



## **DECISION TO STRIKE OUT**

**Case no. CH/98/145**

**Pašan MEHMEDINOVIĆ**

**against**

**BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA  
and  
THE FEDERATION OF BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA**

The Human Rights Chamber for Bosnia and Herzegovina, sitting as the First Panel on 5 December 2002 with the following members present:

Ms. Michèle PICARD, President  
Mr. Rona AYBAY, Vice-President  
Mr. Dietrich RAUSCHNING  
Mr. Hasan BALIĆ  
Mr. Želimir JUKA  
Mr. Miodrag PAJIĆ  
Mr. Andrew GROTRIAN

Mr. Ulrich GARMS, Registrar  
Ms. Olga KAPIĆ, Deputy Registrar

Having considered the aforementioned application introduced pursuant to Article VIII(1) of the Human Rights Agreement ("the Agreement") set out in Annex 6 to the General Framework Agreement for Peace in Bosnia and Herzegovina;

Adopts the following decision pursuant to Article VIII(3)(c) of the Agreement and Rule 52 of the Chamber's Rules of Procedure:

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

1. The application was introduced on 22 January 1998 and registered on the same day.
2. The case concerns the applicant's attempts to register himself as the legal owner of his apartment located at Ulica Maršala Tito, number 38/III, Tuzla. The applicant was living in the apartment throughout the proceedings, but he complained that he could not register himself as the lawful owner of the apartment in question.
3. The case was transmitted to the respondent Parties in 1998 for observations on the admissibility and merits. On 23 February 2000 the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina provided information that the applicant had succeeded in being registered as the lawful owner of the apartment in question. In a letter dated 20 April 2000, the Federation suggested that the case be struck out as the matter was resolved. The Chamber forwarded this information to the applicant on 3 May 2000.
4. On 11 May 2000 the applicant requested compensation from the respondent Party for the material costs and other expenditures which were the result of his case, as documented in a letter to the Chamber on 24 June 1998, as well as a formal apology from the Federation.

## **II. OPINION OF THE CHAMBER**

5. In accordance with Article VIII(3) of the Agreement, "the Chamber may decide at any point in its proceedings to suspend consideration of, reject or strike out, an application on the ground that ... (c) for any other reason established by the Chamber, it is no longer justified to continue the examination of the application; provided that such a result is consistent with the objective of respect for human rights."
6. The Chamber notes that although the applicant has succeeded in being registered as the owner of the apartment in question, he asks the Chamber to order the respondent Party to pay compensation to him in recognition of the damage, both pecuniary and non-pecuniary, suffered by him during the course of the proceedings in question.
7. The Chamber recalls that under Article VIII(2)(e) of the Agreement, "the Chamber shall endeavour to give particular priority to allegations of especially severe or systematic violations and those founded on alleged discrimination on prohibited grounds". As the Chamber has explained in the case of *Vujičić v. the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina* (case no. CH/99/2198, decision to strike out of 10 October 2002, Decisions July—December 2002), there are presently thousands of undecided applications pending before the Chamber, and this number is growing month by month.
8. Taking into account that the applicant has been registered as the lawful owner of the apartment in question, the Chamber considers that the ongoing alleged human rights violation has been brought to an end and the main issue of the application has been resolved. The Chamber recognises that valid reasons may underlie the applicant's request to nonetheless maintain his claim for compensation. However, in the light of the considerations discussed above, the Chamber finds that "it is no longer justified to continue the examination of the application" within the meaning of Article VIII(3)(c) of the Agreement. The Chamber moreover finds that this result is "consistent with the objective of respect for human rights", as this "objective" must be understood to embrace not only the individual applicant's human rights, but also the Chamber's more general mandate to assist the Parties in securing to all persons within their jurisdiction the highest level of internationally recognised human rights (Articles I and II of the Agreement).
9. The Chamber, therefore, decides to strike out the application, pursuant to Article VIII(3)(c) of the Agreement.

**III. CONCLUSION**

10. For these reasons, the Chamber, unanimously,

**STRIKES OUT THE APPLICATION.**

(signed)  
Ulrich GARMS  
Registrar of the Chamber

(signed)  
Michèle PICARD  
President of the First Panel