



DECISION TO STRIKE OUT

Case nos. CH/99/3365 and CH/00/5383

Sakiba KRIVDIĆ and Enver RUDANOVIĆ

against

THE REPUBLIKA SRPSKA

The Human Rights Chamber for Bosnia and Herzegovina, sitting as the First Panel on 6 June 2003 with the following members present:

Ms. Michèle PICARD, President
Mr. Miodrag PAJIĆ, Vice-President
Mr. Dietrich RAUSCHNING
Mr. Hasan BALIĆ
Mr. Želimir JUKA
Mr. Andrew GROTRIAN

Mr. Ulrich GARMS, Registrar
Ms. Olga KAPIĆ, Deputy Registrar
Ms. Antonia DE MEO, Deputy Registrar

Having considered the aforementioned applications introduced pursuant to Article VIII(1) of the Human Rights Agreement (“the Agreement”) set out in Annex 6 to the General Framework Agreement for Peace in Bosnia and Herzegovina;

Adopts the following decision pursuant to Article VIII(3)(a) of the Agreement and Rules 34, 49(2) and 52 of the Chamber’s Rules of Procedure:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. In the following cases the Chamber attempted to contact the applicants to obtain updated information on their applications, but they failed to respond to the Chamber's requests.

2. Considering the similarity between the facts of the cases and the complaints of the applicants, the Chamber decided to join the present applications in accordance with Rule 34 of the Chamber's Rules of Procedure on the same day it adopted the present decision.

A. CH/99/3365 Sakiba KRIVDIĆ

3. The application was introduced on 16 December 1999 and registered on the same day.

4. The case concerns the applicants' attempts to regain possession of her pre-war property located in Kozarska Dubica, the Republika Srpska.

5. On 19 March 2003, the Chamber sent a letter, via registered mail, to the applicant requesting an update on the status of the case within two weeks. The Chamber cautioned that if no response was received, the Chamber might decide to strike out the application. The applicant signed the registered return receipt on 20 March 2003, but she failed to respond.

B. CH/00/5383 Enver RUDANOVIĆ

6. The application was introduced on 13 July 2000 and registered on the same day.

7. The case concerns the applicant's attempts to regain possession of his pre-war property located in Doboj, the Republika Srpska.

8. On 19 March 2003, the Chamber sent a letter, via registered mail, to the applicant requesting an update on the status of the case within two weeks. The Chamber cautioned that if no response was received, the Chamber might decide to strike out the application. The applicant signed the registered return receipt on 22 March 2003, but he failed to respond.

II. OPINION OF THE CHAMBER

9. In accordance with Article VIII(3) of the Agreement, "the Chamber may decide at any point in its proceedings to suspend consideration of, reject or strike out, an application on the ground that (a) the applicant does not intend to pursue his application; ... provided that such a result is consistent with the objective of respect for human rights."

10. Considering that the applicants did not respond to the Chamber's letters, despite the express warning that a failure to do so might result in their applications being struck out, the Chamber can only conclude that the applicants do not intend to pursue their respective applications. Furthermore, the Chamber finds no special circumstances regarding respect for human rights which require the examination of the applications to be continued. The Chamber therefore decides to strike out the applications pursuant to Article VIII(3)(a) of the Agreement.

III. CONCLUSION

11. For these reasons, the Chamber, unanimously,

**JOINS THE APPLICATIONS and
STRIKES OUT THE APPLICATIONS.**

(signed)
Ulrich GARMS
Registrar of the Chamber

(signed)
Michèle PICARD
President of the Second Panel