

អច្ចខំសុំ៩ម្រះទិសាមញ្ញតូខតុលាភារតម្ភ៩ា

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

ព្រះរាទារណាចគ្រួតទម្អូ ទា ទាតិ សាសនា ព្រះមហាក្សត្រ

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

หอริร์ธุรระธาณรูอ		ວິສະອາຫະຂັສ ORIGINAL DOCUMENT/DOCUMENT ORIGINAL
Trial Chamber Chambre de première instance		ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ ទទួល (Date of receipt/Date de reception): 22. / TWL/ 2009
		រន្ត្រីទទួលបន្ទុកសំណុំរីវ្វិដ/Case File Officer/L'agent chargé Ju dossier:
Case File/Dossier N	b. 001/18-07-2007/ECCC/TC	
Before:	Judge NIL Nonn, President Judge Silvia CARTWRIGHT Judge YA Sokhan Judge Jean-Marc LAVERGNE	<mark>່ ນຕະກາເບລະເສຍຮູບເ</mark> ຮີຍງຮອສາສອງາະເລັ້ນ CERTIFIED COPY/COPIE CERTIFIÉE CONFORME

Date:

Classification:

Decision on Co-Prosecutors' request for confidential classification of Defence Request relating to self-incrimination

Judge THOU Mony

22 July 2009

PUBLIC

Co-Prosecutors

CHEA Leang Robert PETIT

Lawyers for the Civil Parties

KONG Pisey HONG Kimsuon YUNG Panith KIM Mengkhy MOCH Sovannary Silke STUDZINSKY Martine JACQUIN Philippe CANONNE TY Srinna Pierre Olivier SUR Alain WERNER Brianne McGONIGLE Annie DELAHAIE Elizabeth RABESANDRATANA Karim KHAN

Accused

KAING Guek Eav alias "DUCH"

ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ នៃការបញ្ជាក់ (Certifified Date/Date de certification):

មន្ត្រីទទួលបន្តកសំណុំហ្វឹង/Case File Officer/L'agent chargé du dossier: SA NN RA DA

22, 07, 2009

Lawyers for the Defence

KAR Savuth François ROUX Marie-Paule CANIZARES



ផ្លូវជាតិលេខ ៤ សង្កាត់ ចោមចៅ ខ័ណ្ឌ ដង្កោ ក្រុងភ្នំពេញ កម្ពុជា ប្រអប់សំបុត្រ ៧១ ទូរស័ព្ទ: (៨៥៥) -២៣-២១៩-៨១៤ ទូរសារ: (៨៥៥) -២៣-២១៩-៨៤១ តេហិធិព័រ: <u>www.eccc.gov.kb</u> National Road 4 ,Chaom Chau , Dangkao Phnom Penh Mail Po Box 71, Phnom Penh Tel:+855(0)23 218914 Fax:023 218944-Webr www.eccc.gov.kh

ER CHAMB

THE TRIAL CHAMBER of the Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia ("ECCC");

BEING SEISED of Case File No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC pursuant to the "Decision on Appeal Against the Closing Order Indicting Kaing Guek Eav Alias Duch", rendered orally by the Pre-Trial Chamber on 5 December 2008 and filed in Khmer on 9 December 2008 ("Decision")¹;

NOTING the request by the Defence dated 15 July 2009^2 ;

NOTING the oral request by the Co-prosecutors during the hearing of 20 July 2009 for a closed session under Rule $28(8)^3$;

HAVING HEARD the oral arguments of the parties during the closed session of 20 July 2009⁴;

RECALLING the Trial Chamber's oral decision issued during the hearing of 21 July 2009⁵;

PURSUANT to Rule 28(8) and 79(6) of the Internal Rules;

BACKGROUND AND FINDINGS:

- 1. The OCP sought a hearing in closed session pursuant to Rule 28(8) of the Internal Rules at which they sought a classification of a Request by the defence dated 15 July 2009. In the submission of the OCP, the Request ought to be classified 'confidential' when it is filed in the case file.
- 2. The Trial Chamber has the responsibility pursuant to Practice Direction 004/2009 'Classification and management of case-related information' to classify certain documents of which the defence Request is one, into categories 'public' confidential' or 'strictly confidential'. Until such classification is fixed by the Trial Chamber the filing remains confidential. The Chamber has 30 days in which to classify the filing. That period has not yet expired.
- 3. There are two basic categories of documents in the case file contemplated by the Practice Direction: one for which there is a presumption of confidentiality and one where the presumption is for public disclosure. The first category is a very limited class.

¹"Decision on Appeal Against the Closing Order Indicting Kaing Guek Eav Alias Duch", 5 December 2008 (Document D99/3/42).

² "Requête", 15 July 2009 (Document E107)

³ Transcript of proceedings ("T."), 20 July 2009, p.1 (English).

⁴ T. 20 July 2009, Closed session, p.1-20 (English)

⁵ T. 21 July 2009, (English)

- 4. On consideration of the Request filed by the defence, the Trial Chamber can see no reason to classify it 'confidential'. It does not fall into the narrow class of documents that should not be made available to the public.
- 5. The Trial Chamber also notes that Internal Rule 28(8) is to be invoked only when there are issues relating to the testimony of witnesses concerning self-incrimination. For this limited reason, and as an exception to the principle of publicity of the hearing set out in Internal Rule 79(6), the Trial Chamber may convene a closed session to consider the issues raised. This application does not fall into this category and the Trial Chamber therefore announces its decision in public.
- 6. Finally, the Trial Chamber reminds the parties that the sole responsibility to warn a witness against self incrimination falls to the Chamber or to counsel advising the witness. The defence is asked not to repeat such warnings to witnesses in the future.

THE TRIAL CHAMBER THEREFORE DECIDES AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Request will be classified as a public document when it is ready for filing in the case file.
- 2. The closed session sought on 20 July was inappropriate. The subject-matter did not fall within the narrow confines of Internal Rule 28(8)
- 3. The Trial Chamber will continue to ensure that witnesses whom it considers at risk of self-incrimination receive legal advice and are warned by the Chamber pursuant to Internal Rule 28. The defence shall no longer warn witnesses of their right to remain silent when they come to give testimony.

Phnom Penh, 22 July 2009 President of the Trial Chamber Decision on Co-Prosecutors' request for confidential classification of Defence Request relating to says