



DECISION ON REQUEST FOR REVIEW

CH/01/6861

Hamzaliya BEKAN

against

**BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA
and
THE FEDERATION OF BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA**

The Human Rights Chamber for Bosnia and Herzegovina, sitting in plenary session on 7 June with the following members present:

Ms. Michèle PICARD, President
Mr. Giovanni GRASSO, Vice-President
Mr. Dietrich RAUSCHNING
Mr. Hasan BALIĆ
Mr. Rona AYBAY
Mr. Želimir JUKA
Mr. Jakob MÖLLER
Mr. Mehmed DEKOVIĆ
Mr. Manfred NOWAK
Mr. Miodrag PAJIĆ
Mr. Vitomir POPOVIĆ
Mr. Viktor MASENKO-MAVI
Mr. Andrew GROTRIAN
Mr. Mato TADIĆ

Mr. Peter KEMPEES, Registrar
Ms. Olga KAPIĆ, Deputy Registrar

Having considered the applicant's request for review of the decision of the First Panel of the Chamber on the admissibility of the aforementioned case

Having considered the Second Panel's recommendation;

Adopts the following decision pursuant to Article X(2) of the Human Rights Agreement ("the Agreement") set out in Annex 6 to the General Framework Agreement for Peace in Bosnia and Herzegovina as well as Rules 63-66 of the Chamber's Rules of Procedure:

I. FACTS AND COMPLAINTS

1. The Chamber refers to the decision of the First Panel, which is appended to the present decision (Annex 1).

II. SUMMARY OF THE PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE CHAMBER

2. On 10 April 2001 the First Panel's decision was communicated to the parties in pursuance of Rule 52. On 11 May 2001 the applicant submitted a request for a review of the decision.

3. In accordance with Rule 64(1) the request was considered by the Second Panel.

III. THE REQUEST FOR REVIEW

4. The Chamber refers to the request for review, which is appended to the present decision (Annex 2).

IV. OPINION OF THE SECOND PANEL

5. The Second Panel notes that the request for review has been lodged within the time-limit prescribed by Rule 63(2). It is of the opinion, however, that the grounds upon which the applicant's request for review is based were in essence already examined by the Panel which considered the admissibility of the case. The Second Panel therefore does not consider that "the whole circumstances justify reviewing the decision" as required by Rule 64(2)(b). In addition, the case does not raise "a serious question affecting the interpretation or application of the Agreement or a serious issue of general importance" as required by Rule 64(2)(a). As the request for review does not meet either of the conditions set out in Rule 64(2), the Second Panel unanimously recommends that the request be rejected.

V. OPINION OF THE PLENARY CHAMBER

6. The plenary Chamber agrees with the Second Panel that, for the reasons stated, the request for review does not meet the two conditions required for the Chamber to accept such a request pursuant to Rule 64(2).

VI. CONCLUSION

7. For these reasons, the Chamber, by 13 votes to 1,

REJECTS THE REQUEST FOR REVIEW.

(signed)
Peter KEMPEES
Registrar of the Chamber

(signed)
Michèle PICARD
President of the Chamber