Adler and Beatriz Diaz de Adler v. Chile
Doc. Type:	Decision
Decided by:	President: Dr. Andrés Aguilar, President (Venezuela) Vice-President: Dr. Carlos A. Dunshee de Abranches (Brazil) Members: Professor Manuel Bianchi (Chile); Dr. Gabino Fraga (Mexico); Dr. Justino Jimenez de Aréchaga (Uruguay); Mr. Robert F. Woodward (United States); Dr. Genaro R. Carrio (Argentina)
Dated:	15 - 25 October 1974
Citation:	Montecinos Slauther v. Chile, Case 1810, Inter-Am. C.H.R., OEA/Ser.L/V/II.34, doc. 31 rev. 1 (1974)
Terms of Use:	Your use of this document constitutes your consent to the Terms and Conditions found at www.worldcourts.com/index/eng/terms.htm

[1] Case 1810, March 26, 1974, reporting the arbitrary arrest of Christian Montecinos Slauther, Víctor Garretón, Jorge Salas and Marcos Adler and his wife, Mrs. Beatriz Diaz de Adler, on October 16, 1973. The following day, the bodies of these persons were found, according to an official report, at Kilometer 12 of the El Prado Tunnel and they were considered to be unknown.

[2] The Commission examined the case in question at its thirty-second session (April 1974) and decided to transmit the pertinent parts of the report to the Government of Chile, in a request for information, in accordance with Articles 42 and 44 of the Rules of Procedure.

[3] In implementation of this decision, a note was sent to the Government of Chile on June 3, 1974. IN a letter dated April 29, 1974, the complainant was informed of this decision.

[4] In a note dated August 22, 1974 (No. 14378), the Government of Chile informed the Commission that "in view of the complex nature of the report made, I have taken steps to obtain from the competent national authorities the information necessary to enable me to give an appropriate reply to the Commission, as soon as I am provided with that information."

[5] In accordance with its Rules of Procedure, the Commission, in a letter dated September 12, 1974, transmitted to the complainant the pertinent parts of the above-mentioned information.

[6] The Commission considered this base at its thirty-fourth session (October. 1974) and, bearing in mind the offer of the Government of Chile to supply the pertinent information, decided to postpone its examination of the case until that information was to hand; however, in order to accelerate the processing of the report (given the seriousness of the facts mentioned), it also decided to repeat to the Government of Chile the request that it send this information.

[7] In implementation of this decision, the Committee sent a note to the Government of Chile dated December 17, 1974. Also, in a letter dated November 25, 1974, it informed the complainant of the above-mentioned decision.