



## **DECISION TO STRIKE OUT**

**Case no. CH/00/5370**

**Mijo DODIG**

**against**

**THE FEDERATION OF BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA**

The Human Rights Chamber for Bosnia and Herzegovina, sitting as the Second Panel on 8 February 2002 with the following members present:

Mr. Giovanni GRASSO, President  
Mr. Viktor MASENKO-MAVI, Vice-President  
Mr. Jakob MÖLLER  
Mr. Mehmed DEKOVIĆ  
Mr. Manfred NOWAK  
Mr. Vitomir POPOVIĆ  
Mr. Mato TADIĆ

Mr. Ulrich GARMS, Registrar  
Ms. Olga KAPIĆ, Deputy Registrar

Having considered the aforementioned application introduced pursuant to Article VIII(1) of the Human Rights Agreement ("the Agreement") set out in Annex 6 to the General Framework Agreement for Peace in Bosnia and Herzegovina;

Adopts the following decision pursuant to Article VIII(3)(a) of the Agreement and Rule 52 of the Chamber's Rules of Procedure:

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

1. The application was introduced on 12 July 2000. It concerns a dispute over an apartment located at ul. Omladiskih radnih brigada no.5/III ("the apartment"), in Sarajevo, the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina, for which the applicant has a confirmed occupancy right. The applicant had received a procedural decision of 7 April 1999 issued by the Sarajevo Cantonal Administration for Housing Affairs (Uprava za stambena pitanja Kantona Sarajevo) ("Uprava") ordering the temporary occupant to vacate the applicant's apartment in 90 days. Although the applicant several times requested enforcement of the procedural decision, as of the date he submitted his application to the Chamber, he had received no response from the Administration upon his requests.

2. On 4 July 2001 the applicant submitted a letter to the Chamber stating that he had been reinstated into his apartment and that he withdrew his application because his rights had been realised.

## **II. OPINION OF THE CHAMBER**

3. In accordance with Article VIII(3) of the Agreement, "the Chamber may decide at any point in its proceedings to suspend consideration of, reject or strike out, an application on the ground that ... (a) the applicant does not intend to pursue his application; ... provided that such a result is consistent with the objective of respect for human rights."

4. The Chamber notes that the applicant has informed it that he no longer intends to pursue his application. Furthermore, the Chamber finds no special circumstances regarding respect for human rights which require the examination of the application to be continued. The Chamber therefore decides to strike out the application.

## **III. CONCLUSION**

5. For these reasons, the Chamber, unanimously,

**STRIKES OUT THE APPLICATION.**

(signed)  
Ulrich GARMS  
Registrar of the Chamber

(signed)  
Giovanni GRASSO  
President of the Second Panel