



## **DECISION TO STRIKE OUT**

**Case nos. CH/00/3545 and CH/00/5563**

**Rifet KUDUZović and Esad EJUP**

**against**

**THE REPUBLIKA SRPSKA**

The Human Rights Chamber for Bosnia and Herzegovina, sitting as the First Panel on 4 July 2003 with the following members present:

Ms. Michèle PICARD, President  
Mr. Miodrag PAJIĆ, Vice-President  
Mr. Hasan BALIĆ  
Mr. Rona AYBAY  
Mr. Želimir JUKA  
Mr. Andrew GROTRIAN

Mr. Ulrich GARMS, Registrar  
Ms. Olga KAPIĆ, Deputy Registrar  
Ms. Antonia DE MEO, Deputy Registrar

Having considered the aforementioned application introduced pursuant to Article VIII(1) of the Human Rights Agreement ("the Agreement") set out in Annex 6 to the General Framework Agreement for Peace in Bosnia and Herzegovina;

Adopts the following decision pursuant to Article VIII(3)(a) of the Agreement and Rules 34, 49(2) and 52 of the Chamber's Rules of Procedure:

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

1. In the following cases the Chamber attempted to contact the applicants to obtain updated information on their applications, but they failed to respond to the Chamber's requests.

2. Considering the similarity between the facts of the cases and the complaints of the applicants, the Chamber decided to join the present applications in accordance with Rule 34 of the Chamber's Rules of Procedure on the same day it adopted the present decision.

### **A. CH/00/3545 Rifet KUDUZović**

3. The application was introduced on 20 January 2000 and registered on the same day.

4. The case concerns the applicant's attempts to regain possession of his pre-war property located in Gradiška, the Republika Srpska.

5. On 19 March 2003 and 14 May 2003, the Chamber sent letters, via registered mail, to the applicant at the address he submitted to the Chamber, asking whether he had succeeded in repossessing his property. The Chamber cautioned that if it received no response, it might decide to strike out the application. The applicant signed the registered return receipts on 22 March 2003 and 17 May 2003; however, the Chamber received no response.

### **B. CH/00/5563 Esad EJUP**

6. The application was introduced on 14 August 2000 and registered on 14 September 2000.

7. The case concerns the applicant's attempts to regain possession of his pre-war apartment located at Ulica Oslobodilaca Sarajeva no. 2, in Sarajevo.

8. On 21 March 2003 and 14 May 2003, the Chamber sent letters, via registered mail, to the applicant at the address he submitted to the Chamber, asking whether the applicant had succeeded in repossessing his apartment. The Chamber cautioned that if it received no response, it might decide to strike out the application. The applicant signed the registered return receipts on 25 March 2003 and 16 May 2003; however, the Chamber received no response.

## **II. OPINION OF THE CHAMBER**

9. In accordance with Article VIII(3) of the Agreement, "the Chamber may decide at any point in its proceedings to suspend consideration of, reject or strike out, an application on the ground that (a) the applicant does not intend to pursue his application; ... provided that such a result is consistent with the objective of respect for human rights."

10. Considering that the applicants did not respond to the Chamber's letters, despite the express warning that a failure to do so might result in their applications being struck out, the Chamber can only conclude that the applicants do not intend to pursue their respective applications. Furthermore, the Chamber finds no special circumstances regarding respect for human rights which require the examination of the applications to be continued. The Chamber therefore decides to strike out the applications pursuant to Article VIII(3)(a) of the Agreement.

**III. CONCLUSION**

11. <For these reasons, the Chamber, unanimously,

**JOINS THE APPLICATIONS and  
STRIKES OUT THE APPLICATIONS.**

(signed)  
Ulrich GARMS  
Registrar of the Chamber

(signed)  
Michèle PICARD  
President of the First Panel