

DECISION ON REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Case no. CH/98/1802

Bogdan RADOVANOVIĆ

against

THE REPUBLIKA SRPSKA

The Human Rights Chamber for Bosnia and Herzegovina, sitting in plenary session on 10 May 2001 with the following members present:

Ms. Michèle PICARD, President

Mr. Giovanni GRASSO, Vice-President

Mr. Dietrich RAUSCHNING

Mr. Hasan BALIĆ

Mr. Želimir JUKA

Mr. Jakob MÖLLER

Mr. Mehmed DEKOVIĆ

Mr. Manfred NOWAK

Mr. Miodrag PAJIĆ

Mr. Vitomir POPOVIĆ

Mr. Viktor MASENKO-MAVI

Mr. Andrew GROTRIAN

Mr. Mato TADIĆ

Mr. Peter KEMPEES, Registrar

Ms. Olga KAPIĆ, Deputy Registrar

Having considered the applicant's request for a review of the decision of the Second Panel of the Chamber on the admissibility of the aforementioned case;

Having considered the First Panel's recommendation;

Adopts the following decision pursuant to Article X(2) of the Human Rights Agreement ("the Agreement") set out in Annex 6 to the General Framework Agreement for Peace in Bosnia and Herzegovina as well as Rules 63-66 of the Chamber's Rules of Procedure:

I. FACTS AND COMPLAINTS

1. The Chamber refers to the decision of the Second Panel, which is appended to the present decision (Annex 1).

II. SUMMARY OF THE PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE CHAMBER

- 2. On 20 February 2001 and 22 February 2001 the Second Panel's decision was communicated to the parties in pursuance of Rule 52. On 13 March 2001 the applicant submitted a request for a review of the decision.
- 3. In accordance with Rule 64(1) the request was considered by the First Panel.

III. THE REQUEST FOR REVIEW

4. The Chamber refers to the request for review, which is appended to the present decision (Annex 2).

IV. OPINION OF THE FIRST PANEL

5. The First Panel notes that the party seeking review, being the applicant in the proceedings which led to the original decision, wishes the original decision to be reviewed on the ground that he has incurred expenses. However, since the application has been declared inadmissible, no question of compensating the applicant for his expenses arises. Moreover, the request for review involves neither a serious question affecting the interpretation or application of the Agreement nor a serious issue of general importance. Nor can it be said that the whole circumstances justify reviewing the original decision. That being so the First Panel unanimously recommends that the plenary Chamber not accept the request.

V. OPINION OF THE PLENARY CHAMBER

6. The plenary Chamber agrees with the First Panel that, for the reasons stated, the request for review does not meet the two conditions required for the Chamber to accept such a request pursuant to Rule 64(2).

VI. CONCLUSION

7. For these reasons, the Chamber, unanimously,

REJECTS THE REQUEST FOR REVIEW.

(signed)
Peter KEMPEES
Registrar of the Chamber

(signed) Michèle PICARD President of the Chamber