

ДОМ ЗА ЉУДСКА ПРАВА ЗА БОСНУ И ХЕРЦЕГОВИНУ

DECISION ON REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Case no. CH/99/1981

Slavica ILIĆ

against

THE REPUBLIKA SRPSKA

The Human Rights Chamber for Bosnia and Herzegovina, sitting in plenary session on 7 June 2001 with the following members present:

Ms. Michèle PICARD, President Mr. Giovanni GRASSO, Vice-President Mr. Dietrich RAUSCHNING Mr. Hasan BALIĆ Mr. Rona AYBAY Mr. Želimir JUKA Mr. Jakob MÖLLER Mr. Mehmed DEKOVIĆ Mr. Mehmed DEKOVIĆ Mr. Miodrag PAJIĆ Mr. Vitomir POPOVIĆ Mr. Vitomir POPOVIĆ Mr. Viktor MASENKO-MAVI Mr. Andrew GROTRIAN Mr. Mato TADIĆ

Mr. Peter KEMPEES, Registrar Ms. Olga KAPIĆ, Deputy Registrar

Having considered the applicant's request for review of the decision of the First Panel of the Chamber on the admissibility of the aforementioned case;

Having considered the Second Panel's recommendation;

Adopts the following decision pursuant to Article X(2) of the Human Rights Agreement ("the Agreement") set out in Annex 6 to the General Framework Agreement for Peace in Bosnia and Herzegovina as well as Rules 63-66 of the Chamber's Rules of Procedure:

CH/99/1981 – Decision on request for review

I. FACTS AND COMPLAINTS

1. The Chamber refers to the decision of the First Panel, which is appended to the present decision (Annex 1).

II. SUMMARY OF THE PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE CHAMBER

2. On 23 March 2000 the First Panel's decision was communicated to the parties in pursuance of Rule 52. On 19 April 2000 the applicant submitted a request for a review of the decision.

3. In accordance with Rule 64(1) the request was considered by the Second Panel.

III. THE REQUEST FOR REVIEW

4. The Chamber refers to the request for review, which is appended to the present decision (Annex 2).

IV. OPINION OF THE SECOND PANEL

5. The Second Panel notes that the party seeking review, being the applicant in the proceedings which led to the original decision, argues that the Chamber's establishment of the facts was incorrect. However, the Second Panel notes that the applicant neither points to facts which she considers to have been incorrectly established nor suggests a different factual interpretation of the available evidence. Moreover, the request for review involves neither a serious question affecting the interpretation or application of the Agreement nor a serious issue of general importance. Nor can it be said that the whole circumstances justify reviewing the original decision. That being so the Second Panel unanimously recommends that the plenary Chamber not accept the request.

V. OPINION OF THE PLENARY CHAMBER

6. The plenary Chamber agrees with the Second Panel that, for the reasons stated, the request for review does not meet the two conditions required for the Chamber to accept such a request pursuant to Rule 64(2).

VI. CONCLUSION

7. For these reasons, the Chamber, unanimously,

REJECTS THE REQUEST FOR REVIEW.

(signed) Peter KEMPEES Registrar of the Chamber (signed) Michèle PICARD President of the Chamber