00908161 E163/5/1/13/3



អន្តិ៩សុំ៩ម្រៈទិសាមញ្ញគូខតុលាការកម្ពុជា

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

ព្រះរាប់ាឈាចគ្រងគម្ព ប៉ា ជានិ សាសលា ព្រះមហាត្យត្រូ

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

ORIGINAL/ORIGINAL

ថ្ងៃខែ ឆ្នាំ (Date): ..08-May-2013, 15:49

Sann Rada CMS/CFO:

អត្ថបិនុំប្បមុះតុលាការកំពូល

Supreme Court Chamber Chambre de la Cour suprême

សំណុំរឿងលេខ: ០០២/១៩-កញ្ញា-២០០៧-អ.វ.ត.ក-អ.ជ.ស.ដ/អ.ជ.ត.ក(១៨)

Case File/Dossier N°. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC-TC/SC(18)

Before: Judge KONG Srim, President

Judge Chandra Nihal JAYASINGHE

Judge SOM Serevvuth

Judge Agnieszka KLONOWIECKA-MILART

Judge MONG Monichariya

Judge Florence Ndepele Mwachande MUMBA

Judge YA Narin

Date: 08 May 2013 Khmer/English Language(s):

Classification: PUBLIC

> DECISION ON CO-PROSECUTORS' REQUEST FOR URGENT ORDER TO TRIAL CHAMBER TO ISSUE REASONED DECISION ON SEVERANCE OF CASE 002

Co-Prosecutors

CHEA Leang Andrew CAYLEY

Accused

KHIEU Samphan

NUON Chea

Civil Party Lead Co-Lawyers

PICH Ang

Elisabeth SIMONNEAU-FORT

Co-Lawyers for NUON Chea

SON Arun Victor KOPPE

Co-Lawyers for KHIEU Samphan

KONG Sam Onn Anta GUISSÉ Arthur VERCKEN Jacques VERGES

ផ្លូវជាតិលេខ ៤ សង្កាត់ ចោមចៅ ខ័ណ្ឌ ដង្កោ ក្រុងភ្នំពេញ កម្ពុជា ប្រអប់សំបុត្រ ៧១ ទូរស័ព្ទៈ (៨៥៥) -២៣-២១៩-៨១៤ ទូរសារៈ (៨៥៥) -២៣-២១៩-៨៤១ គេហចំព័រ: <u>www.eccc.gov.kh</u> National Road 4, Chaom Chau, Dangkao, Phnom Penh, P.O. Box 71, Phnom Penh Tel: +855(0)23 219814 Fax: +855(0)23 219841 Web: www.eccc.gov.kh

- 1. **THE SUPREME COURT CHAMBER** of the Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia for the Prosecution of Crimes Committed during the Period of Democratic Kampuchea between 17 April 1975 and 6 January 1979 ("Supreme Court Chamber" and "ECCC", respectively) is seized of the "Co-Prosecutors' Request for an Urgent Order to the Trial Chamber to Issue a Reasoned Decision on the Severance of Case 002" filed on 23 April 2013 ("Request").
- 2. On 8 February 2013, the Supreme Court Chamber issued its "Decision on the Co-Prosecutors' Immediate Appeal of the Trial Chamber's Decision Concerning the Scope of Case 002/01" ("Appeal Decision"), declaring that the cumulative effect of a number of errors committed by the Trial Chamber regarding the severance of Case 002 occasioned the invalidity thereof. The Supreme Court Chamber specified that the Appeal Decision is without prejudice to the Trial Chamber's reassessment of severing Case 002, but that "it must first invite the parties' submissions on the terms thereof, and only after *all* parties' respective interests are balanced against *all* relevant factors may a severance of Case 002 be soundly undertaken".
- 3. The Trial Chamber thereafter immediately issued a memorandum scheduling a hearing to take place on 14 and 15 February 2013, and listing nine detailed and specific issues related to the severance of Case 002 for the parties to address.⁵ The hearing was subsequently rescheduled to 18 and 20 February 2013.⁶ On 29 March 2013, the Trial Chamber announced in court that it had decided to re-sever Case 002 into discrete trials and that the scope of the first trial ("Case 002/01") would be confined to the charges related to forced movement of population phases 1 and 2 and executions at Tuol Po Chrey ("Oral Decision").⁷ The Trial Chamber also indicated that the reasons for the Oral Decision would be issued in writing as soon as possible.⁸
- 4. After waiting for the written reasons for 25 days, the Co-Prosecutors filed the present Request pursuant to Rules 104, 105, 106(2) and 107 of the Internal Rules,⁹ arguing that the Trial Chamber's failure to provide any reasons whatsoever to date is unreasonable and an abuse of the

¹ E163/5/1/13/2.

² E163/5/1/13.

³ Decision, para. 49.

⁴ Decision, para. 50 (emphasis in original).

⁵ Memorandum by Judge NIL Nonn, President of the Trial Chamber, entitled "Directions to the parties in consequence of the Supreme Court Chamber's Decision on Co-Prosecutors' Immediate Appeal of the Trial Chamber's Decision concerning the Scope of Case 002/01 (E163/5/1/13)", E163/5/1/13/1, dated 12 February 2013 and filed on 14 February 2013.

⁶ T. (EN), 18 February 2013, E1/171.1, pp. 6, 114.

⁷ T. (EN), 29 March 2013, E1/176.1, p. 4.

⁸ T. (EN), 29 March 2013, E1/176.1, pp. 2-4.

⁹ Internal Rules of the ECCC, Revision 8, 3 August 2011 ("Internal Rules").

Trial Chamber's discretion, occasioning serious prejudice to the parties. ¹⁰ In this respect, the Co-Prosecutors submit that their appellate rights "are currently rendered meaningless, or will become so rapidly, in light of: (i) the relatively short time in which this trial as severed is predicted to be completed; (ii) the three-month timeframe mandated by [Rule 108(4)*bis* of the Internal Rules] for decisions on immediate appeals; and (iii) as a result, the prospects for effective implementation of any relief that may be granted on appeal." ¹¹ The Co-Prosecutors accordingly request that the Supreme Court Chamber "directly address the prejudice caused" ¹² by ordering the Trial Chamber

to provide written reasons for the Oral Decision without further delay.¹³

- 5. Three days after the Co-Prosecutors filed their Request, the Trial Chamber issued its written reasons for the Oral Decision. ¹⁴ The Request is thereby rendered moot and accordingly dismissed without determination of its merits or admissibility. The Supreme Court Chamber nevertheless considers it necessary to indicate that it is not persuaded that, at the time of the filing of the Request, the Co-Prosecutors' appellate rights had been rendered meaningless, or nearly so, by the Trial Chamber's delay in issuing its written reasons. Even if the evidence in Case 002/01 were to conclude before the time limit were to expire for the issuance of a decision on an eventual immediate appeal, any possible prejudice which may arise out of any possible errors remain susceptible to appellate scrutiny and intervention, as necessary or appropriate to the circumstances.
- 6. For the foregoing reasons, the Supreme Court Chamber **DISMISSES** the Request.

Phnom Penh, 8 May 2013

President of the Supreme Court Chamber

KONG Srim

¹⁰ Request, paras. 1, 3, 18-31. The Co-Prosecutors also submit that the Request is admissible. *See* Request, paras. 2, 11-18.

¹¹ Request, para. 3. See also Request, paras. 23-30.

¹² Request, para. 31.

¹³ Request, paras. 1, 32.

¹⁴ Decision on Severance of Case 002 following Supreme Court Chamber Decision of 8 February 2013, E284, 26 April 2013.