



## **DECISION TO STRIKE OUT**

**Case no. CH/01/7172**

**Jasminka and Safija POTOGIJA**

**against**

**THE REPUBLIKA SRPSKA**

The Human Rights Chamber for Bosnia and Herzegovina, sitting as the Second Panel, on 10 January 2003 with the following members present:

Mr. Mato TADIĆ, President  
Mr. Jakob MÖLLER  
Mr. Mehmed DEKOVIĆ  
Mr. Manfred NOWAK  
Mr. Vitomir POPOVIĆ  
Mr. Viktor MASENKO-MAVI

Mr. Ulrich GARMS, Registrar  
Ms. Olga KAPIĆ, Deputy Registrar  
Ms. Antonia DE MEO, Deputy Registrar

Having considered the aforementioned application introduced pursuant to Article VIII(1) of the Human Rights Agreement (“the Agreement”) set out in Annex 6 to the General Framework Agreement for Peace in Bosnia and Herzegovina;

Adopts the following decision pursuant to Article VIII(3)(b) of the Agreement and Rules 49(2) and 52 of the Chamber’s Rules of Procedure:

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. The application was received on 17 May 2001 and registered on the same day.
2. The applicants, who are sisters of Bosniak origin, were reinstated into possession of their pre-war apartments at Vojvode Stepe 17 Street in Doboj, the Republika Srpska. They allege the loss of moveable property from their apartments and therefore ask the Chamber to insure that the Republika Srpska award them compensation for pecuniary and non-pecuniary damages.
3. On 9 November 2001, the applicants wrote to the Chamber asking it to strike out their application because they have succeeded in their claims before “another body”.

**II. OPINION OF THE CHAMBER**

4. In accordance with Article VIII(3) of the Agreement, “the Chamber may decide at any point in its proceedings to suspend consideration of, reject or strike out, an application on the ground that ... (b) the matter has been resolved; ... provided that such a result is consistent with the objective of respect for human rights.”
5. Considering that the applicants have stated that they succeeded in their claims for the loss of their moveable property before another body and therefore suggest that their application be struck out, the Chamber finds that the matter raised in the application has been resolved. Furthermore, the Chamber finds no special circumstances regarding respect for human rights which require the examination of the application to be continued. The Chamber therefore decides to strike out the application.

**III. CONCLUSION**

6. For these reasons, the Chamber, unanimously,

**STRIKES OUT THE APPLICATION.**

(signed)  
Ulrich GARMS  
Registrar of the Chamber

(signed)  
Mato TADIĆ  
President of the Second Panel