



## **DECISION ON ADMISSIBILITY**

**Case no. CH/01/7276**

**Zoran KISIN**

**against**

**THE REPUBLIKA SRPSKA**

The Human Rights Chamber for Bosnia and Herzegovina, sitting as the First Panel, on 5 September 2001 with the following members present:

Ms. Michèle PICARD, President  
Mr. Dietrich RAUSCHNING, Vice-President  
Mr. Hasan BALIĆ  
Mr. Rona AYBAY  
Mr. Želimir JUKA  
Mr. Miodrag PAJIĆ  
Mr. Andrew GROTRIAN

Mr. Ulrich GARMS, Registrar  
Ms. Olga KAPIĆ, Deputy Registrar

Having considered the aforementioned application introduced pursuant to Article VIII(1) of the Human Rights Agreement ("the Agreement") set out in Annex 6 to the General Framework Agreement for Peace in Bosnia and Herzegovina;

Adopts the following decision pursuant to Article VIII(2)(c) of the Agreement and Rules 49(2) and 52 of the Chamber's Rules of Procedure:

## I. INTRODUCTION

1. The application was introduced on 1 August 2001 and registered on the same day. The applicant requested that the Chamber order the respondent Party, as a provisional measure, to take all necessary action to prevent his eviction from an apartment of which he is the temporary user. On 5 September 2001, the Chamber decided not to order the provisional measure requested.
2. The applicant complains of a decision of the Ministry for Refugees and Displaced Persons in Banja Luka, dated 29 January 2001, ordering his eviction from the apartment concerned.

## II. OPINION OF THE CHAMBER

3. Before considering the merits of the case the Chamber must decide whether to accept it taking into account the admissibility criteria set out in Article VIII (2) of the Agreement. According to Article VIII (2)(c), the Chamber shall dismiss any application which it considers manifestly ill-founded.
4. The Chamber notes that the decision in question was taken to allow the pre-war occupant to repossess the apartment and that the applicant has no legally protected right to continue to occupy it. In these circumstances and in the light of all the material in its possession, the Chamber finds that the application does not disclose any appearance of a violation of the rights and freedoms guaranteed under the Agreement.
5. The Chamber therefore decides to declare the application inadmissible as manifestly ill-founded, within the meaning of Article VIII(2)(c) of the Agreement.

## III. CONCLUSION

6. For these reasons, the Chamber, unanimously,

**DECLARES THE APPLICATION INADMISSIBLE.**

(signed)  
Ulrich GARMS  
Registrar of the Chamber

(signed)  
Michele PICARD  
President of the First Panel