

ಚಠಿಕೃತ್ಯೋ ಶ್ರೀಲಾಟ್ ಪಿಕೆ ಕಳೆ ಬಾಲು ಚಾಹಿಕು

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres extraordinaires au sein des tribunaux cambodgiens

ព្រះព្រះពេលខេត្រមត់ ខ្លាំង សាសនា ព្រះមហាត្យត្រ

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

સહૈતેશ**દુરુંદ**િશ:

Pre-Trial Chamber Chambre Preliminaire

D361/4/1/3

ឯអសារជនិម

មន្ត្រីពន្ធលេបត្តក្រហ័ណ្ឌីរឿង:Case File Officer/L'agent chargé

In the name of the Cambodian people and the United Nations and pursuant to the Law on the Establishment of the Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia for the Prosecution of Crimes Committed During the Period of Democratic Kampuchea

Case File Nº 004/07-09-2009-ECCC/OCIJ (PTC46)

ORIGINAL DOCUMENT/DOCUMENT ORIGINAL
ថ្ងៃ ខ្លែ នទួល (Date of receip#date de recep- on):
9 1 07 1 7017

THE PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER

Before:

Judge PRAK Kimsan, President

Judge Olivier BEAUVALLET

Judge NEY Thol

Judge Kang Jin BAIK Judge HUOT Vuthy

Date:

Co-Prosecutors

Neville SORAB

19 July 2017

PUBLIC REDACTED

DECISION ON REQUEST FOR SUSPENSION OF D361/4
DEADLINE PENDING RESOLUTION OF APPEAL PROCEEDINGS

Civil Party Lawyers

CHEA Leang **CHET Vanly** Laure DESFORGES Nicholas KOUMJIAN **HONG Kimsuon** Isabelle DURAND KIM Mengkhy **Emmanuel JACOMY** LOR Chunthy Martine JACQUIN Co-Lawyers Lyma NGUYEN for the Applicant SAM Sokong SIN Soworn Nushin SARKARATI SO Mosseny TY Srinna Susana TOMANOVIĆ **VEN Pov**

នូវជាតិលេខ៩ ស/ក ចោមទៅ ខណ្ឌពេលដីយ ភ្នំពេញ ប្រដប់លំបុត្រ ជា១ ខុតច័ព្ទ (៨៥៥) ២៣-២១៩-៨៩១ ខុតសារ (៨៥៥) ២៣-២១៩-៨៩១ គេហទំព័រ www.eccc.gov.kh National Road 4, Chaom Chau, Porsenchey, PO Box 71. Phnom Penh. Cambodia Tel: (855) 023 219 814 Fax: (855) 023 219 841 Web: www.eccc.gov.kl THE PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER of the Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia (the "ECCC") is seised of the "Eccc" Request for Suspension of D361/4 Deadline pending Resolution of Appeal Proceedings" dated 10 July 2017 (the "Suspension Request").

- 1. On 5 July 2017, the Co-Lawyers for respectively the "Co-Lawyers" and "Applicant") filed a notice of appeal² before the Greffier of the Office of the Co-Investigating Judges against the Decision denying their request for adequate preparation time and granting a limited extension of deadline for filing investigative action requests until 28 July 2017 (the "Impugned Decision").³ On 10 July 2017, the Co-Lawyers filed the Suspension Request and, on 13 July 2017, the International Co-Prosecutor filed his response.⁴
- 2. The Co-Lawyers, relying on the Pre-Trial Chamber's jurisprudence,⁵ submit that the implementation of the Impugned Decision, which imposes a deadline for filing investigative action requests (28 July 2017) falling prior to the deadline for filing the prospective appeal (4 August 2017), would undermine the substance of the appellate proceedings.⁶ They contend that the appeal has reasonable prospects of success on its merits,⁷ that the requested suspension is supported by good cause,⁸ that its duration would be reasonable,⁹ and that it does not constitute a delaying tactic.¹⁰
- 3. The International Co-Prosecutor responds that the Co-Lawyers failed to establish good cause, in particular since there will be possibility for further investigative actions requests, should their appeal be successful, and therefore no prejudice to the Applicant's

Request for Suspension of D361/4 Deadline pending Resolution of Appeal Proce

MANABER

¹ Case 004/07-09-2009-ECCC/OCIJ ("Case 004"), Request for Suspension of D361/4 Deadline pending Resolution of Appeal Proceedings, 10 July 2017, D361/4/1/1 ("Suspension Request").

