



ព្រះរាជាណាចក្រកម្ពុជា
ជាតិ សាសនា ព្រះមហាក្សត្រ

អង្គជំនុំជម្រះវិសាមញ្ញក្នុងតុលាការកម្ពុជា

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia
Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

Kingdom of Cambodia
Nation Religion King
Royaume du Cambodge
Nation Religion Roi

P2/8/1

អង្គជំនុំជម្រះតុលាការកំពូល

Supreme Court Chamber
Chambre de la Cour suprême

សំណុំរឿងលេខ: ០០១/១៨ កក្កដា ២០០៧-អ.វ.ត.ក/អ.ជ.ត.ក
Case File/Dossier N°. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/SC

ឯកសារដើម	
ORIGINAL DOCUMENT/DOCUMENT ORIGINAL	
ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ ទទួល (Date of receipt/Date de réception):	29 / 03 / 2011
ម៉ោង (Time/Heure):	16.50
អង្គការទទួលបន្ទុកសំណុំរឿង/Case File Officer/L'agent chargé du dossier:	SANN RADA

Before:

Judge KONG Srim, President
 Judge Motoo NOGUCHI
 Judge SOM Sereyvuth
 Judge Agnieszka KLONOWIECKA-MILART
 Judge SIN Rith
 Judge Chandra Nihal JAYASINGHE
 Judge YA Narin

Date: 29 March 2011

Classification: PUBLIC

DECISION ON GROUP 1 CIVIL PARTIES' CO-LAWYERS' SUPPLEMENTARY REQUEST TO ADMIT ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Co-Prosecutors

CHEA Leang
Andrew CAYLEY

Accused

KAING Guek Eav alias
'DUCH'

Lawyers for the Accused

KAR Savuth
KANG Ritheary

Lawyers for Civil Parties

Group 1

TY Srinna
Karim KHAN
Alain WERNER
Brienne McGONIGLE

Lawyers for Civil Parties

Group 2

KONG Pisey
HONG Kimsuon
YUNG Phanit
Silke STUDZINSKY

Lawyers for Civil Parties

Group 3

KIM Mengkhy
MOCH Sovannary
Martine JACQUIN
Annie DELAHAIE
Philippe CANONNE
Elizabeth RABESANDRATANA
Fabienne TRUSSES-NAPROUS
Christine MARTINEAU



THE SUPREME COURT CHAMBER of the Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia (“ECCC”):

BEING SEISED of a supplementary request filed by the Co-Lawyers for Civil Parties Group 1 (“Co-Lawyers”) to admit additional evidence (“Request”);¹

RECALLING Internal Rule 108(7);

RECALLING that, on 23 February 2011, the Supreme Court Chamber, on its own initiative, requested the Co-Lawyers to confirm whether or not they intended to file a request to admit additional evidence,² and that, on 25 February 2011, the Chamber instructed the Co-Lawyers to try to file such a request by 11 March 2011;³

RECALLING that, on 11 March 2011, the Co-Lawyers filed a request to admit additional evidence,⁴ and that, on 25 March 2011, the Supreme Court Chamber decided to admit the additional evidence requested by the Co-Lawyers;⁵

CONSIDERING the interests of justice and the circumstances of this case;

NOTING that a decision by the Supreme Court Chamber to admit additional evidence is without prejudice to the weight to be accorded to the additional evidence in deciding the appeals;

FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS, the Supreme Court Chamber:

DECIDES to grant the Request and to admit the following additional evidence:

Item	Doc. #	KH ERN	EN ERN
Witness statement	F2/5.2.1	00657012-00657013	00657011-00657011
Certificate	F2/5.2.1.1	00657014-00657014	N/A

¹ Group 1—Civil Parties’ Co-Lawyers’ Supplementary Request to File Additional Evidence in Support of their Appeal Against the Judgment, filed 25 March 2011 and notified 28 March 2011, F2/5.

² E-Mail by Greffier of the Supreme Court Chamber to Co-Lawyers for Civil Parties Group 1, 23 February 2011, 10:44 AM (Phnom Penh time).

³ E-Mail by Greffier of the Supreme Court Chamber to Co-Lawyers for Civil Parties Group 1, 25 February 2011, 10:44 PM (Phnom Penh time).

⁴ Group 1 – Civil Parties’ Co-Lawyers’ Request to File Additional Evidence in Support of their Appeal Against the Judgment, 11 March 2011, F2/3.

⁵ Decision on Requests by Co-Lawyers for Accused and Civil Parties Groups 1, 2, 3 to Admit Additional Evidence, 25 March 2011, F2/4.



Witness statement	F2/5.2.2	00657016-00657018	00657015-00657015
Passport	F2/5.2.2.1	00657019-00657019	N/A

Phnom Penh, 29 March 2011

President of the Supreme Court Chamber



[Handwritten signature]
[Handwritten initials]