

# **DECISION TO STRIKE OUT**

Case no. CH/98/1800

E.Ć.

## against

# THE REPUBLIKA SRPSKA

The Human Rights Chamber for Bosnia and Herzegovina, sitting as the Second Panel on 11 October 2002 with the following members present:

Mr. Viktor MASENKO-MAVI, Acting President

Mr. Jakob MÖLLER

Mr. Mehmed DEKOVIĆ

Mr. Vitomir POPOVIĆ

Mr. Mato TADIĆ

Mr. Ulrich GARMS, Registrar

Ms. Olga KAPIĆ, Deputy Registrar

Having considered the aforementioned application introduced pursuant to Article VIII(1) of the Human Rights Agreement ("the Agreement") set out in Annex 6 to the General Framework Agreement for Peace in Bosnia and Herzegovina;

Adopts the following decision pursuant to Article VIII(3)(b) of the Agreement and Rules 49(2) and 52 of the Chamber's Rules of Procedure:

#### CH/98/1800

#### I. FACTS

- 1. The application was introduced on 29 December 1999.
- 2. The applicant complained of his inability to repossess his property located at Hiseta no. 62 in Gradiška.
- 3. The application was transmitted to the Republika Srpska on 23 March 2000. On 23 May 2000, the Republika Srpska submitted its observations.
- 4. On 7 August 2001, the Republika Srpska informed the Chamber that the applicant had been reinstated into possession of his property on 31 October 2000.
- 5. On 21 September 2001 the Chamber sent a letter to two different addresses of the applicant asking him to confirm that he had been reinstated into possession of his property, but the applicant did not respond to this letter. On 12 July 2002, the Chamber again sent a letter to two different addresses of the applicant by registered mail; this letter specifically warned the applicant that if he did not respond to it, the Chamber might decide to strike out his application. According to the delivery receipt, the applicant received this letter on 18 July 2002. However, the Chamber has not received any answer from the applicant, and the deadline set for his answer has expired.

### II. OPINION OF THE CHAMBER

- 6. In accordance with Article VIII(3) of the Agreement, "the Chamber may decide at any point in its proceedings to suspend consideration of, reject or strike out, an application on the ground that ... (b) the matter has been resolved; ... provided that such a result is consistent with the objective of respect for human rights."
- 7. Considering that it appears that the applicant has been reinstated into possession of his property, and that he has not replied to the letters from the Chamber, the Chamber finds that the matter raised in the application has been resolved. Furthermore, the Chamber finds no special circumstances regarding respect for human rights which require the examination of the application to be continued. The Chamber therefore decides to strike out the application.

### III. CONCLUSION

8. For these reasons, the Chamber, unanimously,

STRIKES OUT THE APPLICATION.

(signed) Ulrich GARMS Registrar (signed) Viktor MASENKO-MAVI Acting President of the Second Panel