



## **DECISION TO STRIKE OUT**

**Case no. CH/00/3653**

**Vojin KARANVIĆ**

**against**

**THE FEDERATION OF BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA**

The Human Rights Chamber for Bosnia and Herzegovina, sitting as the First Panel on 7 June 2002 with the following members present:

Ms. Michèle PICARD, President  
Mr. Rona AYBAY, Vice-President  
Mr. Dietrich RAUSCHNING  
Mr. Hasan BALIĆ  
Mr. Želimir JUKA  
Mr. Miodrag PAJIĆ  
Mr. Andrew GROTRIAN

Mr. Ulrich GARMS, Registrar  
Ms. Olga KAPIĆ, Deputy Registrar

Having considered the aforementioned application introduced pursuant to Article VIII(1) of the Human Rights Agreement ("the Agreement") set out in Annex 6 to the General Framework Agreement for Peace in Bosnia and Herzegovina;

Adopts the following decision pursuant to Article VIII(3)(b) of the Agreement and Rules 49(2) and 52 of the Chamber's Rules of Procedure:

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. The application was introduced on 17 February 2000.
2. The applicant complained of his inability to repossess his pre-war apartment, located at Ulica Behdžeta Mutevelića no. 32/2, in Sarajevo.
3. The application was transmitted to the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina. On 21 June 2001 the respondent Party submitted its observations on admissibility and merits of the application.
4. On 7 November 2001 the respondent Party informed the Chamber that the applicant was reinstated into possession of his property on 16 October 2001.
5. On 14 November 2001 the Chamber sent a letter to the applicant, asking him to confirm that he has been reinstated into possession of his property. The Chamber has not received any answer from the applicant, and the deadline set for his answer has expired on 29 November 2001.

**II. OPINION OF THE CHAMBER**

6. In accordance with Article VIII(3) of the Agreement, “the Chamber may decide at any point in its proceedings to suspend consideration of, reject or strike out, an application on the ground that ... (b) the matter has been resolved; ... provided that such a result is consistent with the objective of respect for human rights.”
7. Considering that it appears that the applicant has been reinstated into possession of his apartment, and that he has not replied to the letter from the Chamber, the Chamber finds that the matter raised in the application has been resolved. Furthermore, the Chamber finds no special circumstances regarding respect for human rights which require the examination of the application to be continued. The Chamber therefore decides to strike out the application.

**III. CONCLUSION**

8. For these reasons, the Chamber, unanimously,

**STRIKES OUT THE APPLICATION.**

(signed)  
Ulrich GARMS  
Registrar

(signed)  
Michèle PICARD  
President of the First Panel