² Case 004, Notice of Appeal Against the Decision on Request for Adequate Preparation Time, 5 July 2017, D361/4/1.

³ Case 004, Decision on Request for Adequate Preparation Time, 5 July 2017, D361/4.

⁴ Case 004, International Co-Prosecutor's Response to Request for Suspension of D361/4 Deadline, 13 July 2017, D361/4/1/2 ("Response"). See also Email addressed to the parties, Pre-Trial Chamber's Instructions to the Parties in Case File N° 004/07-09-2009-ECCC/OCIJ (PTC46), 11 July 2017.

⁵ Suspension Request, paras 8-10.

⁶ Suspension Request, paras 11-13.

⁷ Suspension Request, paras 19-20.

⁸ Suspension Request, paras 14-17.

⁹ Suspension Request, para. 18.

¹⁰ Suspension Request, paras 21-22.

rights. 11 He further asserts that the appeal has no reasonable prospects of success on its merits. 12

- 4. The Pre-Trial Chamber recalls that it may use its inherent jurisdiction to stay an order issued by the Co-Investigating Judge(s) so as to avoid that a right to appeal becomes ineffective or to preserve the fairness of the appellate process. ¹³ The Pre-Trial Chamber, however, will not entertain requests to stay an order based on prospective applications or appeals that Co-Lawyers intend to bring before it ¹⁴ and will not consider their merits. In the present case, only a notice of appeal has been filed before the Greffier of the Office of the Co-Investigating Judges. The Pre-Trial Chamber is thus not actually seised of an appeal and can neither exercise its appellate jurisdiction nor assess the Suspension Request on the basis of reasons set forth in the prospective appeal.
- 5. Besides, while the Pre-Trial Chamber acknowledges the existence of concurrent deadlines, it finds that the Applicant has not shown any exceptional circumstances justifying to suspend the Impugned Decision before submitting their appeal. In particular, it is not established that the compliance with the deadline set for filing investigative action requests would defeat the purpose of the eventual appeal or create an irreversible situation, such as the implementation of the Impugned Decision would have a direct impact on the effectiveness or fairness of the appellate proceedings. Indeed, should the prospective appeal succeed on its merits, the Applicant would simply be given adequate time to prepare and file any additional request he deems necessary.
- 6. In light of the foregoing, the Suspension Request is denied.

Decision on

Request for Suspension of D361/4 Deadline pending Resolution of Appeal Pro-

¹¹ Response, paras 2-5. ¹² Response, paras 6-9. ¹³ Case 004 (PTC09), Decision on Urgent Request to Stay the Execution of Her Summons to an Initial Appearance, 15 August 2014, A122/6.1/3 ("Decision on Request"), para. 10 referring to, Initial Appearance, 15 August 2014, A122/6.1/3 ("Decision on Request"), para. 10 referring to, inter alia, Case 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC/SC(26), Decision on Co-Prosecutor's Request for Clarification, 26 June 2013, E284/2/1/2, para. 12; Case 003/07-09-2009-ECCC/OCIJ (PTC03), Order Suspending the Enforcement of the 'Order on International Co-Prosecutor's Public Statement Regarding Case File 003', 13 June 2011, D14/1/2.

14 See, e.g., Decision on Request, para. 11. See also Special Tribunal for Lebanon ("STL"), Case No. CH/AC/2011/01, Order on Urgent Prosecution's Request for Suspensive Effect Pending Appeal 12 September 2011, para. 4; STL, Case No. STL-11-01/T/AC/AR126.7, Order on Request for Suspensive Effect of Appeal, 4 April 2014, para. 4 ("the Appeals Chamber has jurisdiction to issue orders on suspension only an appeal has been filed, on the basis of the reasons set forth in the appeal (save in exceptional circumstant be demonstrated by the applicant). This is because the Appeals Chamber normally cannot exercise jurisdiction before it is actually seized of an appeal." [footnote omitted]).

FOR THESE REASONS, THE PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER UNANIMOUSLY HEREBY:

- **DISMISSES** the Suspension Request.

In accordance with Internal Rule 77(13), the present decision is not subject to appeal.

Phnom Penh, 19 July 2017

Pre-Trial Chamber

PRAK Kimsan Olivier BEAUVALLET

Presiden

NEY Thol Kang Jin BAIK HUOT Vuthy

WMBAL (2015)