



## **DECISION ON ADMISSIBILITY**

**Case no. CH/98/1016**

**Merima POŠKOVIĆ**

**against**

**THE FEDERATION OF BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA**

The Human Rights Chamber for Bosnia and Herzegovina, sitting as the Second Panel on 6 May 2003 with the following members present:

Mr. Jakob MÖLLER, Acting President  
Mr. Mehmed DEKOVIĆ  
Mr. Manfred NOWAK  
Mr. Vitomir POPOVIĆ  
Mr. Viktor MASENKO-MAVI

Mr. Ulrich GARMS, Registrar  
Ms. Olga KAPIĆ, Deputy Registrar  
Ms. Antonia DE MEO, Deputy Registrar

Having considered the aforementioned application introduced pursuant to Article VIII(1) of the Human Rights Agreement ("the Agreement") set out in Annex 6 to the General Framework Agreement for Peace in Bosnia and Herzegovina;

Adopts the following decision pursuant to Article VIII(2)(c) of the Agreement and Rules 49(2) and 52 of the Chamber's Rules of Procedure:

## I. INTRODUCTION

1. The application was introduced on 8 October 1998.
2. The applicant has been using an apartment in Sarajevo since 21 March 1996. The Centar Municipality allowed the applicant to enter into possession of the apartment in question, but the applicant has never received a decision officially allocating the apartment to her. According to the applicant, in 1997, the Centar Municipality issued a decision allocating the apartment in question to another person, M.M. However, M.M. never entered into possession of the apartment because the applicant was living there.
3. The applicant complains that she has been denied the right to be officially allocated an apartment for her use on the same conditions as others, *i.e.*, on the basis of an official decision on allocation. She believes that she has a priority right to allocation of the apartment in question because she has been working as a doctor for nineteen and half years and she has been on the waiting list for allocation of an apartment since 1996.

## II. OPINION OF THE CHAMBER

4. In accordance with Article VIII(2) of the Agreement, “the Chamber shall decide which applications to accept.... In so doing, the Chamber shall take into account the following criteria: ... (c) The Chamber shall also dismiss any application which it considers incompatible with this Agreement, manifestly ill-founded, or an abuse of the right of petition.”

5. The Chamber notes that the applicant complains that there has been an interference with her right to be allocated an apartment for her use on the same conditions as others. However, the Chamber notes that the European Convention on Human Rights does not contain a right to that effect. As the Chamber has explained in previous cases on this issue, it only has jurisdiction to consider the right to housing, which is protected by Article 11 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, in connection with alleged or apparent discrimination in the enjoyment of such right (see case no. CH/01/6662, *Huremović*, decision on admissibility of 6 April 2001, paragraph 4, Decisions January-June 2001). The facts of this case do not indicate that the applicant has been the victim of discrimination on any of the grounds set forth in Article II(2)(b) of the Agreement. It follows that this part of the application is incompatible *ratione materiae* with the provisions of the Agreement, within the meaning of Article VIII(2)(c). The Chamber therefore decides to declare this part of the application inadmissible as well.

## III. CONCLUSION

6. For these reasons, the Chamber, unanimously ,

**DECLARES THE APPLICATION INADMISSIBLE.**

(signed)  
Ulrich GARMS  
Registrar of the Chamber

(signed)  
Mr. Jakob MÖLLER  
Acting President of the Second Panel