



## **DECISION TO STRIKE OUT**

**Case no. CH/98/1483**

**Asima VASOVIĆ**

**against**

**THE REPUBLIKA SRPSKA**

The Human Rights Chamber for Bosnia and Herzegovina, sitting as the First Panel on 7 February 2003, with the following members present:

Ms. Michèle PICARD, President  
Mr. Miodrag PAJIĆ, Vice-President  
Mr. Dietrich RAUSCHNING  
Mr. Hasan BALIĆ  
Mr. Rona AYBAY  
Mr. Želimir JUKA  
Mr. Andrew GROTRIAN

Mr. Ulrich GARMS, Registrar

Ms. Olga KAPIĆ, Deputy Registrar

Ms. Antonia DE MEO, Deputy Registrar

Having considered the aforementioned application introduced pursuant to Article VIII(1) of the Human Rights Agreement ("the Agreement") set out in Annex 6 to the General Framework Agreement for Peace in Bosnia and Herzegovina;

Adopts the following decision pursuant to Article VIII(3)(a) of the Agreement as well as Rule 52 of the Chamber's Rules of Procedure:

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

1. The case concerns the applicant's attempts to regain possession of her pre-war property, located at Franje Kluza nn Street in Gradiška, the Republika Srpska.
2. On 11 August 1998, the applicant lodged a request for repossession before the Ministry for Refugees and Displaced Persons, Gradiška Department.

## **II. COMPLAINTS**

3. The applicant alleges a violation of her rights as protected by Articles 8, 13 and 14 of the European Convention on Human Rights ("the Convention") and Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 to the Convention, as well as violations of Annexes 6 and 7 to the General Framework Agreement.

## **III. PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE CHAMBER**

4. The application was introduced on 17 November 1998 and registered on the same day.
5. On 5 May 1999, the Chamber transmitted the application to the respondent Party for its observations on the admissibility and merits under Articles 6 and 8 of the Convention and Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 to the Convention, as well as Article II(2)(b) of the Agreement.
6. On 14 June 2000, the respondent Party provided information to the Chamber that the applicant had regained possession of her pre-war property
7. On 28 August 2002, the Chamber wrote to the applicant asking her to confirm this information. She was cautioned that if she did not reply within 15 days, the Chamber might conclude that she no longer wished to proceed with his application and decide to strike it out. No reply was received to this letter.
8. On 12 November 2002, the Chamber sent a reminder letter to the applicant, by registered mail, enclosing a copy of the letter of 28 August 2002 and asking for her reply. The applicant was also cautioned that the Chamber might conclude that she no longer wished to proceed with her application and decide to strike it out, if no answer was received within seven days. On 15 November 2002, the Chamber received the signed certificate of delivery for its letter of 12 November 2002. No reply was received to this letter. The Chamber sent another reminder letter on 16 December 2002. On 20 December 2002, the Chamber received the signed certificate of delivery for its letter of 16 December 2002. No reply was received to this letter either.

## **IV. OPINION OF THE CHAMBER**

9. In accordance with Article VIII(3)(a) of the Agreement, "the Chamber may decide at any point in its proceedings to suspend consideration of, reject or strike out, an application on the ground that (a) the applicant does not intend to pursue his application; ... provided that such a result is consistent with the objective of respect for human rights."
10. The Chamber notes that the applicant has not replied to the letters it sent to her. The Chamber further notes that these letters specifically cautioned the applicant that if she did not reply, the Chamber might conclude that she did not wish to proceed with her application. Accordingly, the Chamber finds that the applicant does not intend to pursue the application. Furthermore, the Chamber finds no special circumstances regarding respect for human rights which require the examination of the application to be continued. The Chamber therefore decides to strike out the application.

**V. CONCLUSION**

11. For these reasons, the Chamber, unanimously,

**STRIKES OUT THE APPLICATION.**

(signed)  
Ulrich GARMS  
Registrar of the Chamber

(signed)  
Michèle PICARD  
President of the First Panel